The Effect of Prefabricated Neoprene Hand Splint on Grip Strength and Hand Dexterity in Children with Hemiplegic Cerebral Palsy: A Pilot Study

Sedigheh Sadat Mirbagheri¹ and Soraya Gharebaghi^{2*}

¹Department of Orthotic and Prosthetic, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Occupational Therapy, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

***Corresponding author**: Soraya Ghrebaghi, Department of Occupational Therapy, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, Tel: 00988118381572; E-mail: gharebaghy_ot@yahoo.com

Received date: Nov 15, 2016; Accepted date: Nov 07, 2017; Published date: Nov 14, 2017

Citation: Mirbagheri SS, Gharebaghi S (2017) The effect of Prefabricated Neoprene Hand Splint on Grip Strength and Hand Dexterity in Children with Hemiplegic Cerebral Palsy: A Pilot Study. Pros Orth Open J 1:16.

Copyright: © 2017 Mirbagheri SS, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Introduction: Cerebral palsy is a disorder of movement and posture that is caused by a non-progressive and permanent damage to the immature brain. Splints are often using to prevent deformity, supporting affected limbs, positioning, decreasing spasticity, immobilization, and to help hand functions such as grip, pinch-and release objects.

Material and methods: Six children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy (4 boys, 2 girls) aged 8 to 13 years old were included in this study. Grip strength and hand dexterity were determined using Digital hand Dynamometer and 8th subtest of Bruininks-oserestsky test of Motor Proficiency Measure (BOTM) in respect, with and without prefabricated hand neoprene splint on a session.

Results: No significant difference was observed in grip strength between the ones without splint (4.83 ± 3.92) and with splint (5.4 ± 6.18) , (p=0.345). A manual activities without splint (4.83 ± 3.92) did not significantly differ from the splint- worn (5.83 ± 3.6) one (p=0.343), as well as bimanual activities did not statistically significant difference without splint (2.16 ± 1.6) and with splint (2 ± 1.26) (p=0.1.705). The comparison of the mean total score in the 8th subtest of Bruininks-Oseretsky, demonstrated no statistically significant difference (p=0.458).

Conclusion: This study is pilot study and this sample size cannot show effect of prefabricated splint on grip strength and dexterity, so this pilot study showed defect of study that it should be considered in large sample size and obtain more exact conclusion.

Keywords: Hand splinting; Cerebral palsy; Muscle strength

Introduction

Cerebral palsy is a disorder of movement and posture that is caused by a non-progressive and constant (permanent) deficit that occurred in the developing brain [1-3]. There are significant functional limitations in 80% of the upper limbs of individuals with hemipelgic or quadripelgic CP [4]. Upper limb spasticity in this children lead to certain motor patterns including; 1) shoulder medial rotation, 2) elbow flexion with forearm supination, 3) wrist flexion and ulnar deviation and 4) swan neck and thumb-in-palm deformities [5]. The motor disorders of CP caused by the spasticity result in the decrease of joint range of motion, grip strength and hand manipulation skills [6].

Orthoses and splints are commonly used to improve hypertonicity of children with cerebral palsy [7], to prevent the spastic muscle shortening and deformities [4], to protect the involved extremity, positioning, immobilization [7], and to support motor control functions such as grip, pinch and release of objects [8]. Common static and dynamic splints which respectively provide complete joint immobilization and appropriate position with slight movement [5]. Dynamic splints permit controlled voluntary movements and may prohibit muscle shortening while activate antagonist force in order to resist spastic muscles [5,9].

Several case studies have indicated functional changes by using static splints in children with cerebral palsy [10,11]. Grip strength, dexterity and thumb active range of motion, have increased on account of these splints [12]. However static splints are rigid and immobilize the affected joint [5]. The hand splints in this study were made of soft material and might be biomechanically effective via appropriately positioning the wrist [13,14].

Former studies advocate the use of dynamic orthoses in children with cerebral palsy however there are a few studies on appraising the effect of prefabricated Neoprene orthoses on the hand function and skills of children with cerebral palsy.

No study assessed hand motor efficiency after using prefabricated Neoprene splint with Bruininks-oserestsky test of Motor Proficiency Measure (BOTM) in the children with CP. The purpose of the present study is investigating the effect of prefabricated Neoprene splint on hand grip and dexterity in children with cerebral palsy.

Materials and Methods

This quasiexperimental study addressed the analysis of differences in grip strength, hand and fingers dexterity before and after wearing Neoprene splints. The sample was conveniently selected using non-probability sampling methods, consisted of hemiplegic children admitted to Farshchian Hospital and Sheikoreese day clinic in Hamadan City.

