Available online at www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com



Pelagia Research Library

Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research, 2013, 3(6):9-13



Vascular plant diversity in Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove at Thanjavur district, Tamil Nadu

Jayapal J.¹, Tangavelou A. C.^{2*} and Panneerselvam A.¹

¹Department of Botany and Microbiology, A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College, Poondi, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu ²Bio-Science Research Foundation, 166/1, Gundu Salai, Moolakulam, Pondicherry

ABSTRACT

Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove at Thanjavur district was explored for floristic studies and reported for the first time. Totally 117 plant species belonging to 51 families and 102 genera were recorded in this grove. An important keystone species were also recorded. At present scenario, environmental awareness programme should be implemented among the local community to educate them about the ecological significances of sacred groves for the preparation Conservation and management plan to attain the sustainable biological wealth.

Key words: Tamil Nadu, Sacred grove, Biodiversity Conservation, threatened plants

INTRODUCTION

Nature worship has been a key force of shaping the human attitudes towards conservation and sustainable utilization of natural resources. Such traditional practices have been invariably operating in different parts of India (Anthwal et al., 2006). Sacred groves are the tracts of virgin forest that were left untouched by the local inhabitants, harbour rich biodiversity, and are protected by the local people due to their cultural and religious beliefs and taboos that the deities reside in them (Gadgil and Vartak, 1975; Khiewtam and Ramakrishnan, 1989; Ramakrishnan, 1996; Chandrashekara and Sankar 1998, Kanowski et al. 1999). Sacred groves are the traditional natural museum with live specimens conserved by the local community through religious practice (Karthikeyan and Tangavelou, 2011). It is believed that these sacred virgin forests date back to thousands of years when human society was in the primitive state. Gadgil and Vartak (1975) have traced the historical link of the sacred groves to the pre- agricultural, hunting and gathering stage of societies. These virgin forests are believed to be pre-Vedic in origin and the area of sacred groves ranges from few square meters to several hectares. Sacred groves serve as a home for several birds and mammals and indirectly have symbiotic relationship with other animal species conservation (Islam et al. 1998). Sacred groves are the repositories of rare and endemic species and can be regarded as the remnant of the primary forest left untouched by the local inhabitants and protected then due to the belief that the deities reside in these forests. Many people have described sacred groves in different ways. However, there is an evident fact that wherever sacred groves existed, indigenous traditional societies have spiritual relationships with the existing physical environment sustained them. The role of sacred groves in the conservation of biodiversity has long been recognized (Kosambi, 1962; Gadgil and Vartak, 1976; Haridasan and Rao, 1985; Khan et al. 1997; 2008). In India, several reports have been discussed on the floristic wealth of sacred groves from several states including Tamil Nadu. In this paper, the floristic wealth of Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove from Thanjavur of Tamil Nadu state was reported here first time in order to prepare the conservation and management plan for the biodiversity protection in India.

Pelagia Research Library

Tangavelou A. C. et al

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study area Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove covers an area of about 5 hectares and located nearly 9 km away from Thanjavur town of Thanjavur district, Tamil Nadu. Geographically, it is lying between 10°25.195' N latitude and 079°09.106'078°46.292' E longitude. Temperature is moderately high and the average temperature during summer is 34°C and fewer less in winter. The average humidity ranged from 31 to 33% during November to December. Annual rainfall is ranging from 850 to 1000 mm. However, during the two decades the district has experienced rainfall only below normal. Most of the rains occur during north east monsoon. Soil is a ferruginous type with admixture of limestone. The soil is shallow in rocky areas and deeper in valley with little or no humus. The vegetation of Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove is tropical dry evergreen forest type (Champion and Seth, 1968).

Intensive field surveys were made during the year 2011-2012 to explore the floristic composition and the conservation status of the Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove of Thanjavur district, Tamil Nadu. All the plant specimens available in the study areas were collected for authenticity and the herbarium specimens are prepared by following the methodology of Jain and Rao (1976). Photographs were also taken. The herbarium specimens were identified with the help of the Flora of the Presidency of Madras (Gamble and Fischer, 1915 - 1936), The Flora of British India (Hooker, 1872 - 1897) and The Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic (Matthew, 1981). The Flora of Tamil Nadu by Henry *et al.* (1987 and 1989) and Nair and Henry (1983) has been referred for the correct botanical names for the specimens identified. The herbarium specimens were prepared for all the plants and deposited at Bio-Science Research Foundation, Pondicherry for reference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study area, totally 117 species belonging to 51 plant families and 102 genera were recorded (Table 1). Among habit wise distribution, herbs were the dominant form represented by 38 % with 45 species followed by trees (28 % with 33 species), shrubs (17 % with 20 species), climbing herbs (15 % with 17 species) and climbing shrubs (2 % with 2 species). Among family wise distribution, Euphorbiaceae was the dominant plant family represented by 21 % with 11 species followed by Caesalpiniaceae (11 % with 11 species), Acanthaceae, Mimosaceae and Rubiaceae (9 % with 5 species each), Amaranthaceae, Apocynaceae, Boraginaceae, Cucurbitaceae and Fabaceae (8 % with 4 species each). Among the top 10 genericwise distribution, Cassia and Euphorbia were the dominant plant genera represented by 16 % with 4 species each followed by Ficus and Phyllanthus (12 % with 3 species each), Acacia, Albizia, Barleria, Capparis and Carissa (8 % with 2 species each) and Abrus (4 % with single species). Floristic study of vegetation is important to determine the distribution of food plants for wildlife (Ejtehadi et al., 2005) and prerequisite for much fundamental research in tropical community (Jayakumar et al., 2011). The present findings are comparable with other studies in sacred groves of Tamil Nadu and other regions of India. In Tamil Nadu, several studies with respect to floristic inventory were reported includes 260 species in 176 genera and 62 families from Malliganatham (John Britto et al., 2001a), 224 species in 175 genera and 63 families from Vamban (John Britto et al., 2001b), 35 species in 32 genera and 22 families (Sridhar Reddy and Parthasarathy, 2006), 77 species in 61 genera and 30 families (Mani and Parthasarathy, 2006) from 4 SG s of Coromandel coast, 265 species from 50 SG s collectively (Karthikeyan and Tangavelou, 2011), 106 species belonging to 97 genera and 54 families from Manganampatti, Nadiamman and Suranviduthi village (Vinothkumar et al., 2011) of Pudukottai district, 98 species in 38 families and 76 genera from 33 sacred groves of Theni district (Manikandan et al., 2011), 98 species in 87 genera and 43 families from 11 miniature SG Sukumaran and Jeeva, (2008) of Kanniyakumari district, 133 plant species from sacred groves in Pallipatty village of Maduari district (Ganesan et al., 2007). In addition, Sambandan and Dhatchanamoorthy, (2012) reported 59 species in 55 genera and 30 families from Karaikal. Thus, floristic diversity assessment is significant at local and regional levels to understand the present status and to make effective management strategies for conservation (Jayakumar et al., 2011).

The vegetation of the selected sacred groves is a tropical dry evergreen forest type comprises the species include *Albizia amara, Atalantia racemosa, Euphorbia antiquorrum, Memecylon umbellatum, Morinda pubescens, Stychnos nux-vomica* etc. This is due to the presence of typical, characteristic and preferential evergreen tree species Meher-Homji (1974). The presence of big lianas such as *Ventilago maderaspatana, Mimosa intsia* and *Combretum albidum* revealed the undisturbed status of the vegetation. Key stone species found in this Sacred Grove includes *Borassus flabellifer, Ficus benghalensis, Memecylon umbellatum* which harbors a number of birds and other survival of many

Pelagia Research Library

Tangavelou A. C. et al

S. No.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Cleome viscosa L.

Clerodendron inerme (L.) Gaertn.

Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt

Cocculus hirsutus (L.) Diels

Combretum albidum G. Don

Commelina benghalensis L

Coldenia procumbens L.

Habit

other species. Keystone species play a crucial role in biodiversity conservation through key functions that they perform in an ecosystem often they are also socially or culturally valued (Ramakrishnan, 2001), used not only for managing pristine ecosystems (Ramakrishnan 1992) but also for building up biodiversity in both natural and humanmanaged ecosystems through appropriately conceived rehabilitation strategies (Wali 1992; Lamb and Tomlinson 1994) that will ensure people's participation (Ramakrishnan *et al* 1994). The threatened medicinal plants recorded from Neiveli Vadavadhi Karuppar Sacred Grove include *Aegle marmelos, Strychnos potatorum, Madhuca longifolia.* Hence it concluded that the present study area with high species diversity tends to preserve its biodiversity.

1	Abrus precatorius L. ssp. precatorius	Fabaceae	Climbing herb
2	Abutilon indicum (L.) ssp. indicum	Malvaceae	Shrub
3	Acacia nilotica (L.) Del. indica (Benth.) Brenan	Mimosaceae	Tree
4	Acacia polyacantha Willd.	Mimosaceae	Tree
5	Acalypha indica L.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb
6	Acanthospermum hispidum DC.	Asteraceae	Herb
7	Aegle marmelos (L.) Corr.	Rutaceae	Tree
8	Aerva lanata (L.) A.L.Juss.	Amaranthaceae	Herb
9	Agave cantula Roxb.	Agavaceae	Shrub
10	Ageratum convzoides L.	Asteraceae	Shrub
11	Alangium salvifolium (L.f.) Wangerin.	Alangiaceae	Tree
12	Albizia amara (Roxb.) Boivin	Mimosaceae	Tree
13	Albizia lebbeck (L.) Benth.	Mimosaceae	Tree
14	Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R.Br.ex DC.	Amaranthaceae	Herb
15	Alvsicarpus monilifer (L.) DC.	Fabaceae	Herb
16	Anisomeles malabarica (L.) Kuntz	Lamiaceae	Herb
17	Anogeissus latifolia (Roxb. ex DC.) Watt ex Guil & Perr	Combretaceae	Tree
18	Aristida adsensionis L. var. adsensionis	Poaceae	Herb
19	Aristolochia indica L.	Aristolochiaceae	Climbing herb
20	Asparagus racemosus Willd.	Asparagaceae	Climbing herb
21	Atalantia monophylla (L.) Corr.	Rutaceae	Tree
22	Azadirachta indica A.Juss.	Meliaceae	Tree
23	Azima tetracantha Lam.	Salvadoraceae	Tree
24	Bambusa arundinacea (Retz.) Willd.	Poaceae	Tree
25	Barleria buxifolia L.	Acanthaceae	Herb
26	Barleria cuspidata Hegne ex Nees.	Acanthaceae	Herb
27	Bauhinia racemosa Lam.	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
28	Benkara malabarica (Lam.) Tirven.	Rubiaceae	Tree
29	Blepharis maderaspatensis (L.) Roth.	Acanthaceae	Herb
30	Boerhavia diffusa L.	Nyctaginaceae	Herb
31	Borassus flabellifer L.	Arecaceae	Tree
32	Bridelia retusa (L.) Sprengel.	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
33	Bryenia retusa (Dennst.) Alston	Euphorbiaceae	Tree
34	Cadaba fruticosa (L.) Druce.	Capparaceae	Shrub
35	Capparis brevispina DC.	Capparaceae	Shrub
36	Capparis divaricata Lam.	Capparaceae	Shrub
37	Caralluma attenuata Wight & Arn.	Asclepiadaceae	Herb
38	Cardiospermum halicacabum L.	Sapindaceae	Climbing herb
39	Carissa carandas L.	Apocynaceae	Shrub
40	Carissa spinarum L.	Apocynaceae	Shrub
41	Carmona retusa (Vahl) Masam.	Boraginaceae	Shrub
42	Cassia auriculata L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Shrub
43	Cassia fistula L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
44	Cassia occidentalis L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Herb
45	Cassia tora L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Herb
46	Cassine glauca (Rottb) Kuntze. var. glauca	Celastraceae	Tree
47	Cassytha filiformis L.	Lauraceae	Climbing herb
48	Catharanthes roseus (L.) Don.	Apocynaceae	Herb
49	Catunaregam spinosa (Thunb.) Tirven.	Rubiaceae	Shrub
50	Cayratia pedata (Lour.) A.L.Juss.	Cucurbitaceae	Climbing herb
51	Cissus quadrangularis L.	Vitaceae	Climbing shrub
52	Citrullus colocynthes (L.) Schrader	Cucurbitaceae	Climbing herb
53	Clausena dentata (Willd.) Roemer	Rutaceae	Shrub

Table - 1

Families

Botanical Names

Pelagia Research Library

Cleomaceae

Verbenaceae

Cucurbitaceae

Cucurbitaceae

Boraginaceae

Combretaceae

Commelinace

Herb

Shrub

Herb

Herb

Climbing herb

Climbing herb

Climbing herb

61	Comminhora caudata (Wight & Arn) Engl	Burseraceae	Tree
62	Curculigo orchioides Gaertn	Hypoxidaceae	Herb
63	Cuscutta reflexa Roxb	Convolvulaceae	Climbing herb
64	Cymphostemma setosum (Roxh) Alston	Vitaceae	Climbing herb
65	Cympologon citratus (DC) Stapf	Poaceae	Herb
66	Cynodon dactylon (L.) Fers	Poaceae	Herb
67	Cynerus rotundus I	Cyperaceae	Herb
68	Derris scandens(Roxh) Benth	Fabaceae	Climbing shrub
69	Dichrostachys cinerea (L.) Wight & Arn	Mimosaceae	Tree
70	Dioscorea oppositiolia L	Dioscoreaceae	Climbing herb
71	Dodonaea viscosa I	Combretaceae	Shrub
72	Eclipta prostrata (L.) L.	Asteraceae	Herb
73	Exercise prosection and (20) 21	Boraginaceae	Tree
74	Erythroxylum monogynumRoxb.	Ervthroxylaceae	Tree
75	Euphorbia antiauorrum L	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
76	Euphorbia hirta I.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb
77	Euphorbia tirucalli L	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
78	Euphorbia tortilis Rottler ex Ainslie	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
79	Evolvulus alsinoides (L.) L.	Convolvulaceae	Herb
80	Ficus benghalensis L.	Moraceae	Tree
81	Ficus racemosa L.	Moraceae	Tree
82	Ficus religiosa L.	Moraceae	Tree
83	Fimbristylis sp.	Cyperaceae	Herb
84	Flacourtia indica (Burm.f.) Merr.	Flacourtiaceae	Tree
85	Glinus oppositifolius (L.) DC	Aizoaceae	Herb
86	Gloriosa superba L.	Liliaceae	Climbing herb
87	Glycosmis pentaphylla (Retz) DC.	Rutaceae	Shrub
88	Gomphrena serrata L.	Amaranthaceae	Herb
89	Hedvotis pyberula (G.Don) Arn.	Rubiaceae	Herb
90	Heliotropium indicum L.	Boraginaceae	Herb
91	Hemidesmus indicus (L.) R.Br. var. indicus	Periplocaceae	Climbing herb
92	Hemionitis arifolia (Burm.f.) Moore	Hemionitidaceae	Herb
93	Hibiscus micranthus L.f.	Malvaceae	Herb
94	Holoptelea integrifolia (Roxb.) Planchon	Ulmaceae	Tree
95	Hybanthes enneaspermus (L.) F. Muell.	Violaceae	Herb
96	Hyptis suaveolens (L.) Poit	Lamiaceae	Herb
97	Indigofera linnaei Ali	Fabaceae	Herb
98	Indonesiella echioides (L.) Sreemadh.	Acanthaceae	Herb
99	Justicia simplex D.Don.	Acanthaceae	Herb
100	Lepizanthes tetraphylla (M.Vahl) Radlk.	Sapindaceae	Tree
101	Leptadenia reticulata (Retz.) Wight & Arn.	Asclepiadaceae	Climbing herb
102	Leucas aspera (Willd.) Link.	Lamiaceae	Herb
103	Mollugo pentaphylla L.	Molluginaceae	Herb
104	Morinda pubescens J.E.Smith var. pubescens	Rubiaceae	Tree
105	Phyllanthus amarus Schum & Thonn	Euphorbiaceae	Herb
106	Phyllanthus debilis Klein ex Willd.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb
107	Phyllanthus maderaspatensis L.	Euphorbiaceae	Herb
108	Sansevieira roxbhurgiana Schultes & Schultes	Agavaceae	Herb
109	Securinega leucopyrus (Willd.) Muell. Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub
110	Strychnos nux-vomica L.	Loganiaceae	Tree
111	Syzygium cumini (L.) Skeels	Myrtaceae	Tree
112	Tamarindus indica L.	Caesalpiniaceae	Tree
113	Tarenna asiatica (L.) Kuntze ex K. Schum. var. asiatica	Rubiaceae	Shrub
114	Tribulus lanuginosus L.	Zygophyllaceae	Herb
115	Tridax procumbens L.	Asteraceae	Herb
116	Tylophora indica (Burm.f.) Merr.	Asclepiadaceae	Climbing herb
117	Wrightia tinctoria (Roxb.) R.Br.	Apocynaceae	Tree

Acknowledgement

The first two authors sincerely thank the Secretary and Correspondent and Principal of A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College, Poondi, Thanjavur for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this research work and all the local people who helped during the field work are remembered here with thanks.

REFERENCES

[1] Anthwal, A., Sharma, R.C. and Sharma A. 2006. J. Amer. Sci. 2:35-38.

[2] Champion, H.G. and Seth, S.K. 1968. A Revised survey of the forest types of India. The Manager of Publications, Delhi.

[3] Chandrashekara, U.M. and Sankar, S.1998. Forest Ecol. Manage.112:165-177.

[4] Ejtehadi, H., Amini, T. and Zare, H. 2005. Environ. Sci. 9: 53-58.

Pelagia Research Library

[5] Gadgil, M. and Vartak, V.D. 1975. J. Bombay Natl. Hist. Soc. 72: 314-320.

[6] Gadgi M and Vartak VD. **1976**. Econ. Bot. 30:152–160.

[7] Gamble, J.S, and Fischer, C.E.C. **1915-1936**. *Flora of the Presidency of Madras*. Vols. 1-3, Adlard & Sons Ltd., London.

[8] Ganesan, S., Ponnusamy, N., Kesavan, L. and Selvaraj, A. 2007. Indian J. Trad. Knowledge 8: 154-162.

[9] Haridasan, K. and Rao, R.R. **1985**. *Forest Flora of Meghalaya*, Vol. 1. Bishen Singh and Mahendrapal Singh, Dehra Dun.

[10] Henry, A.N., Chithra, V. and Balakrishnan, N.P. **1989**. *Flora of Tamil Nadu*. Series 1. Vol. 3, Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore.

[11] Henry, A.N., Kumari, G.R. and Chithra, V. **1987**. *Flora of Tamil Nadu*. Series. 1. Vol. 2, Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore.

[12] Hooker, J.D. 1872-1897. The Flora of British India. Vols. 1-7, L. Reeve & Co., London.

[13] Islam, A.K.M.N., Islam, M.A. and Hoqu, e A.E. **1998**. *Species composition of sacred groves, their diversity and conservation in Bangladesh*. Pages 163-165, In: Ramakrishnan, P.S., Saxena, K.G. and Chandrashekara, U.M. (Editors) Conserving the Sacred for Biodiversity Management. UNESCO and Oxford-IBH Publishing, New Delhi.

[14] Jain, S.K. and Rao, R.R.1976. A Hand Book of field and Herbarium Methods. Today and. Tomorrows Publishers.

[15] Jayakumar, S., Kim, S. and Heo, J. 2011. Proc. Inter. Acad. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 1:151-168.

[16] John Britto, S., Balaguru, B., Soosairaj, S. and Arockiasamy, D.I. 2001a. J. Econ. Tax. Bot. 25: 58-62.

[17] John Britto, S., Balaguru, B., Soosairaj, S. and Arockiasamy, D.I. 2001b. J. Econ. Tax. Bot. 25: 81-90.

[18] Kanowski, P.J., Gilmour, D.A., Margules, C.R. and Potter, C.S. **1999**. *International Forest Conservation: Protected Areas and Beyond*. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

[19] Karthikeyan, S. and Tangavelou, A.C. **2011**. *Journey through Sacred groves*. Bio-Science Research Foundation, Pondicherry.

[20] Khan, M.L., Menon, S. and Bawa, K.S. 1997. Biodiver. Conserv. 6: 853–868.

[21] Khan, M.L., Khumbongmayum, A.D. and Tripathi, R.S. 2008. Inter. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 34: 277-291.

[22] Khiewtam, R.S and Ramakrishnan, P.S. 1989. Man in India 69: 64-71.

[23] Kosambi, D.D. 1962. Myth and Reality. Popular Press, Bombay, India.

[24] Lamb, D. and Tomlinson, M. 1994. J. Trop. For. Sci. 7: 157–170.

[25] Mani, S. and Parthasarathy, N. 2006. Curr. Sci. 90: 1238-1246.

[26] Manikandan, P., Venkatesh, D.R. and Muthuchelian, K. 2011. Journal of Bioscience Research 2:76-80.

[27] Matthew, K.M. 1982. Flora of the Tamil Nadu Carnatic. Vol 1-3, Rapinat Herbarium, Tiruchirappalli.

[28] Meher-Homji, VM. 1974. Inter. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 1:19-39.

[29] Nair, N.C. and Henry, A.N. 1983. Flora of Tamil Nadu. Ser. 1. Vol. 1. Botanical Survey of India Coimbatore.

[30] Ramakrishnan, P.S. **1992**. Shifting agriculture and sustainable development: An interdisciplinary study from north-eastern India. UNESCO–MAB Series, Paris and Parthenon Publ, Carnforth, Lancs., UK.

[31] Ramakrishnan, P.S. **1994**. Participatory development in managing population pressure on natural resources; in *Growing numbers and dwindling resources* (ed.) R Krishnan (New Delhi: Tata Energy Research Inst.) pp 86–96.

[32] Ramakrishnan, P.S. 1996. Nature Res. 32: 11-19.

[33] Ramakrishnan, P.S. 2001. J. Biosci. 26: 465–479.

[34] Sambandan, K. and Dhatchanamoorthy, N. 2012. J. Phytol. 4: 16-21.

[35] Sridhar Reddy, M. and Parthasarathy, N. 2006. Trop. Ecol. 47: 109 -123.

[36] Sukumaran, S. and Jeeva, S. 2008. EurAsia J. BioSci. 2: 66-72.

[37] Vinothkumar, D., Murugavelu, S. and Kethsy Prabhavathy, A. 2011. Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci. 2: 306-315.

[38] Wali, K. **1992** *Ecosystem Rehabilitation*. vol. 2, Ecosystem analysis and synthesis. SPB Academic Publications. The Hague.