Available online at www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com



Pelagia Research Library

Der Chemica Sinica, 2016, 7(4):1-7



Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of 2-Benzylidene-1,3 Indandiones: A Structure-Reactivity Study

Radhakrishnan K¹, Mohandass P¹, Sankaralingam S² and Chandra Mohan S³

¹Department of Chemistry, Saraswathi Narayanan College, Perungudi, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India ²Department of Botany, Saraswathi Narayanan College, Perungudi, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India ³Division of Phytochemistry, Shanmuga Centre for Medicinal Plants Research, Thanjavuru, Tamil Nadu, India

ABASTRACT

Substituted 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones have been prepared and characterized by UV, IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral analysis. The antimicrobial activities and structure reactivity correlation of the compounds have been studied.

Keywords: Substituted 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones; Antimicrobial; Correlation studies

INTRODUCTION

Different methods have been used for the synthesis of 1,3-indandione derivatives with substitution at position 2. Previous studies [1,2] reported phenylation of 1,3-indandione with diaryliodonium salts and α -alkenylation of β -dicarbonyl compounds with alkenyltriarylbismuthonium salts. The Friedel-Crafts methods were also reported for the derivatization of 1,3-indandione at position 2 [3]. In addition to these conventional methods, the electrochemical synthesis has also been used for preparation of indandione derivatives with catechol or 2,3-dimethylhydroquinone ring on their position 2 [4-6].

Studies of substituent effects on zone of inhibition against the growth of microorganisms in various substituted N-(1-piperidino benzyl) nicotinamide [7] and substituted N-(1-piperidinobenzyl) acetamide and substituted N-(1-morpholinobenzyl) acetamide [8] have been reported. The literature reveals that there is a little work done on the antimicrobial study of activated olefinic compounds. As a part of our interest in the structure-reactivity study, we have synthesized 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones and studied the antimicrobial activity to find out the substituent effect on 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandione.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All chemicals used were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Purity of the compounds was checked by TLC on silica gel G plates. UV-Visible spectra were recorded on a CARY VARION (V 550), CHCl₃ was used as a solvent. Infrared spectra were obtained on a PARAGON 500 spectrometer on KBr pellets. ¹H and ¹³C spectra were obtained on a BRUKER AMX 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shift of ¹H were measured with the peak of CDCl₃ at δ 7.29 as the internal reference, while those of ¹³C were recorded with the central peak of CDCl₃ at δ 77.03 as the internal reference.

General procedure for the synthesis of 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones (1 to 6)

Preparation of 2-Benzylidene-1,3-indandione and its substituted compounds (1 to 6) were done as per the reported procedure [9]. To the calculated amount of the appropriate benzaldehyde (12.5 mM) and 1,3-indandione (12.5 mM) in warm ethyl alcohol was added a 10% solution of sodium hydroxide (catalytic amount) and the reaction mixture stirred for 2 h to 3 h and left overnight (**Scheme 1**). The solvent was removed in vacuum.

Pelagia Research Library



Spectral analysis of compounds (1 to 6)

Compound 1: 2-(4'-Methoxybenzylidene)-1,3-indandione

UV: 252,386 nm; **IR**: 526.21, 731.99, 839.46, 1022.34, 1174.91, 1266.32, 1505.89, 555.70, 1581.14, 2839.62, 3439.07 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR**: δ 3.917(s,3H), 6.998-7.027(m,2H), 7.766-7.842(m,2H), 7.958-8.00(m,2H), 8.529-8.558(m,2H); ¹³**C NMR**: δ 55.60,114.38, 123.07, 126.46, 134.87, 146.86, 189.54, 190.86.

Compound 2: 2-(4'-Methylbenzylidene)-1,3-indandione

UV: 264,357 nm; **IR:** 739.74, 818.12, 989.52, 1080.52, 1189.24, 1332.42, 1351.89, 1376.46, 1588.08, 1683.93, 1725.29, 3489.89 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR: δ 2.343(s,3H), 7.178(d,2H), 7.689-7.710(m,2H), 7.766(s,1H), 7.899(dd,1H), 8.287(d,1H); ¹³C NMR: δ 22.01, 123.22, 123.22, 128.17, 135.04, 147.10, 189.20, 190.53.

