# Available online at www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com



**Pelagia Research Library** 

Der Chemica Sinica, 2012, 3(1):249-254



# Syntheses and antibacterial screening of some 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles

Anju Goyal<sup>a</sup> and Sandeep Jain<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Chitkara College of Pharmacy, Rajpura, Patiala(Punjab), INDIA <sup>b</sup>Drug Discovery and Research Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar (Haryana), INDIA

# ABSTRACT

A series of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1H-pyrazoles were synthesized from chalcones i.e., 3-aryl-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl) prop-2-en-1-ones and studied for their in vitro antibacterial activity. Chalcones 1 on reaction with phenyl hydrazine yielded the corresponding 1-phenyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-aryl-1H-pyrazoles 2 which on further reaction with 3-chloropropanol furnished the title compounds 3. These compounds were characterized by CHN analyses, IR, mass and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data. All the compounds were evaluated for their in vitro antibacterial activity against two Gram positive strains (Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus) and two Gram negative strains (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined.

Keywords: Chalcones, 1H-pyrazoles, antibacterial activity, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The enormous consumption of chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of infectious diseases leads to the development of microbial resistance to existing drugs. The emergence of resistance to the major classes of antibacterial drugs is recognized as a major health concern of global population. This becomes the challenge for the medicinal chemists for the development of novel antimicrobial drugs having a different mechanism of action to combat the problem of multi-drug resistance [1]. Heterocyclic compounds continue to attract considerable interest due to their diverse biological activities. Amongst them five membered heterocyclic compounds occupy a unique place in the field of natural and synthetic organic chemistry. Five membered heterocycles like pyrazoles have been found to display wide application as pharmaceutical and agrochemical agents. In recent years, attention has increasingly been given to the synthesis of pyrazole derivatives as a source of new antibacterial agents. Pyrazole derivatives have been reported to possess diverse biological activities such as antimicrobial [2, 3], antibacterial [4, 5], antifungal [6, 7], herbicidal [8], insecticidal [9], anti-inflammatory [10-12], anticonvulsant [13], antitumor [14], anti-oxidant [15, 16] etc. Further, phenoxy alkanols like phenoxyethanol has been used as antimicrobial preservative in vaccine preparations [17]. These reports including our ongoing research program in the field of synthesis and antimicrobial activity of medicinally important compounds [18, 19] inspired us to undertake the synthesis of some 1H-pyrazoles bearing phenoxy propanol moiety. The synthesized compounds were characterized on the basis of elemental analysis, IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and Mass spectral data. All the compounds were screened for their in vitro

Pelagia Research Library

antibacterial activity against two Gram positive strains (*Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) and two Gram negative strains (*Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) respectively.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Chemistry

The purity of all the synthesized compounds were checked by thin layer chromatography on silica gel G as stationary phase and different solvent systems as mobile phase using iodine vapors as detecting agent. Melting points were determined by the Tempo melting point determination apparatus in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were carried out on Perkin Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyser. Infrared spectra were recorded on Shimadzu 8000 FTIR Spectrophotometer in KBr phase. Proton NMR spectra were done on Bruker Avance II 400 NMR Spectrometer using tetramethyl silane as internal standard. Mass spectra of the compounds were carried out on Waters Micromass Q-Tof Micro Mass Spectrometer using electro spray ionization (ESI) technique.

Synthesis of chalcones **1a-g** were carried out by a base catalyzed Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction of appropriately substituted benzaldehydes with *p*-hydroxy acetophenone [20] and 1-phenyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles **2a-g** were prepared from the chalcones **1a-g** following the procedure described in the literature [21].

## General procedure for the synthesis of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles (3a-g).

1-Phenyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles (**2a-g** 0.01 M) and 3-chloropropanol (0.01 M) were refluxed in acetone (50 ml) in presence of triethylamine (0.01 M) for about four hours. Excess of solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was washed thoroughly with cold distilled water, dried and then recrystallized from ethanol. The physical and analytical data of the synthesized title compounds are given as follows.

#### 1, 5-Diphenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy)phenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole (3a).

Yield: 69%; m.p.: 70-72 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3344 (O–H), 3065 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2916 (C–H), 1465, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1255 (C–O–C), 1065 (C–O), 832, 730 & 690 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.06-7.09 (m, 14H, Ar**H**), 7.02 (s, 1H, =C**H**–), 4.29-4.27 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.67 (s, 1H, O–**H**), 3.53-3.51 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.07-2.03 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 371 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.81; H, 5.99; N, 7.56. Found: C, 77.88; H, 5.92; N, 7.50.

#### 1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-methylphenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole (3b).

Yield: 71%; m.p.: 67-68 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3336 (O–H), 3070 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2916 (C–H), 1465, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1255 (C–O–C), 1070 (C–O), 830, 731 & 693 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.68-7.09 (m, 13H, Ar**H**), 7.01 (s, 1H, =C**H**–), 4.29-4.27 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.67 (s, 1H, O–**H**), 3.56-3.54 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.36 (s, 3H, C**H**<sub>3</sub>–Ar), 2.06-2.02 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 385 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 78.10; H, 6.29; N, 7.29. Found: C, 78.18; H, 6.22; N, 7.21.

