

Pelagia Research Library

Der Chemica Sinica, 2015, 6(3):83-92



Synthesis, spectroscopic and computational studies on charge-transfer complexes of 1,4-benzoquinone

Asmaa A. Ibrahim

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Treatment of 1,4-benzoquinone as acceptor with aniline, 2-tolidine, 4-toluidine, 2-aminothiazol and 8hydroxylquinoline as donors gave charge transfer complexes in good yields. The complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, IR and ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The data obtained indicate the formation of 1:1 charge transfer complexes, also a charge transfer interaction associated by intermolecular hydrogen bonding ($n-\pi^*$ electronic). The normal $\pi-\pi^*$ electronic interaction take place by transferring an electron from the donors ring to the acceptor ring. The equilibrium constants, the extinction coefficients calculated using equation such as modified Benesi-Hildebrand, The free energy, ionization potential and transition energy of the complexes were calculated.

Keyword: charge transfer complexes, acceptor, donors, the equilibrium constants and the extinction coefficients of the complexes.

INTRODUCTION

The term charge transfer gives a certain type of complex resulting from interactions of donor and acceptor with the formation of weak bond (1,2) and discussed widely by Foster (3). Charge transfer complexes using organic species are intensively studied because of their special type of interaction, which is accompanied by the transfer of an electron from the donor to the acceptor (4,5). In addition, protonation of the donor from acidic acceptors is generally a route for the formation of ion pair adducts (6,7).Electron donor–acceptor complex formation between acceptors and anilines has been studied (8,9). 1,4-Benzoquinone is the parent molecule of a class of compounds which play a relevant role in biological systems and in the formation of charge-transfer salts, most of the organic conductors based on charge-transfer complexes contain a 1,4-benzoquinone derivative as the acceptor component (10,11). Reactions of 1,4-benzoquinone with aromatic amines have been carried out (12,13). The reaction between 2-toluidine and 4- toluidine with 1,4-benzoquinone derivatives have been discussed (14-17).The reactions of 8-hydroxyquinoline with 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene, nitrophenols and 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in solid state have been studied spectroscopyically (18-21).Interaction of 2- aminothiazole base with acceptors give 1:1 molecular species (22,23). Herein, the spectrophotometer studies have been carried out for charge-transfer complexes of 1,4-benzoquinone with aniline, 2-toluidine, 4-toluidine,

2-aminothiazol and 8-hydroxylquinoline in methanol solvent. The equilibrium constant of charge–transfer complex (K_{CT}), molar extinction coefficient of CT complex (ϵ_{CT}), (ΔG°), (I_P) and (ΔE°) were calculated. The synthesized 1,4-benzoquinone CT-complexes were structurally characterized to interpret the behavior of interactions using IR, ¹H NMR, UV–Vis techniques and elemental analyses. HOMO, LUMO and energy gap values of donors and CT-complexes were determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

The compounds and solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company. Crystallization of 1,4-benzoquinone from 95% ethanol, (e.g. from room temperature to liquid N_2). It slowly decomposes and should be stored, refrigerated, in an evacuated or sealed glass vessel in the dark. It should be resublimed before use (24).

Instrumentals

Elemental analyses were measured at the Micro analytical unit of the Cairo University. The electronic absorption spectra of solutions of the CT complexes were measured on a Shimadzu UV-spectrophotometer model 1601 PC using 1 cm matched quartz cell. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 683 IR, spectrophotometer in Nujol mull. ¹HNMR spectra of the compounds were recorded using Brucker,300 MHz NMR spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer. ¹HNMR data are expressed in parts per million (ppm), referenced internally to the residual proton impurity in DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide, d₆) solvent and the reported of chemical shift (m = multiplet, s = singlet and br = broad).

Computational method

The drawing of the structure of the donors and acceptors were carried out on the Chem-Draw ultra 12.0 software and the structures were transported to Chem3D ultra 12.0 software for optimization.

Methods

Preparation of standard solutions

The electronic spectra of 1,4-benzoqinone (acceptor) with aniline, 2-tolidine, 4-toluidine, 2-aminothiazol and 8-hydroxylquinoline (donors) were measured in methanol as a blank. The CT complexes were formed by adding X ml (X = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 2.50 or 3.00 mL) aliquot of a standard solution $(5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol/L})$ of the appropriate acceptor (1,4-benzoqinone) in methanol was added to 1.00 mL of $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol/L}$ donors also in methanol. The total volume of the mixture was 5 mL. The concentration of donors [D]_oin the reaction mixture was thus fixed at $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol/L}$ while the concentration of acceptor [A]_o varied from 0.25×10^{-4} to $3.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol/L}$. The modified Benesi–Hildebrand method (25) were applied for the determination the formation constant, K_{CT}, and the absorptivity, ϵ_{CT} , values for each CT-complex resulted from this study.

Computational method

The drawing of the structure of the donors and acceptors were carried out on the Chem-Draw ultra 12.0 software and the structures were transported to Chem3D ultra 12.0 software for optimization.