Compound 3: 2-Benzylidene-1,3-indandione

UV: 264,345 nm; **IR**: 568.09, 682.38, 732.69, 981.07, 1198.94, 1244.02, 1345.96, 1376.53, 1586.17, 1683.75, 1724.93, 2364.96, 3063.50, 3783.09 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR:** δ 7.519-7.580(m,4H), 7.824-7.846(m,2H), 7.9249(s,1H), 8.020-8.047(m,1H), 8.465-8.4869(dd,2H); ¹³**C NMR:** δ 123.26, 128.82,129.17, 135.25, 135.45, 189.04, 190.32.

Compound 4: 2-(4'-Chlorobenzylidene)-1,3-indandione

UV: 265,351 nm; **IR:** 830.56, 1074.32, 1092.42, 1203.63, 1411.93, 1486.02, 1582.35, 1609.80, 1689.99, 1726.37, 2363.08, 3092.11, 3696.20 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR**: δ 7.474-7.495,(m,2H), 7.827(s,1H), 7.834-7.848(m,2H), 7.997-8.018(m,2H), 8.417-8.438(m,2H); ¹³**C NMR:** δ 123.44, 129.14, 131.54, 135.39, 145.20, 189.01, 189.98.

Compound 5: 2-(4'-Bromobenzylidene)-1,3-indandione

UV: 265,353 nm; **IR:** 736.35, 828.32, 1072.53, 1162.92, 1202.37, 1248.20, 1408.55, 1485.35, 1577.50, 1612.90, 1689.61, 1726.98, 3086.69 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR:** δ 7.640-7.662(dd,2H), 7.811(s,1H), 7.829-7.851(m,2H), 8.011-8.035(m,2H), 8.322-8.353(dd,2H); ¹³**C NMR:** δ 123.44, 129.63, 132.16, 135.57, 145.27, 189.01, 189.96.

Compound 6: 2-(4'-Carboxybenzylidene)-1,3-indandione

UV: 263,340 nm; **IR:** 734.01, 988.89, 1156.73, 1202.53, 1248.65, 1293.26, 1428.79, 1592.13, 1618.67, 1687.05, 1731.80, 2549.02, 2825.28 cm⁻¹; ¹**H NMR:** δ 7.851(s,1H), 7.902-7.923(m,2H), 7.955-7.992(m,2H), 8.039(d,2H), 8.465(d,2H); ¹³**C NMR:** δ 39.05, 123.64, 129.70, 134.37, 136.36, 144.36, 188.60, 189.34.

Antimicrobial activity

Agar well-diffusion method was followed to determine the antimicrobial activity [10]. Nutrient agar (NA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates were swabbed (sterile cotton swabs) with 8 h old broth culture of respective bacteria. Wells (6 mm) were made in each of these plates using sterile cork borer. Briefly, agar plates were inoculated with bacterial strain under aseptic conditions and wells (diameter=6 mm) were filled with 50 μ l of the test samples and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After the incubation period, the radii of the growth of inhibition zones were measured. The distance between centers of the well to the edge of the zone was determined to be the inhibition zone radius. Three inhibition zone radii measurements were taken for each well and averaged, for each replicates the readings were taken in three different fixed directions and the average values were recorded. The average inhibition zone radii for the various bacteria are shown in **Table 1**.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus) and five gram-negative bacteria (Aeromonas hydrophila,

Pelagia Research Library

Escherichia coli, Psedomonas aeruginosa, Proteus mirabilis, and *Vibrio paraheamolyticus*) were used. The result of the present study showed a broad range of antimicrobial activity. The data found in the literature, that the compounds with halogen substituent are the most efficient against gram-positive bacteria, particularly against *S. aureus* [11,12]. But in this study, we found more or less equal zone of inhibition values for all gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (**Figure 1**). It shows that the antibacterial activity depends upon substituent only. Compound 6 exhibited excellent antibacterial activity. It has been established that the –COOH group has an excellent metal-binding capacity [13]. This explains the higher antibacterial activity. The results also reveal that the antibacterial activity is affected by the nature of the substituent group (X) found in the aryl ring. The chloride derivative is characterized by greater antibacterial activity than that of the methyl and methoxy derivatives. According to Mohamed et al. [13] this may be attributed to the electron-withdrawing character of the chlorine group that decreases the electron density in the indandiones group, increasing its cationic character. The derivatives with electron withdrawing groups showed strong antibacterial activity than those of electron donating group [14]. Electron-withdrawing substituent increases acidity also. Bacterial growth is inhibited by increasing the acidity of the substituents. The order of antibacterial activity of compounds (1 to 6) for all the microorganism were in the following sequence:

|--|

S. No.	Name of the microorganisms	Inhibition zone radius (mm)							
		Standard (Amphotericin-B)	-OCH3	-CH3	-H	–Cl	-Br	-СООН	
1	Aeromonas hydrophila	21	5	6	7	8	9	12	
2	Escherichia coli	16	6	7	8	9	10	12	
3	Psedomonas aeruginosa	21	5	6	8	8	9	11	
4	Proteus mirabilis	18	5	6	7	9	10	12	
5	Staphylococcus aureus	16	6	7	8	9	9	11	
6	Vibrio paraheamolyticus	18	5	7	9	10	10	12	



Figure 1: Antimicrobial activity of substituted 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones.

If atom or group attracts electrons less strongly than hydrogen, it is said to have +I effect (electron repelling or electron releasing) viz., $-OCH_3$, $-CH_3$ groups showing lesser zone inhibition values compared to unsubstituted phenyl ring (-H).

In order to express the effect of substituents quantitatively it was considered worthwhile to correlate the logarithm of inhibition zone radius (IZR) of 1 to 6 at the same concentration with the Hammett substituent constants for all the microorganisms. The results of statistical SSP analysis are given in **Table 2**. The corresponding Hammett plot for *V*. *paraheamolyticus* is shown in **Figure 2**.

The positive value of the reaction constant (ρ) equation 1:

 $\log IZR = (0.32 \pm 0.01)\sigma_{p}^{+} + (0.952 \pm 0.003)$

(1)

(r=0.998, n=6, F=1337.04)

Indicates that electron withdrawing substituents increase the antimicrobial activity and electron releasing substituents retard it.

DSP analysis has been performed for each of the resonance scale (σ_R , σ_R^+ , σ_R^-). The best fit of DSP analysis for *A. hydrophila* is taken from satisfactory correlation coefficient (R) and least standard error (SE) of the regression equations (2) and (3) and the result obtained given in **Table 3**.

Table 2: Results of statistical treatment of log IZR (mm) with σ_p , σ_p^{-} , σ_p^{+} , σ_p^{-}/σ_p , $\sigma_p^{+}/\sigma_p^{-}$, $\sigma_p^{-}/\sigma_p^{-}$, $\sigma_p^{+}/\sigma_p^{-}$, $\sigma_p^{-}/\sigma_p^{-}$ substituent constants using single parameter Equation 1.

S. No.	Bacteria	Scale	ρ	r	s	F	Log(IZR) ^o	Ν
1	Aeromonas hydrophila	$\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.48 ± 0.04	0.981	0.03	104.12	0.839 ± 0.012	6
		σ _P ^o	0.50 ± 0.11	0.915	0.06	20.56	0.815 ± 0.028	6
		σ_{p}^{+}	0.30 ± 0.05	0.95	0.05	37.47	0.897 ± 0.019	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.29 ± 0.06	0.927	0.06	24.42	0.878 ± 0.023	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.26 ± 0.03	0.981	0.03	102.65	0.879 ± 0.012	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.25 ± 0.03	0.972	0.04	67.47	0.865 ± 0.015	6
2	Escherichia coli	σ _p	0.39 ± 0.03	0.985	0.02	134.03	0.896 ± 0.008	6
		$\sigma_{\rm P}^{0}$	0.40 ± 0.09	0.918	0.05	21.5	104.12 0.839 ± 0.012 20.56 0.815 ± 0.028 37.47 0.897 ± 0.019 24.42 0.878 ± 0.023 102.65 0.879 ± 0.012 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 134.03 0.896 ± 0.008 21.5 0.877 ± 0.022 47.81 0.944 ± 0.014 30.76 0.928 ± 0.017 78.24 0.929 ± 0.011 64.73 0.917 ± 0.012 81.07 0.853 ± 0.013 21.05 0.83 ± 0.026 140.74 0.909 ± 0.011 52.47 0.891 ± 0.014 54.32 0.877 ± 0.015 257.61 0.852 ± 0.008 32.39 0.824 ± 0.025 38.53 0.915 ± 0.02 30.39 0.895 ± 0.022 31.62 0.914 ± 0.0011 164.59 0.914 ± 0.007 33.62 0.893 ± 0.023 11.91 0.87 ± 0.036 1337.04 0.952 ± 0.003 200.93 0.932 ± 0.017 72.13 0.917 ± 0.014	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$	0.25 ± 0.04	0.04 0.981 0.03 104.12 0.839 ± 0.012 0.11 0.915 0.06 20.56 0.815 ± 0.028 0.05 0.95 0.05 37.47 0.897 ± 0.019 0.06 0.927 0.06 24.42 0.878 ± 0.023 0.03 0.981 0.03 102.65 0.879 ± 0.012 0.03 0.972 0.04 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 0.03 0.972 0.04 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 0.03 0.972 0.04 67.47 0.865 ± 0.008 0.09 0.918 0.05 21.5 0.877 ± 0.022 0.04 0.96 0.03 47.81 0.944 ± 0.014 0.04 0.96 0.03 81.07 0.853 ± 0.012 0.02 0.976 0.03 81.07 0.853 ± 0.012 0.05 0.976 0.03 81.07 0.852 ± 0.015 0.03 0.968 0.04	6			
		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0.04	30.76	0.928 ± 0.017	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.20 ± 0.02	0.975	0.03	78.24	0.929 ± 0.011	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.20 ± 0.03	0.97	0.03	64.73	0.917 ± 0.012	6
3	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	$\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.45 ± 0.05	0.976	0.03	81.07	0.853 ± 0.013	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\ o}$	0.47 ± 0.10	0.916	0.06	0.03 104.12 0.839 ± 0.012 1.06 20.56 0.815 ± 0.028 0.05 37.47 0.897 ± 0.019 1.06 24.42 0.878 ± 0.023 1.03 102.65 0.879 ± 0.012 1.04 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 1.02 134.03 0.896 ± 0.008 1.05 21.5 0.877 ± 0.022 1.03 47.81 0.944 ± 0.014 1.04 30.76 0.928 ± 0.017 1.03 78.24 0.929 ± 0.011 1.03 64.73 0.917 ± 0.012 1.03 81.07 0.853 ± 0.013 1.06 21.05 0.83 ± 0.026 1.02 140.74 0.909 ± 0.01 1.04 52.47 0.891 ± 0.015 1.04 54.32 0.877 ± 0.015 1.02 257.61 0.852 ± 0.008 1.05 38.53 0.915 ± 0.02 1.05 38.53 0.915 ± 0.02 1.05 30.39 0.895 ± 0.02 1.05 40.009 0.88 ± 0.019 1.02 162.4 0.887 ± 0.007 1.04 25.9 0.869 ± 0.018 <td>6</td>	6	
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$	0.30 ± 0.03	0.986	0.02	140.74	0.909 ± 0.01	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.28 ± 0.04	0.963	0.04	52.47	0.89 ± 0.015	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.24 ± 0.03	0.968	0.04	61.35	0.891 ± 0.014	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.24 ± 0.03	0.965	0.04	54.32	0.877 ± 0.015	6