The inclusion criteria were 6-14 years old educable hemiplegic children diagnosed by the related specialist, having the 1st and 2nd levels of the Manual Ability Classification System reported by the occupational therapist and appropriate collaboration of the participant and his/her family. Clients with fixed contractures or orthopedic surgeries and medication for decreasing spasticity through the recent 6 months and other neurological defects (seizure, visual deficits etc.) were excluded. All of children with CP receive exercise of occupational therapy.

The Human Research Ethics Committee of the Hamadan University of medical Sciences approved the study protocol. Prior to participating, all parents of the participant children read and signed the consent form.

Grip strength and hand/fingers dexterity were assessed randomly using Digital hand Dynamometer and 8th subtest of Bruininks-oserestesky in respect, with and without hand splint on a session (immediate effect) by a non-researcher.

All participants were positioned equally for the proximal joints during the session. Subjects were sited on chair with neutral shoulder adduction and rotation, 90° flexion of the elbow, and forearm and wrist in neuter on arm rest [15], and asked to carry out the movement with maximum strength while the examiner is holding the device. After three trials, the mean rate is recorded.

Each trial lasts 3 second, with a 10-second interval as rest. In assessing the strength, the client grips the dynamometer with thumb facing the fingers and fingers alongside each other.

The subtest of the upper limb agility and dexterity in Bruininks-Oseretsky consists of 8 items.

Three items for bimanual activities (putting two coins in two boxes with hands, string beads and sorting cards) and remaining ones for a manual activities which are separately analyzed.

As intervention, a prefabricated Neoprene splint covers twothird of the forearm elongated distally to metacarpophalangeal joints while the thumb is free. This prefabricated splint has a volar thermoplastic bar so as to provide 20° wrist extensions.

This orthosis aims to improve the position of the hemiplegic child's affected hand (wrist flexion, ulnar deviation). If the child was bored with the implementation, he/she took a rest for a while, so on that session the grip strength and hand dexterity were tested after wearing the splint. The immediate effect of the prefabricated Neoprene splint was evaluated before and after the coverage of splint.

Statistical method

Statistical analysis was completed through wilcoxon test using spss 16 software.

Results

Six children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy (4 boys, 2 girls) with a mean age of 9.5 ± 2.07 were included in this study (**Table 1**).

No significant difference was observed (p=0.345) in grip strength between the ones without splint (4.83 \pm 3.92) and with splint (5.4 \pm 6.18). A manual activities without splint (4.83 \pm 3.92) did not significantly differ from the splint- worn (5.83 \pm 3.6) ones (p=0.343), as well as bimanual ones without splint and with splint (2.16 \pm 1.6), (2 \pm 1.26) in respect (p=0.705). The comparison of the mean total score in the 8th subtest of Bruininks-Oseretsky test, demonstrated no statistically significant difference (p=0.458) (**Table 2**).

Table 1: Demographic data of the participants.

Participants	Gende r	Age	MACS	GMFCS
1	male	8	2	1
2	male	10	2	1
3	female	10	2	1
4	male	7	1	3
5	male	13	1	1
6	female	9	2	1
Mean (standard deviation)		9.5 (2.07)		

Table 2: Comparison of the variables with and without Neoprene splint (Wilcoxon Test).

Variable	Without splint Mean (SD) (N=6)	With splint Mean (SD) (N=6)	p value
Grip Strength (kg)	4.62 (2.84)	5.4 (6.18)	0.345
A manual activities function	4.83 (3.92)	5.83 (3.60)	0.343
Bimanual activities function	2.16 (1.6)	2 (1.26)	0.705
Manual dexterity	7 (5.29)	7.83 (4.66)	0.458

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of prefabricated Neoprene splint on grip strength and hand dexterity in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Application of dynamometer in order to measure the muscle strength in children with cerebral palsy was addressed in former studies which [16,17] indicated defect in muscular strength of these children. Muscle strength is effective in upper limb function of children with cerebral palsy [18,19].

The results of this study demonstrated no significant difference in grip strength with and without splint, although according to the **Table 2**, the mean of grip strength has increased. Patricia et al compared the effect of static splint with the dynamic one on grip strength and hand dexterity in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy [5]. Results indicated that dynamic splints increased grip strength and hand dexterity significantly, however fine motor strength was higher without using splint than both with static and dynamic one. Thermoplastic static splints also decreased the electrical activity of the forearm muscles while increased the shoulder muscles. This study suggests the use of thermoplastic spiral dynamic splint which improve grip strength and hand dexterity [5].

Barroso et al. [14] evaluated the effect of a wrist extending/ thumb abduction (WETA) orthosis with a volar thermoplastic bar on the range of motion of the trapeziometacarpal joint and grip strength and manual ability. The results showed significant increase in cylindrical grip, which could be result of wrist extension imposed by the splint.

In the present study, a prefabricated Neoprene splint with a thermoplastic bar was applied in the volar surface of the affected hand. Although no significant increase was observed in grip strength, the mean of grip strength with splint was increased.

As the size of children's hands differs from each other, splints should be customized according to child's hand in future studies. Patricia et al. investigated the impact of three positions (without splint, with dynamic and static splint) on hand dexterity of children with cerebral palsy by Purdue Pegboard Test; significant decrease was observed in testing time with dynamic splint than static one and without splint.

Louwers evaluated the immediate effect of wrist and thumb splint on bimanual activities of hemiplegic children, which resulted in significant improvement of bimanual activities [20].

In our study, no significant difference was obtained in hand dexterity (8th subtest of Bruininks-Oseretsky) with splint as well as a manual and bimanual item. Although a manual function with splint has insignificant increase. In this study a manual activities (pick up coines, draw the vertical line, was done with involved hand and according to this is a pilot study, maybe with increasing time using of splint, the well result was observed. there is no change in bimanual one. Maybe this is to because of the children was done bimanual activity with healthy hand and no increase was shown.

One of the limitations was that the clients were often below 6 years old and it was difficult to fabricate custom-made splints. Small prefabricated splints were also inappropriate for 6-9 years old children.

Conclusion

According to this study is pilot study and this sample size cannot show effect of prefabricated splint on grip strength and dexterity, so this pilot study showed defect of study that it should be considered in large sample size and obtain more exact conclusion. The results of this pilot study indicate that splints used for children with CP should be custom fitted or custom made and used for long periods of time.

References

- 1. Hsu JD, Michael J, Fisk J (2008) AAOS Atlas of Orthoses and Assistive Devices (4th edtn), Mosby, Canada.
- Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, Goldstein M, Bax M, et al. (2007) A report: The definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. Dev Med Child Neurol Suppl 109: 8-14.
- 3. Stanley F, Blair E, Alberman E (2000) Cerebral palsies: Epidemiology and causal pathways: Mac Keith Press, UK.
- Koman LA, Gelberman RH, Toby EB, Poehling GG (1990) Cerebral palsy: Management of the upper extremity. Clin Orthop Relat Res 253: 62-74.
- Burtner PA, Poole JL, Torres T, Medora AM, Abeyta R, et al. (2008) Effect of wrist hand splints on grip, pinch, manual dexterity, and muscle activation in children with spastic hemiplegia: A preliminary study. J Hand Ther 21: 36-43.
- Beckung E, Hagberg G (2002) Neuroimpairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 44: 309-316.
- Elliott C, Reid S, Hamer P, Alderson J, Elliott B (2011) Lycra® arm splints improve movement fluency in children with cerebral palsy. Gait Posture 33: 214-219.
- Flegle JH, Leibowitz JM (1988) Improvement in grasp skill in children with hemiplegia with the MacKinnon splint. Res Dev Disabil 9: 145-151.
- Kaplan N (1962) Effect of splinting on reflex inhibition and sensorimotor stimulation in treatment of spasticity. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 43: 565-569.
- 10. Carmick J (1997) Use of neuromuscular electrical stimulation and a dorsal wrist splint to improve the hand function of a child with spastic hemiparesis. Phys Ther 77: 661-671.
- 11. Currie D, Mendiola A (1987) Cortical thumb orthosis for children with spastic hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 68: 214-216.
- Goodman G, Bazyk S (1991) The effects of a short thumb opponens splint on hand function in cerebral palsy: A singlesubject study. Am J Occup Ther 45: 726-731.
- **13**. Clin H (2003) Casting, splinting, and physical and occupational therapy of hand deformity and dysfunction in cerebral palsy. Hand Clin 19: 573-584.
- Barroso PN, Vecchio SD, Xavier YR, Sesselmann M, Araujo PA, et al. (2011) Improvement of hand function in children with cerebral palsy via an orthosis that provides wrist extension and thumb abduction. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 26: 937-943.
- 15. Swanson AB, Matev IB, De Groot G (1970) The strength of the hand. Bull Prosthet Res 10: 145-153.
- Damiano DL, Martellotta TL, Quinlivan JM, Abel MF (2001) Deficits in eccentric versus concentric torque in children with spastic cerebral palsy. Med Sci Sports Exerc 33: 117-122.
- 17. Engsberg JR, Ross SA, Olree KS, Park TS (2000) Ankle spasticity and strength in children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 42: 42-47.

- **18**. Dodd KJ, Taylor NF, Damiano DL (2002) A systematic review of the effectiveness of strength-training programs for people with cerebral palsy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 83: 1157-1164.
- **19**. Vaz DV, Cotta M, Fonseca ST, De Melo Pertence AE (2006) Muscle stiffness and strength and their relation to hand function in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 48: 728-733.
- 20. Louwers A, MeesterDelver A, Folmer K, Nollet F, Beelen A (2011) Immediate effect of a wrist and thumb brace on bimanual activities in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 53: 321-326.