Synthesis of the charge transfer complex

The solid CT complex was prepared by mixing the saturated solution of 1,4-benzoqinone (0.1081 gm, 1mmol) in 20 ml methanol with saturated solution of aniline(0.0931 gm, 1mmol), 2-tolidine (0.2144 gm,1mmol), 4-toluidine (0.1072 gm,1mmol), 2-aminothiazol (0.1001 gm, 1mmol) and 8-hydroxylquinoline (0.1452 gm, 1mmol) in 20 ml methanol. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3h. The complexes were recrystallized from methanol. Elemental analyses for all complexes demonstrated the formation of 1:1 molecular species and physical measurements data of CT complexes formed were listed (Table 1).

Complex	Calar	Farmela	M)	mn °C	Elemental analysis ^a		
Complex	Color	rormula	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{ma} & (\mathbf{M}_{w}) & \mathbf{mp}.^{*}\mathbf{C} \\ \hline & & & & \\ \end{array} $		%C	%Н	%N
An-Bq	grey	$C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$	(201.23)	140	71.63(71.01)	5.51(5.99)	6.96(7.40)
2-Tol-Bq	brown	$C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_2$	(296.37)	236	72.95(72.11)	6.80(6.99)	9.45(9.90)
4-Tol-Bq	black	$C_{13}H_{13}NO_2$	(215.26)	135	72.54(72.98)	6.09 (5.77)	6.51 (6.07)
8-Hq-Bq	brown	C ₁₅ H ₁₁ NO ₃	(253.26)	210	71.14(70.94)	4.38 (4.12)	5.53 (5.78)
2-At-Bq	Deep brown	$C_9H_8N_2O_2S$	(208.24)	290	17.31(17.88)	1.94 (1.77)	13.46 (13.11)

Table 1. Elemental analysis and Physical parameters data of charge transfer complex

^a Calculated (found)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Infrared spectra

The infrared spectra of the characterized bands of 1,4-benzoquinone (Bq), aniline (An), 2-aminothiazole (2-Ath), 4-toluidine (4-Tol), 2-toluidine (2-Tol) and 8-hydroxylquinoline (8-Hq) are represented in Table 2. The possibility of a donation process between 1,4-benzoquinone and donors (aniline, 2-aminothiazole, 4-toluidine, 2-toluidine) may be caused by a lone pair on the nitrogen atom of the amino group (26).

In Table 2, the spectra of free 1,4-benzoquinone, show a very strong band at 1680 cm¹ due to the stretching vibration of v (C = O). The spectra of their separated CT complexes show the following feature: a shift of v (C = O) due to a lower wavenumber is observed. This shift was attributed to the charge transfer from donor to acceptor upon complexation. This happened because of the expected changes in molecular symmetry and electronic structure of the reactants upon complexation. Therefore, CT complexation between aniline and 1,4-benzoquinone is strongly supported by IR spectra. There were changes in intensities of the peaks that distinguish the -NH₂ group (the donation sites for the aniline) (27) and also there were shifting for the peaks. The N-H stretching vibration is observed at 3011 cm⁻¹ in spectrum of the CT complex whereas in free aniline this is observed at 3442 cm⁻¹. The Infrared spectrum of 4-toluidine donor exhibits a group of bands at 3420–3330 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to the stretching vibrations v(N-H) and 2986, 2852 cm⁻¹ (CH₃ str.). In comparison to the CT complex that resulted, it was observed that there is shift in the v(N-H) to lower wavenumber values.IR spectra of the characterized bands of 8hydroxylquinoline, 1,4-benzoqinone and [(8-Hq)(Bq)] were represented in Table 2.The formation of CT complex were associated by hydrogen bonding between one Oxygen atom of Bq and Hydrogen atom of the OH group of 8-Hq (21). The shift of the IR bands of the acceptor to lower wavenumbers and those of the donors part to higher values reflects a donor to acceptor charge transfer of $\pi - \pi^*$ interaction, i.e. $D_{HOMO} \rightarrow A_{HOMO}$ transition (28).

Table 2. Infrared frequencies and	I tentative assignments for acceptor,	, donors and CT complexes
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------