4	Proteus miratrilis	σ _p	0.52 ± 0.03	0.992	0.02	257.61	0.852 ± 0.008	6
		$\sigma_{\rm P}^{0}$	0.55 ± 0.10	0.943	0.05	37.47 0.897 ± 0.019 24.42 0.878 ± 0.023 102.65 0.879 ± 0.012 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 134.03 0.896 ± 0.008 21.5 0.877 ± 0.022 47.81 0.944 ± 0.014 30.76 0.928 ± 0.017 78.24 0.929 ± 0.011 64.73 0.917 ± 0.012 81.07 0.853 ± 0.013 21.05 0.83 ± 0.026 140.74 0.909 ± 0.011 52.47 0.89 ± 0.015 61.35 0.891 ± 0.014 54.32 0.877 ± 0.015 257.61 0.852 ± 0.008 32.39 0.824 ± 0.025 38.53 0.915 ± 0.02 30.39 0.895 ± 0.022 30.39 0.932 ± 0.007 25.9 0.869 ± 0.018 92.15 0.927 ± 0.009 49.6 0.914 ± 0.006 143.3 0.904 ± 0.007 33.62 0.893 ± 0.023 11.91 0.87 ± 0.036 1337.04 0.95	6	
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$	0.32 ± 0.05	0.952	0.05	38.53	0.837 ± 0.012 0 0.815 ± 0.028 6 0.879 ± 0.019 6 0.878 ± 0.023 6 0.879 ± 0.012 6 0.879 ± 0.012 6 0.865 ± 0.015 6 0.865 ± 0.015 6 0.896 ± 0.008 6 0.877 ± 0.022 6 0.944 ± 0.014 6 0.929 ± 0.017 6 0.929 ± 0.011 6 0.929 ± 0.013 6 0.929 ± 0.013 6 0.853 ± 0.013 6 0.834 ± 0.026 6 0.891 ± 0.014 6 0.891 ± 0.015 6 0.891 ± 0.015 6 0.891 ± 0.025 6 0.815 ± 0.022 6 0.895 ± 0.022 6 0.895 ± 0.022 6 0.887 ± 0.007 6 0.895 ± 0.023 6 0.914 ± 0.0011 6 0.914 ± 0.007 6 0.914 ± 0.003 6 0.914 ± 0.0	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.31 ± 0.06	0.94	0.05	38.53	0.895 ± 0.022	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.27 ± 0.04	0.953	0.05	37.47 0.897 ± 0.019 24.42 0.878 ± 0.023 102.65 0.879 ± 0.012 67.47 0.865 ± 0.015 134.03 0.896 ± 0.008 21.5 0.877 ± 0.022 47.81 0.944 ± 0.014 30.76 0.928 ± 0.017 78.24 0.929 ± 0.011 64.73 0.917 ± 0.012 81.07 0.853 ± 0.013 21.05 0.833 ± 0.026 140.74 0.909 ± 0.01 52.47 0.891 ± 0.014 54.32 0.877 ± 0.015 61.35 0.891 ± 0.014 54.32 0.877 ± 0.015 257.61 0.852 ± 0.008 32.39 0.824 ± 0.025 38.53 0.915 ± 0.02 30.39 0.895 ± 0.02 40.009 0.88 ± 0.019 162.4 0.887 ± 0.007 25.9 0.869 ± 0.018 92.15 0.927 ± 0.009 49.6 0.914 ± 0.007 33.62 0.893 ± 0.023 11.91 0.87 ± 0.036 1337.04 0.952 ± 0.003 200.93 0.932 ± 0.017 72.13 0.917 ± 0.014		
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			0.88 ± 0.019	6	
5	Staphylococcus aureus	$\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.33 ± 0.03	0.987	0.02	162.4	0.887 ± 0.007	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\ o}$	0.35 ± 0.07	0.931	0.04	25.9	0.869 ± 0.018	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$	0.21 ± 0.02	0.979	0.02	92.15	0.927 ± 0.009	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.20 ± 0.03	0.962	0.03	49.6	0.914 ± 0.011	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.18 ± 0.14	0.988	0.16	164.59	0.914 ± 0.006	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.18 ± 0.01	0.986	0.02	143.3	0.904 ± 0.007	6
6	Vibrio paraheamolyticus	$\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.47 ± 0.08	0.945	0.05	33.62	0.893 ± 0.023	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\ o}$	0.48 ± 0.14	0.865	0.08	11.91	0.87 ± 0.036	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$	0.32 ± 0.01	0.998	0.01	1337.04	0.952 ± 0.003	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}$	0.31 ± 0.02	0.99	0.02	200.93	0.932 ± 0.009	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.26 ± 0.04	0.964	0.04	52.37	0.932 ± 0.017	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}/\sigma_{\rm p}/\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$	0.26 ± 0.03	0.973	0.03	72.13	0.917 ± 0.014	6

 $log IZR=(0.61 \pm 0.05) \sigma_{I}+(0.68 \pm 0.06)\sigma_{R}-+(0.86 \pm 0.02)$ (2) (R=0.991, SE=0.02, n=6, F=84.98) log IZR=(0.41 \pm 0.08)F+(0.46 \pm 0.08)R+(0.84 \pm 0.02) (3) (R=0.979, SE=0.03, n=5, F=22.27)

The sign of ρ_I and ρ_R are positive, reveals that the normal substituent effects operates on IZR, i.e. An electron releasing substituents decrease the IZR and electron withdrawing substituents increase the IZR. The ρ_R values are rather smaller than ρ_I values and this reveals the importance of polar component.



Figure 2: Hammet plot for vibrio paraheamolyticus

S. No.	Bacteria	Scale	ρ_{I}	$\rho_{\rm R}$	R	SE	F	Log(IZR)°	Ν	$\lambda = \rho_{\rm R} / \rho_{\rm I}$
1	Aeromonas hydrophila	σ_{I}, σ_{R}	0.44 ± 0.17	0.50 ± 0.17	0.89	0.08	5.72	0.87 ± 0.05	6	1.14
		$\sigma_{I} \sigma_{R}^{+}$	0.08 ± 0.18	0.17 ± 0.06	0.876	0.08	4.97	0.92 ± 0.07	6	2.12
		$\sigma_{I} \sigma_{R}^{-}$	0.61 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.06	0.991	0.02	84.98	0.86 ± 0.02	6	1.11
		F, R	0.41 ± 0.08	0.46 ± 0.08	0.979	0.03	22.27	0.84 ± 0.02	5	1.12
2	Escherichia coli	$\sigma_{\rm L}\sigma_{\rm R}$	0.37 ± 0.12	0.42 ± 0.12	0.918	0.06	8.04	0.92 ± 0.04	6	1.14
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^+$	0.08 ± 0.15	0.14 ± 0.05	0.88	0.07	5.17	0.96 ± 0.05	6	1.75
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{-1}$	0.50 ± 0.06	0.53 ± 0.06	0.986	0.02	50.92	0.91 ± 0.02	6	1.06
		F, R	0.36 ± 0.07	0.39 ± 0.07	0.978	0.03	21.88	0.90 ± 0.02	5	1.08
3	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	$\sigma_{\rm L}\sigma_{\rm R}$	0.43 ± 0.10	0.53 ± 0.11	0.956	0.05	16.19	0.89 ± 0.03	6	1.23
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^+$	0.06 ± 0.14	0.17 ± 0.05	0.92	0.06	8.35	0.94 ± 0.05	6	2.83
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{-1}$	0.56 ± 0.1	0.62 ± 0.11	0.966	0.04	20.96	0.87 ± 0.03	6	1.11
		F, R	0.43 ± 0.22	0.56 ± 0.23	0.999	0.009	341.28	0.88 ± 0.007	5	1.3
4	Proteus miratrilis	$\sigma_{\rm L}\sigma_{\rm R}$	0.54 ± 0.13	0.53 ± 0.14	0.944	0.06	12.26	0.87 ± 0.04	6	0.98
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{+}$	0.18 ± 0.19	0.17 ± 0.07	0.883	0.09	5.29	0.91 ± 0.07	6	0.94
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{-1}$	0.69 ± 0.09	0.66 ± 0.09	0.98	0.04	36.34	0.86 ± 0.02	6	0.96
		F, R	0.54 ± 0.80	0.54 ± 0.08	0.984	0.03	31.31	0.85 ± 0.03	5	1
5	Staphylococcus aureus	$\sigma_{\rm L}\sigma_{\rm R}$	0.31 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.10	0.931	0.04	9.72	0.91 ± 0.03	6	1.19
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^+$	0.05 ± 0.12	0.12 ± 0.04	0.889	0.05	5.65	0.95 ± 0.04	6	2.4
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{-1}$	0.41 ± 0.05	0.47 ± 0.05	0.985	0.02	47.3	0.90 ± 0.01	6	1.15
		F, R	0.29 ± 0.19	0.36 ± 0.19	0.998	0.007	216.31	0.90 ± 0.006	5	1.24
6	Vibrio paraheamolyticus	$\sigma_{I_{L}}\sigma_{R}$	0.42 ± 0.08	0.61 ± 0.08	0.98	0.03	36.82	0.95 ± 0.02	6	1.45
		$\sigma_{\rm L} \sigma_{\rm R}^{+}$	0.02 ± 0.19	0.18 ± 0.07	0.865	0.09	4.45	0.99 ± 0.07	6	9
		$\sigma_{I} \sigma_{R}$	0.55 ± 0.15	0.68 ± 0.16	0.934	0.06	10.3	0.93 ± 0.05	6	1.24
		F, R	0.42 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.03	0.998	0.01	314.17	0.95 ± 0.008	5	1.57

