## **5th International Conference on Advances in Skin, Wound Care and Tissue Science**

14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on **Clinical Dermatology** 

October 15-16, 2018 Rome, Italy

Periwound skin blood flow and reduction of pressure ulcer size after anodal and cathodal high-voltage monophasic pulsed current. A preliminary report from a randomized, clinical trial

Anna Polak<sup>1</sup>, Cezary Kucio<sup>1</sup><sup>2</sup>, Luther C. Kloth<sup>3</sup>, Agnieszka Nawrat-Szołtysik<sup>1,4</sup>, Ewa Kucio<sup>1</sup>, Ryszard Zarzeczny<sup>5</sup> and Adam Wajdman<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Academy of Physical Education, Poland <sup>2</sup>Multi-specialized Hospital, Poland <sup>3</sup>Marquette University, USA <sup>4</sup>Skilled Nursing Home, Poland <sup>5</sup>Jan Długosz University, Poland

**Introduction:** Electrical stimulation (ES) is recommended for treating Stage II-IV pressure ulcers (PUs) but optimal ES protocols for wound treatment have yet to be established.

Aim of study: To evaluate the effect of high-voltage monophasic pulsed current (HVMPC) on periwound skin blood flow (PSBF) and PU size reduction.

**Methods:** 38 individuals with Stage II-IV PUs were randomly assigned to anodal and cathodal ES groups (AG, CG), and placebo ES group (PG). All groups received standard wound care. The AG and CG received additionally respectively anodal and cathodal HVMPC (154  $\mu$ s; 100 Hz; 360  $\mu$ C/sec), 50 minutes a day, five days per week, for 4 weeks. Wounds of the PG were treated with sham ES. PSBF was measured at baseline and at weeks 2 and 4. Wound surface areas were measured at baseline, and at week.

**Results:** 12 patients were treated in the AG (mean age of 52.83), 13 in the CG (mean age of 52.00), and 13 in the PG (mean age of 54.46). PSBF at weeks 2 and 4 was higher by,  $105.71\%\pm92.51$ ) and 128.23% (SD 108.34) respectively, in the AG; 108.53% (SD 75.53) and 92.67% (SD 153.90) in the CG; 30.88% (SD 53.37) and 28.82% (SD 42.53) in the PG. The differences between AG : PG and CG : PG were statistically significant at week 2 (p=0.038, p=0.037 respectively), and at week 4 (p=0.041, p=0.048 respectively). Wound percentage area reduction calculated at week 4 for the AG (58.25\%; SD 32.29) and the CG (53.92\%: SD 16.15) was significantly greater statistically than that obtained by the PG (26.46\%; SD 19.29), p=0.036 and p=0.048. Changes in PSBF and wound size reduction between the AG and CG were not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Conclusions: Anodal and cathodal HVMPC proved effective in improving PSBF and reducing the size.

## Biography

A Polak PT, PhD is a physiotherapist, lecturer and specialist in wound healing physical therapies.

a.polak@awf.katowice.pl

Notes: