What European gynae cologists need to master: Consensus on medical expertise outcomes of pan-European postgraduate training in obstetrics and gynae cology?

Jessica E van der Aa, Annalisa Tancredi, Angelique J Goverde, Petr Velebil, Jaroslav Feyereisl, Chiara Benedetto, Pim W Teunissen and Fedde Scheele

OLVG Hospital, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Athena Institute, VU, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Sant Anna Hospital, University of Torino, Italy; University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands; European Board and College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Brussels, Belgium; Institute for the Care of Mother and Child, Prague, Czech Republic; Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic; University of Torino, Italy; Faculty of Health Medicine and Life Sciences -SHE, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands; VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Objective: European harmonisation of training standards in postgraduate medical education in obstetrics and gynaecology is needed because of the increasina mobility of medical specialists. provide Harmonisation of training will quality assurance of training and promote high quality care throughout Europe. Pan-European training standards should describe medical expertise outcomes that are required from the European gynaecologist. This paper reports on consensus development on the medical expertise outcomes of pan-European training in obstetrics and gynaecology.

Study Design: A Delphi procedure was performed amongst European gynaecologists and trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology, to develop consensus on outcomes of training. The consensus procedure consisted of two questionnaire rounds, followed by a consensus meeting. To ensure reasonability and feasibility for implementation of the training standards in Europe, implications of the outcomes were considered in a working group thereafter. We invited gynaecologists and trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology for participation representing a wide range of European countries. They were selected through the European board and college of obstetrics and gynaecology and the European network of trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology. Results: 60 people participated in round 1 and 2 of the consensus procedure, 38 (63.3%) of whom were gynaecologists and 22 (36.7%) were trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology. 28 European countries were represented in this response. Round 3 of the consensus procedure was performed in a consensus meeting with six experts. Implications of the training outcomes were discussed in a working group meeting, to ensure reasonability and

feasibility of the material for implementation in Europe. The entire consensus procedure resulted in a core content of training standards of 188 outcomes, categorised in ten topics.

Conclusion: European consensus was developed regarding the medical expertise outcomes of pan-European training in obstetrics and gynaecology. The outcomes will be described in core trainings standards, aimed at harmonising training in obstetrics and gynaecology in Europe to promote high quality care.