Pelagia Research Library

S. No.	Bacteria	Scale	ρ	r	R	SE	F	Ν
1	Aeromonas hydrophila	$\sigma_{\rm p}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{+} - \sigma_{\rm p})$	0.45 ± 0.07	0.07 ± 0.01	0.984	0.03	45.17	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm +} - \sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o})$	0.41 ± 0.09	0.2 ± 0.9	0.97	0.04	23.99	6
2	Escherichia coli	$\sigma_{p}, (\sigma_{p}^{+}-\sigma_{p})$	0.35 ± 0.04	0.07 ± 0.04	0.992	0.02	94.85	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm +} - \sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o})$	0.33 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.978	0.03	32.96	6
3	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	$\sigma_{p}, (\sigma_{p}^{+} - \sigma_{p})$	0.38 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.05	0.993	0.02	110.24	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm +} - \sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o})$	0.37 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.03	0.996	0.02	173.63	6
4	Proteus miratrilis	$\sigma_{p}, (\sigma_{p}^{+} - \sigma_{p})$	0.50 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.05	0.994	0.02	122.79	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm +} - \sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o})$	0.46 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.07	0.983	0.03	44.05	6
5	Staphylococcus aureus	$\sigma_{p}, (\sigma_{p}^{+} - \sigma_{p})$	0.29 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.02	0.0998	0.008	311.31	6
		$\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm +} - \sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm o})$	0.28 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.02	0.995	0.01	145.43	6
6	Vibrio paraheamolyticus	$\sigma_{\rm p}, (\sigma_{\rm p}^{+} - \sigma_{\rm p})$	0.34 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.05	0.993	0.02	115.03	6
		$\sigma_{n}^{o}, (\sigma_{n}^{+} - \sigma_{n}^{o})$	0.33 ± 0.02	0.31 ± 0.02	0.998	0.009	556.9	6

The Yukawa-Tsuno equation 4 and **Table 4** for *S. aureus* proved the less contribution of resonance effect.

 $\log IZR = (0.29 \pm 0.02)\sigma_{p} + (0.07 \pm 0.02)(\sigma_{p}^{+}-\sigma_{p}) + (0.90 \pm 0.06)$

(R=0.998, SE=0.008, n=6, F=311.31)

CONCLUSION

To summarize, substituted 2-benzylidene-1,3-indandiones have been synthesized and evaluated for their antibacterial activities. This reaction protocol offers a simple, easier work-up procedure and good yields. The compounds have been characterized by their spectral data. The antimicrobial activities of all synthesized compounds have been studied. The inhibition zone radii of these compounds have been correlated with Hammett substituent constants, F and R parameters. From the results of statistical analysis, the effects of substituent on the antimicrobial activity of compounds have been studied.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beringer FM, Galton SA, Huang SJ (1962) Diaryliodonium Salts XVII the Phenylation of 1,3-Indandiones. J Am Chem Soc 84: 2819-2823.
- [2] Matano Y, Imahori H (2004) A New efficient method for direct α -Alkenylation of β -Dicarbonyl compounds and phenols using alkenyltriarylbismuthonium salts. J Org Chem 69: 5505-5508.
- [3] Song HH, Lee HJ, Kim HR, Ryu EK, Kim JN (1999) Unusual behaviour of N-tosyl pipecolinic acid in Friedel-Crafts reaction conditions. Synth Commun 29: 3303-3311.
- [4] Shamsipur M, Davarani SSH, Bayandori-Moghaddam A, Nematollahi D, Fakhari AR (2007) Facile one-pot synthesis of 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-2H-indene derivatives via electrochemical oxidation of catechols in the presence of 2-phenyl-1,3-indandione. Polish J Chem 81: 237-247.
- [5] Hosseiny Davarani SS, Nematollahi D, Shamsipur M, Najafi NM, Masoumi L, et al. (2006) Electrochemical oxidation of 2, 3-dimethylhydroquinone in the presence of 1, 3-dicarbonyl compounds. J Org Chem 71: 2139-2142.
- [6] Bayandori-Moghaddam A, Ganjali MR, Norouzi P, Latifi M (2006) A green method for the electro organic synthesis of new 1,3-indandione derivatives. Chem Pharm Bull 54: 1391-1396.
- [7] Raman N, Ravichandran S (2002) Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of Substituted N-(1-piperidinobenzyl)- Nicotinamide: A Structure-Reactivity Study. Asian J Chem 14: 1766-1768.
- [8] Raman N, Ravichandran S (2003) Effect of Substituents on N-(1-Piperidinobenzyl)acetamide and N-(1-Morpholinobenzyl) acetamide and Their Antimicrobial Activity. Asian J Chem 15: 1848-1850.
- [9] Islam AM, Khalaf AA (1969) Chemistry of arylidene derivatives: part I some displacement reactions of di- and tetrabromides of substituted dibenzylidenecyclohexanones. Indian J Chem 7: 546-549.
- [10] Chandra Sekar V, Knabel SJ, Anantheswaran RJ (2015) Modeling development of inhibition zones in an agar diffusion bioassay. Food Sci Nutri 3: 394-403.

(4)

- [11] Smart BE (2001) Fluorine substituent effects (on bioactivity). J Fluor Chem 109: 3-11.
- [12] Dipankar B, Panneerselvam P, Asish B (2012) Synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activities of some 2-Pyrazoline derivatives. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 5: 42-46.
- [13] Mohamed NA, Sabaa MW, El-Ghandourb AH, Abdel-Azizc MM, Abdel-Gawad OF (2013) Quaternized N-substituted carboxymethyl chitosan derivatives as antimicrobial agents. Int J Biol Macromol 60: 156-164.
- [14] Martins AF, Facchi SP, Follmann HDM, Pereira AGB, Rubira AF, et al. (2014) Antimicrobial activity of chitosan derivatives containing N-quaternized moieties in its backbone: A Review. Int J Mol Sci 15: 20800-20832.