Bq 3442 ms 1680 vs 1680 vs 1311 ms 1311 ms 1311 ms 1220 vs 1311 ms 1311 ms 1220 vs An 3442 ms 1619 vs 3360 s 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 2-Tol 3412 s 1573 s 3375 s 1520 w 3338 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3333 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3413 s 1629 s 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1520 s 3144 s 1527 s 3122 s 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1402 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 121 m 1600 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1202 s 1376 ms 1274 vs	Compounds	υ(N-H) υ(OH)	$v(C=O)+v(C=C)+\delta$ (NH ₂)	hvdrogen bond
1410 vs 1311 ms 1220 vs 1210 vs 1220 vs 1281 m 3360 s 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 3225 v 764 vs 3375 s 1625 vs 3375 s 1520 w 3375 s 1520 w 3375 s 1520 vs 3375 w 1625 vs 3375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 13144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1403 vw 1403 vw 1403 vw 1031 v 1492 ms 1031 v 1376 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1777 vs 1376 ms 1777 vs 1376 ms 1787 vs 1376 ms 1787 vs 1376 ms 1787 vs 1376 ms 1787 vs 1376 ms 1782 vs 1376 ms 1782 vs	Bq	3442 ms	1680 vs	
1311 ms 1220 vs An 3442 ms 1619 vs 3360 s 1281 m 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 2 3412 s 1573 s 1625 vs 3375 s 1625 vs 3338 ms 3338 ms 1489 vs 3338 ms 33375 s 1520 w 3338 ms 3338 ms 1489 vs 3333 ms 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 3475 ms 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 3144 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1314 v 1201 ms 1314 v 1201 ms 121 m 1606 ms 2006 v 1201 ms 1317 ms 13176 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1782 v Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2698 m 1782 v 1978 vw	4		1410 vs	
An 1220 vs An 3442 ms 1619 vs 3360 s 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 2-Tol 3475 s 1625 vs 3375 s 1520 w 3338 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3375 w 1590 w 3333 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1520 s 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1301 v 1201 ms 1300 vs 1314 s 1527 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1201 ms 1401 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1300 vs 1274 vs 2009 vw 1469 vs 1274 vs 2066 v 2909 vw 1469 ms 1274 vs 2			1311 ms	
An 3442 ms 1619 vs 3260 s 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 3215 s 1625 vs 3475 s 1625 vs 3375 s 1520 w 3338 ms 1489 vs 3338 ms 1489 vs 3338 ms 1625 vs 33375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 2-At 3413 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1422 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1201 ms 1274 vs 1201 ms 1274 vs 2.2006 v 1941 v 2836 m 2006 v 1274 vs 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2698 m 1778 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 3055 v			1220 vs	
3360 s 1281 m 3225 v 764 vs 2-Tol 3475 s 3412 s 1573 s 3338 ms 1489 vs 3338 ms 1489 vs 3337 s 1520 w 3338 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 1570 w 3337 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1201 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1374 vs 1376 ms 1381 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2090 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw	An	3442 ms	1619 vs	
3225 v $764 vs$ 2-Tol $3412 s$ $1573 s$ $3412 s$ $1573 s$ $3375 s$ $1520 w$ $3338 ms$ $1489 vs$ 4 -Tol $3417 ms$ $1625 vs$ $3375 w$ $1594 sh$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $3293 ms$ $1520 s$ $3122 s$ $1492 ms$ $1492 ms$ $1403 vw$ $1201 ms$ $1031 v$ $8-Hq$ $3042 s, br$ $1577 ms$ $1031 v$ $1031 v$ $1031 v$ $8-Hq$ $3042 s, br$ $1577 ms$ $1201 ms$ $1031 v$ $1376 ms$ $2000 vw$ $1473 w$ $1177 vw$ $2836 m$ $823 vs$ $1851 v$ $2698 m$ $1782 v$ $1941 v$ $2698 m$ $1782 v$ $1978 vw$ $3055 vw$ $1510 vw$ $1778 vw$ $4166 ms$ $1429 vs$ $1978 vw$ <td></td> <td>3360 s</td> <td>1281 m</td> <td></td>		3360 s	1281 m	
2-Tol 3475 s 1625 vs 3375 s 1573 s 3375 s 3338 ms 1489 vs 3338 ms 1489 vs 33375 w 1520 w 33375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 3333 ms 1510 vs 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1520 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1310 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs 2000 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2099 vw 1469 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw $1510 \text$		3225 v	764 vs	
3412 s $1573 s$ $3375 s$ $1520 w$ $3338 ms$ $1489 vs$ $4.Tol$ $3417 ms$ $1625 vs$ $3375 w$ $1594 sh$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $2.At$ $3413 s$ $1629 s$ $3144 s$ $1527 s$ $3293 ms$ $1520 s$ $3122 s$ $1498 ms$ $1403 vw$ 1403 vw $1403 vw$ 1201 ms $1201 ms$ 1201 ms $1201 ms$ 1201 ms $1201 ms$ 1201 ms $1201 ms$ 1274 vs $2909 vw$ $1469 vs$ $1941 v$ $2836 m$ $823 vs$ $1851 v$ $2909 vw$ $1469 vs$ $1941 v$ $2836 m$ $1782 v$ $3055 vw$ $1510 vw$ $1778 vw$ $3055 vw$ $1510 vw$ $1778 vw$ 46	2-Tol	3475 s	1625 vs	
3375 s $1520 w$ $3338 ms$ $1489 vs$ 4 -Tol $3417 ms$ $1625 vs$ $3375 w$ $1594 sh$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $3333 ms$ $1510 vs$ $1777 vw$ $1777 vw$ 2 -At $3413 s$ $1629 s$ $3124 s$ $1520 s$ $3293 ms$ $1520 s$ $3122 s$ $1498 ms$ $1492 ms$ $1403 vw$ $1201 ms$ $1031 v$ 8 -Hq $3042 s,br$ $1577 ms$ $1031 v$ $1376 ms$ $1274 vs$ $1274 vs$ Bq-An $3011 m$ $1606 ms$ $2006 v$ $2909 vw$ $1469 vs$ $1941 v$ $2836 m$ $1782 v$ Bq-2-Tol $3242 ms$ $1629 vs$ $1978 vw$ $3055 vw$ $1510 vw$ $1778 vw$ $4166 ms$ $-1778 vw$ $1510 vw$ $1778 vw$ <		3412 s	1573 s	
3338 ms 1489 vs 4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 3333 ms 1510 vs 1777 vw 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 1777 vw 2-At 3144 s 1527 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1402 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs 1376 ms 1274 vs 1376 ms 1274 vs 8-Hq 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1410 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1778 vw 14166 ms 1510 vw		3375 s	1520 w	
4-Tol 3417 ms 1625 vs 3375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 1777 vw 1777 vw 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 1629 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1031 v 1500 vs 1201 ms 1031 v 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs 12836 m 823 vs 8-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2836 m 823 vs 1978 vw 2698 m 1778 vw 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1429 ms 1429 ms 1426 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1778 vw Bq-8-Hq 3410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v 1455 w		3338 ms	1489 vs	
3375 w 1594 sh 3333 ms 1510 vs 1777 vw 1777 vw 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 3293 ms 1520 s 1498 ms 3122 s 1498 ms 1527 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1201 ms 1031 v 1201 ms 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1577 ms 1500 vs 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1031 v 1500 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 2090 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1499 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v	4-Tol	3417 ms	1625 vs	
3333 ms 1510 vs 1777 vw 1777 vw 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1031 v 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 2099 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1466 ms 1478 v 1466 ms 1478 v 1478 v 1475 v 1978 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 1466 ms 1475 w 1478 v 1478 v 1411 w 1411 w 1499 ms 1499 ms 1465 ms 2303 v 1499 vs 1499 vs 1941 v		3375 w	1594 sh	
1777 vw 2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1402 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1500 vs 1201 ms 1031 v 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2836 m 823 vs 1978 vw 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1778 vw 4166 ms 14199 ms 4166 ms 14199 ms 4165 ms 2363 v 1499 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 14169 ms 2363 v 1499 vs 14199 ms <		3333 ms	1510 vs	
2-At 3413 s 1629 s 3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1492 ms 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1500 vs 1473 w 1201 ms 1201 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1464 ms 1411 w 1499 ms 14499 ms 2332 v 4164 ms 14375 w 14399 vs 1309 vw 1469 vs 1911 v			1777 vw	
3144 s 1527 s 3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1492 ms 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1310 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1473 w 1201 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 13055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1466 ms 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 vw 1510 vw 1375 w 1499 ms	2-At	3413 s	1629 s	
3293 ms 1520 s 3122 s 1498 ms 1492 ms 1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1201 ms 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1473 w 1376 ms 1274 vs 1376 ms 2006 v 2836 m 2006 v 2836 m 823 vs 1782 v 1941 v 2836 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1466 ms 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1411 w 1411 w 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2066 v 13109 vw 1469 vs		3144 s	1527 s	
3122 s 1498 ms 1403 vw 1403 vw 1201 ms 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1473 w 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 vw 1411 w 1411 w 14455 w 14411 w 1375 w 1941 v 1309 vw 1460 ms 1411 w 1941 v		3293 ms	1520 s	
1492 ms 1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1473 w 1473 w 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs 1274 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 11778 vw 1411 w 14455 w 14499 ms 1375 w 14411 w 1450 vw 1375 w 1469 vs 1941 v		3122 s	1498 ms	
1403 vw 1201 ms 1031 v 1031 v 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1577 ms 11500 vs 1473 w 1177 ws 1473 w 1176 ms 1274 vs 1177 ws 1274 vs 1177 ws 1941 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 1406 ms 1411 w 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w 1309 vw 1469 ms 2006 v 13109 vw 1469 vs			1492 ms	
1201 ms 1201 ms 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1577 ms 1600 vs 1473 w 1774 vs 1376 ms 1774 vs 1376 ms 1774 vs 1274 vs 1774 vs 1274 vs 1774 vs 1941 v 2836 m 2006 v 2836 m 823 vs 1782 v 1782 v 8q-2-Tol 3242 ms 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 v 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1411 w 1499 ms 1455 w 14111 w 1411 w 1375 w 2006 v 13109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1403 vw	
Image: Network of the system Image: Network of the system Image: Network of the system 8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1473 w 1473 w 1376 ms 1376 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1645 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 14166 ms 14778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1455 w 1455 w 1455 w 1411 w 1455 w 14111 w 1375 w 1309 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1201 ms	
8-Hq 3042 s,br 1577 ms 1500 vs 1500 vs 1473 w 1473 w 1376 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1478 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1411 w 1411 w 1499 ms 1455 w 1455 w 1375 w 13109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1031 v	
1500 vs 1473 w 1473 w 1376 ms 1376 ms 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1466 ms 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1645 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1411 w 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v	8-Hq	3042 s,br	1577 ms	
1473 w 1376 ms 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 2836 m 823 vs Bq-Z-Tol 3242 ms 3055 vw 1510 vw 1466 ms 1778 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1466 ms 1778 vw 1466 ms 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1510 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1410 s,br 1645 ms 1499 ms 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1500 vs	
13 /6 ms 13 /6 ms Image: Bq-An 3011 m 1274 vs Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v 1978 vw Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: State of the state of			1473 w	
Image: Heat Stress Image: Heat Stress Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: Heat Stress 1978 vw 1778 vw Image: Heat Stress 1978 vw 1778 vw Image: Heat Stress 1466 ms 1478 vw Image: Heat Stress 1455 w 1455 w Image: Heat Stress 1375 w 13109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v Image: Heat Stress 1941 v 1941 v 1941 v 1941 v			1376 ms	
Bq-An 3011 m 1606 ms 2006 v 2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: State of the state of th		2011	1274 vs	2006
2909 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 2836 m 823 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1978 vw 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1978 vw 4166 ms 1978 vw 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1978 vw 1410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1455 w 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w 1375 w 8q-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 1941 v	Bq-An	3011 m	1606 ms	2006 v
2856 m 825 vs 1851 v 2698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1978 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1978 vw 1778 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1645 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1455 w 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w 8q-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v		2909 vw	1469 vs	1941 v
Z698 m 1782 v Bq-2-Tol 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1466 ms 1466 ms 1978 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1978 vw 1978 vw 1978 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 2363 v 1978 vw 1499 ms 1449 ms 2363 v 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v		2836 m	823 VS	1851 V
Bq-2-10l 3242 ms 1629 vs 1978 vw 3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 1466 ms Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 8q-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1455 w 14455 w 1411 w 1375 w 1375 w 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v	D 0 T 1	2698 m	1.000	1/82 V
3055 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw Image: Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: Image: Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image: Imag	Bq-2-101	3242 ms	1629 VS	19/8 vw
Image: Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Bq-4-Tol 3231 m 1629 vs 1978 vw Image:		3022 VW	1510 VW	1 / /8 VW
Bq-4-10l 3231 m 1029 vs 1976 vw 1510 vw 1510 vw 1778 vw 4166 ms 4166 ms 2363 v Bq-8-Hq 3410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1455 w 1411 w 1411 w 1375 w 1375 w 2006 v 1941 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 1941 v	D - 4 Tol	2021 m	1400 ms	1070
Interview Interview Interview Bq-8-Hq 3410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v Bq-8-Hq 3410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v Image: Interview 1499 ms 1455 w 1455 w Image: Interview 1411 w 1375 w 1375 w Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v Image: Interview 1469 vs 1941 v	Bq-4-101	3231 m	1629 VS	19/8 vw
Bq-8-Hq 3410 s,br 1645 ms 2363 v 1499 ms 1499 ms 1455 w 1411 w 1457 w 1375 w 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1510 VW	1//8 VW
Bq-8-Hq 5410 s,01 1045 ms 2505 v 1499 ms 1499 ms 1455 w 1411 w 1375 w 1375 w 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v	Pa & Ha	2410 s br	4100 IIIS	2263 v
Image: Image in the second s	вү-о-пү	5410 8,01	1/104.5 IIIS	2303 V
Image: Heat of the second se			1455 m	
Image: Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1455 w 1411 w	1
Bq-2-At 3324 m 1606 ms 2006 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v			1411 W 1275 W	
Bq-2-At 5324 m 1000 ms 2000 v 3109 vw 1469 vs 1941 v 823 vc 823 vc 1941 v	Ba 2 At	3324 m	1575 W	2006 v
823 vs	Dy-2-Ai	3324 III 3100 yw	1460 vs	1041 v
		J107 VW	873 vs	1741 V

Absorption spectra

The electronic spectra of the aniline, 2-toluidine, 4-toluidine, 2-aminothiazole and 8-hydroxyquinoline (An, 2-Tol, 4-Tol, 2-At and 8-Hq) as a donors with 1,4-benzoquinone (Bq) as π -acceptor and their CT complexes are shown in Fig.1A,B,C, D and E. The bands of [(An)(Bq)] are observed at 305 and 295 nm for the complex in Fig 1A and [(2-At)(Bq)] are observed at 270 nm (Fig.1E). also, the spectra in the case of [(2-Tol) (Bq)] system have definite bands at 295 nm (Fig. 1B). The corresponding absorption bands associated with the formation of the complex [(8-Hq)(Bq)] are observed at 310 nm (Fig 1D). All of these CT bands disappeared in the spectra of both the donors and acceptors, it seemed shifted to red or blue wavelengths [(8-Hq)(Bq) or (An)(Bq), (2-At)(Bq) and (2-Tol)(Bq)] which indicate the formation of the charge transfer (29). The electronic spectra of the 4-toluidine (4-Tol) as a donor with 1,4benzoquinone (Bq) as an acceptor and their CT-complexes are shown in Fig.1C. The spectra of the [(4-Tol)(Bq)] system have definite bands at 287 nm (hyperchromic effect). The change of the absorbance intensity to higher when adding the acceptor to donor was detected and investigated to form CT complex (15). The possibility of a donation from the aniline, 2-toluidine, 4-toluidine and 2-aminothiazole to 1.4-benzoquinone exists by a ion pair of electrons on the nitrogen atom of $-NH_2$ group and the carbonyl oxygen of Bq (30,31). Also, form hydrogen bonding between 8-hydroxy-quinoline and acceptor in the complex (20). The absorption bands were characteristic of an intermolecular charge transfer involving the overlap of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the acceptor with the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the donor. The donors are relatively electron rich and Bq is relatively electron poor compound, they tend to associate with one another in a loose interaction known as electron - donor - acceptor (EDA) complexes. The low energy absorptions observed in solutions containing both a donor and an acceptor which have been described by Mulliken (32) as charge transfer transitions involving the excitation of an electron on the donor to an empty orbital on the acceptor.

The formation constant (K_{CT}) and molar extinction coefficients (ϵ_{CT}) were calculated using the following modified Benesi-Hildebrand equation (25). The modified Benesi-Hildebrand analysis of K_{CT} involves the measurement of the D--A CT absorbance (A) as a function of varied [A]_o when [A]_o>>[D]_o. A plot of $x = [A]_o + [D]_o vs y = [A]_o [D]_o / A$ gives a y-intercept = $1/K_{CT}\epsilon_{CT}$ and slope = $1/\epsilon_{CT}$ (Fig 2, Table 3).

 $[A]_{o} [D]_{o} / A = [A]_{o} + [D]_{o} / \varepsilon_{CT} + 1/K_{CT}\varepsilon_{CT}$ (1)

where A = absorbance of the charge transfer band complex, [A]o = initial concentration of the electron acceptor, [D]o = initial concentration of the electron donor, K_{CT} = formation constant of charge transfer complex in solution, and ϵ_{CT} = molar extinction coefficient.







 $\label{eq:Figure 1. Electronic absorption spectra of A- [(An)(Bq)], B-[(2-Tol)(Bq)], C- [(4-Tol)(Bq)], D-[(8-Hq)(Bq)] and E-[(2-At)(Bq)] where 1-acceptor (1x10^{-4} M), 2-donor (1x10^{-4}) M and 3-CT complex(1x10^{-4} M) (1x10^{-4} M) (1x$



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Fig. 2.Relation between [A]_{o} [D]_{o} / \mbox{ A x 10^{-9} and [A]_{o} + [D]_{o} x 10^{-6} for \mbox{ the complex of A- 1-[(4-Tol)(Bq)] and 2-[(2-Tol)(Bq)], B-1-[(8-Hq)(Bq)], B$

The standard free energy of complex was calculated from the formation constant by the following equation (33):

 $\Delta G^{o} = - RT \ln K_{CT} \quad (2)$

Where ΔG° was the free energy of the complex , R is gas constant, T is the temperature in Kelvin degree and K_{CT} was the formation constant of complex (Table 3). The complex were found to have small value of - ΔG° value ($K_{CT}>1$, $\Delta G^{\circ}<1$), indicating a weak nature of the complex and spontaneous reaction between D⁺ and A⁻.

From the electronic spectra of CT charge transfer compounds calculate the electron energy transition E_{CT} , I_P is ionization potential of donor, E_A is electron affinity of acceptors (Table 3).

Electron energy transition E_{CT} calculated by applying the following equation (34):

Pelagia Research Library

$E_{CT} = 1243.667/\lambda(nm)$ (3)

The I_P values can be calculated through the use of the following: $I_P = a+bv$

Where a and b are constants amounting to 4.390 and 0.857(35) or 5.156 and 0.778 (36) or 5.110 and 0.701(37). v is transition energy from HOMO to LUMO (π - π *)transition of the free donor, which is taken approximately for that in solution (the solvation energy is low compared to electronic excitation energy).

E_A is electron affinity of acceptor calculated by using Briegleb relation (7).

 $E_{CT} = I_P - (E_A + C)$

Where I_P is ionization potential of donor, E_A is electron affinity of acceptor and C is Coulombic force =5.2 eV(38). Electron affinity of the acceptor can be calculated through a linear relationship between E_{CT} against I_P (Fig. 3) and from intercept can be calculated electronic affinity of p-benzoquinone. In the present work, E_A is equal to 1.93 (39).

(4)



Fig. 3.Plot of CT transition energies of complexes versus ionization potential

Table 3.Physical parameters data of the complex

complex	$\lambda_{\rm CT}$	K _{CT}	ε _{CT}	E _{CT}	Ір	ΔG
	nm	mol ⁻¹	mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹	eV	mean value	Kcalmol ⁻¹
An-Bq	315	10503	11600	3.948	8.334	5.436
4-Tol-Bq	287	10864	8.347	4.333	8.250	5.467
2-Tol-Bq	295	16915	15.22	4.216	8.158	5.644
8-Hq-Bq	312	25374	4.152	4.012	8.461	6.08
2-At-Bq	270	41479	34.965	4.606	8	6.483

¹HNMR spectra

The proton magnetic resonance, ¹HNMR spectra of the CT complexes of 1,4-benzoquinone with aniline, 4toluidine, 2-toluidine, 2-aminothiazol and 8-hydroxyl-quinoline were measured in DMSO solvent using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. The chemical shifts (δ) of the different types of protons of the acceptor, donors and CT complexes are listed in Table 4. There are changes in the chemical shift values of CT complexes rather than the free donors and acceptor in ¹H NMR spectrum (7). The singlet centered at δ 5.3 ppm of (Bq-An) is attributed to NH₂ group which is observed at δ 3.55 ppm of An (40). ¹H- NMR spectrum of the complexes formed from the interactions between an electron donors with π -acceptor, Hydrogen atom of the NH₂ group of the donors makes intermolecular hydrogen bonding with Oxygen atom of π -acceptor (Scheme 1). The signal of 2H, NH₂of 2-Tol, 4-Tol and 2-At in of (2-Tol-Bq), (4-Tol-Bq) and (2-At-Bq) CT complexes were observed at δ 4.67, 5.95 and 5.92 ppm resulted from the intermolecular hydrogen bond. The phenolic proton in the 8-hydroxyquinoline usually appears at δ 8.34 ppm. For this complex, it seems to have been shifted, this is resulted the OH of 8-hydroxyquinoline form hydrogen bond with O of acceptor. All the observed proton peaks in the spectra of the starting reactants are also exist in the formed CT complexes spectra with small shifted in values.

Compound	Chemical shift	Assignment
Bq	δ 6.8 pmm	(S, 4H, Ar-H)
An	δ 3.6 ppm	(S, 2H, -NH ₂)
	δ 6.6 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
	δ 6.7 ppm	(d, 1H, Ar-H)
	δ 7.12 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
2-Tol	δ 2.14ppm	(S, 6H, 2x CH ₃)
	δ 4.77 ppm	(S, 4H, 2xNH ₂)
	δ 6.67 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
	δ 7.11-7.16 ppm	(m, 4H, Ar-H)
4- Tol	δ 2.14 ppm	(S, 3H, CH ₃)
	δ 4.86 ppm	(S, 2H, NH ₂)
	δ 6.50 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
	δ 6.80 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
2 - At	δ 4.6 ppm	(S, 2H, -NH ₂)
	δ 6.2 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
	δ 6.7ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)
8-Hq	δ 7.32 ppm	(s, 1H, Ar H)
	δ 7.34 ppm	(s, 1H, OH)
	δ 7.45 ppm	(s, 1H, Ar H)
	δ 8.05 ppm	(d, 1H, Ar H)
	δ 8.08 ppm	(d, 1H, Ar H)
	δ 8.73 ppm	(d, 1H, Ar H)
Bq- An	δ 5.9 ppm	(S, 3H, NH ₂)
	δ 7.3-8.10 ppm	(d, 4H, Ar-H)
Bq - 4 - Tol	δ 2.33 ppm	(S, 3H, CH ₃)
	δ 5.95 ppm	(S, 3H, NH ₂)
	δ 6.72-7.20 ppm	(d, 4H, Ar-H)
Bq - 8 - Hq	δ 7.34 ppm	(S, 1, OH)
	δ 7.32-7.45 ppm	(S, 1H, Ar-H)
	δ 8.05-8.73 ppm	(d, 1H, Ar-H)
Bq 2-At	δ 5.9 ppm	(S, 3H, NH ₂)
	δ 7.2 ppm	(d, 4H, Ar-H)
	δ 8.02-8.51 ppm	(d, 2H, Ar-H)

Table 4: ¹HNMR spectra for acceptor, donors and their CT complex







С



Ĵ



 \mathbf{B} $\mathbf{NH}_{2}^{+} \cdots \mathbf{O}^{-}$ $\pi - \pi *$ $\mathbf{CH}_{3} \qquad \mathbf{O}^{-}$



Scheme 1:Mode of the interaction between 1,4-benzoquinone and donors (A-aniline, B-2-toluidine, C-4-toluidine, D-8-hydroxyquinoline and E-2-aminothiazole)

HOMO and LUMO analysis

Molecular orbital and their properties like energy are very useful to the physicists and chemists and their frontier electron density used for predicting the most reactive position in π -electron system and also explained several types of reaction in conjugated system (41). The electronic absorption corresponds to the transition from the ground to the first excited state is mainly described by on electron excitation from the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)(42). Moreover, the Eigen values of highest occupied molecular orbitals – HOMOs (π -donor) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals – LUMOs (π -acceptor) and their energy gap reflect the chemical activity(43). Recently, the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO has been used to prove the bioactivity from intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) (44,45).

Computational analysis of energy levels of HOMO and LUMO orbitals was calculated and the frontier orbitals (HOMO, LUMO) of free donors and CT-complexes are plotted in Fig. 4. The HOMO, LUMO and the results of the energy gap values are reported in Table5. The most important orbitals in a molecules are the frontier molecular orbitals, called highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). These orbitals determine the way the molecule interacts with other species. We have calculated the energy gap of the charge complexes figure and the complexes between them figure (4), which support the idea that the aniline, 2-tolidine, 4-toluidine, 2-aminothiazol and 8-hydroxylquinoline act as donors due to high electron density while p-benzoqunone act as acceptors. The reduced HOMO-LUMO energy gap of p-benzoquinone with this donors as well as the charge transfer of chloranilic acid with hexane-1,6-diol (46). The order of stability can be summarized as; An-Bq> 2-Tol-Bq > 2-At-Bq>8-Hq-Bq>4-Tol-Bq.

Compound	LUMO	HOMO	Energy gap
An-Bq	-9.427	-10.623	-1.196
4-Tol-Bq	-3.595	-11.690	-8.365
2-Tol-Bq	-8.474	-9.782	-1.308
8-Hq-Bq	-5.458	-8.126	-2.668
2-At-Bq	0.373	-2.21	-2.583

Table 5. HOMO	LUMO ar	nd energy gay	p values of	CT-complexes i	n eV
	,		p	0 - e0	









Figure 4. HOMO, LUMO and energy gap values of donors and CT-complex in eV.(Red color represents gain in electron density and blue color represents loss of electron density)

CONCLUSION

The interaction between 1,4-benzoquinone with aniline, 2-tolidine, 4-toluidine, 2-aminothiazol and 8-hydroxylquinoline has been discussed in the solid and solution form. ¹HNMR, Infrared and electronic absorption

Pelagia Research Library

spectra investigation indicate that a charge transfer interaction between the donor and acceptor are due to $\pi \to \pi^*$ and/or $n \to \pi^*$ transitions. The formation constant, extinction coefficient, free energy, ionization potential and transition energy of the associated charge transfer complexes were calculated. The HOMO, LUMO and the results of the energy gap values are reported.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mulliken RS, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 600.
- [2] Mulliken RS and Pearson WB, Molecular complexes, Publishers, New York, 1969.
- [3] Foster R, Charge transfer complexes, Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [4] Das SK, Krishnamoorthy G, DofraSK, Can. J. Chem., 2000, 78, 191.
- [5] Jones G, Jimenez JAC, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40,8551.
- [6] Smith G, Lynch DE, Bott RC, Aust. J. Chem., 1998, 51, 159.
- [7] Smith G, Bott RC, Rae AD and Willis AC, Aust. J. Chem., 2000, 53 (6), 531-534.
- [8] Nogami T, Yoshihara K, Hosoya H and Nagakura S, J. Phys. Chem., 1969, 73, 8, 2670-2675.
- [9] Bhattacharya S, Banerjee M and Mukherjee AK, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2001, 57, 12, 2409-2416.
- [10] Trumpower BL, Functions of Quinones in Energy ConVerting
- Systems; Trumpower, B. L., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1982.
- [11] Kuroda R, Sato T and Imai Y, Cryst.Eng.Comm., 2008, 10, 1881-1890.
- [12] Singh NB and Singh RJ, Reactivity of Solids, 1990, 8, (1-2), 115-140.
- [13] Singh NB, Ind. J. Chem., 2005, 4(4), 661-666.
- [14] Singh NB, Singh NP, Renu and Singh K, Solid-state reaction between o-toluidine and 1,4-benzoquinone, *Ind. J. Chem.*, **1997**, **3**6(1), 41-46.
- [15] Refat MS, Sadeek SA and Khater HM, Spectrochim. Acta A,2006, 64, 778-788.
- [16] Singh N, Khan IM and Ahmad A, Zh. fizicheskoikhim., **2010**, 84,4, 683-690.
- [17] Ibrahem AA, Asian Trans. Basic and Appl. Sci., 2012, 1,6, 42-52.
- [18] Singh NB, Singh NP, Kumar VA and Nethaji M, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 1994, 2, 361-366.
- [19] Singh NB, Singh K and Singh R, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1999, 333, 269-279.
- [20] Singh NB, Srivastava A and FrÖhlich R, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., 2001, 2, 838-842.
- [21] Khan IM and Ahmad A, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, **2009**, 73, 5, 966-971.
- [22] Ramadan RM, El-Atrash AM and Ibrahim AM, Spectrochim. Acta A, 1990, 46, 9, 1305-1312.
- [23] Mohamed HA, El-Medani SM and Ramadan RM, Ind. Chem. Soc., 2005, 82, 799-806.
- [24] Wolfenden R, Liang Y-L, Matthews M and Williams R, J. Am. Soc., 1987, 109, 463-466.
- [25] Benesi HA and Hildebrand JH, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 2703.
- [26] Middleton WJ, Little EL, Coffman DD and Engelhardt VA, J. Am. Chem.Soc., 1958, 80, 2795-2806.
- [27] Alghanmi RM, and Habeeb MMJ, Mol. Struct., 2013, 1034, 223-232.
- [28] Kross RD and Fassel VA, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 38.
- [29] Refat MS and EL-Metwally NM, Chinese Sci. Bull., 2011, 56: 1993–2000.
- [30] Gölcü A, Kurtoğlu M and Serin S, Fen VeMühendislikDergisi, 2000, 3,1, 23-27.
- [31] Singh NB, Pathak A and FröhlichR, Aust. J. Chem., 2003, 56(4), 329 333.
- [32] Mulliken RS, J. Chim. Phys., 1964, 61, 20.
- [33] Martin AN, Swarbrick J and Cammarata A : Lee, Febidger (Eds.) Physical Pharmacy" 3rd ed., 1969, p.344;
- Philadelphia PA, Morton RA, Biochemistry of Quinones, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [34] Briegleb G, Z. Angew. Chem., 1964, 76, 326.
- [35] Mosten RS, J.Am. Chem. Physic., 1952, 24, 602.
- [36] Becker RS and Wentworth WF, **1963**, 85, 2210.
- [37] Wheat CD, *Hand Book of Chemistry and Physics*, 50th Edit, the Chemicall rubber company, Ohio USA, **1969-1970**.
- [38] Issa YM, El-Kholy AE and EL-Ansary AL, ActaChemicaHungarica, 1985, 118, 1, 43.
- [39] Fukuda EK, McIver RT, Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2291.
- [40] Erkki K, Ryszard G, Borys O, NMR Spectra of Anilines, John Wiley, 2007.
- [41] Fukui K, Yonezawa T, Shingu H, J. Chem. Phys., 1952, 20, 722.
- [42] Krishnakumar V, Barathi D, Mathammal R, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2012, 86, 196.
- [43] John Xavier R, Gobinath E, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2012, 86, 242.
- [44] Padmaja L, Ravi Kumar C, Sajan D, Hubert Joe I, Jayakumar VS, Pettit GR, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2009, 40, 419.
- [45] Sagdinc S, Pir H, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2009, 73, 181.
- [46] Refat MS, El-Sayed MY, Adam AA, Saad HA and Eldaroti HH, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2013, 8, 4234 4259.