### 1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole (3c).

Yield: 65%; m.p.: 91-93 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3339 (O–H), 3063 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2917 (C–H), 1463, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1254 (C–O–C), 1068 (C–O), 832, 733 & 692 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.63-7.08 (m, 13H, ArH), 7.01 (s, 1H, =CH–), 4.29-4327 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.83 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O–Ar), 3.67 (s, 1H, O–H), 3.55-3.53 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.06-2.02 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 401 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 74.98; H, 6.04; N, 7.00. Found: C, 74.93; H, 6.10; N, 7.08.

#### 1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole (3d).

Yield: 74%; m.p.: 85-87 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3344 (O–H), 3071 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2916(C–H), 1465, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1255 (C–O–C), 1061 (C–O), 830, 730 & 690 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.01-7.08 (m, 13H, Ar**H**), 7.02 (s, 1H, =C**H**–), 4.28-4.26 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.65 (s, 1H, O–**H**), 3.56-3.54 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.06-2.02 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 405 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%), 407 [M+2+H]<sup>+</sup> (35%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.19; H, 5.23; N, 6.92. Found: C, 71.12; H, 5.29; N, 6.98.

1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-bromophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole (3e).

Yield: 67%; m.p.: 70-72 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3340 (O–H), 3064 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2916 (C–H), 1466, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1256 (C–O–C), 1068 (C–O), 830, 732 & 690 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.80-7.08 (m, 13H, ArH), 7.02 (s, 1H, =CH–), 4.27-4.25 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.67 (s, 1H, O–H), 3.58-3.56 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.06-2.04 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 449 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%), 451 [M+2+H]<sup>+</sup> (98%).Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 64.15; H, 4.71; 17.78; N, 6.23. Found: C, 64.10; H, 4.78; N, 6.29.

#### 1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole(3f).

Yield: 73%; m.p.: 61-63 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3336 (O–H), 3063 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2916 (C–H), 1465, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1255 (C–O–C), 1069 (C–O), 831, 730 & 693 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.14-7.07 (m, 13H, Ar**H**), 7.02 (s, 1H, =C**H**–), 4.28-4.26 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.68 (s, 1H, O–**H**), 3.55-3.53 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.06-2.02 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 389 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.21; H, 5.45; N, 7.21. Found: C, 74.27; H, 5.40; N, 5.47.

#### 1-Phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-pyrazole (3g).

Yield: 69%; m.p.: 68-70 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3341 (O–H), 3069 (aromatic C–H *str*), 2918 (C–H), 1465, (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1254 (C–O–C), 1059 (C–O), 832, 733 & 692 (aromatic C–H *def*); <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 8.34-7.08 (m, 13H, Ar**H**), 7.02 (s, 1H, =C**H**–), 4.29-4.27 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 3.68 (s, 1H, O–**H**), 3.59-3.57 (t, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar), 2.06-2.02 (quin, 2H, HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar); MS, m/z (%): 416 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (100%). Anal.: Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 69.39; H, 5.10; N, 10.11. Found: C, 69.31; H, 5.17; N, 10.16.

#### **Antibacterial Activity**

All the title compounds were screened for their *in vitro* antibacterial activity against two Gram positive strains, *i.e.*, *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC 121) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 96) and two Gram negative strains, *i.e.*, *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 40) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 2453) respectively. Ciprofloxacin was used as the standard drug for the present study. Serial two fold dilution technique was used for the study of antibacterial activity [22]. A stock solution (10 µg/ml) of all the title compounds and standard drug was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. Sterilized double strength nutrient broth (DSNB) was used as a growth media. The stock solution was serially diluted by DSNB aseptically to give concentrations of 5.0-0.01 µg/ml into a series of sterilized culture tubes. All the tubes were inoculated by bacterial strain. The inoculum's size was approximately 106 colony forming units (CFU/ml). The inoculated tubes were incubated for 24 h at  $37(\pm 1)$  °C. After 24 h, the inoculated culture tubes were macroscopically examined for turbidity. The culture tube showing turbidity (lower concentration) and the culture tube showing no turbidity (higher concentration) gave the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the compound. The MIC for the title compounds and the standard drug, *i.e.*, ciprofloxacin are presented in Table 1.

	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (µg/ml)				
Compound	B. subtilis (MTCC 121)	S. aureus (MTCC 96)	<i>E. coli</i> (MTCC 40)	P. aeruginosa (MTCC 2453)	
3a	0.55	0.55	0.45	0.50	
3b	0.55	0.55	0.45	0.50	
3c	0.60	0.65	0.50	0.55	
3d	0.55	0.65	0.40	0.50	
3e	0.55	0.65	0.40	0.50	
3f	0.55	0.65	0.40	0.50	
3g	0.50	0.55	0.40	0.45	
Ciprofloxacin (Standard drug)	0.12	0.15	0.01	0.25	

Table 1. In Vitro Antibacterial	Activity of 1-phenyl-3-	(4-(3-propanoloxy)	) phenyl)-5-aryl-1 <i>H</i> -pyrazoles (3a-g)
---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chemistry:** The syntheses of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles were achieved following the steps outlined in the **scheme 1**. Chalcones *i.e.*, 3-aryl-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl) prop-2-en-1-ones, **1** were prepared by the reaction of *p*-hydroxy acetophenone with substituted benzaldehydes following the Claisen-Schmidt reaction. The chalcones **1** then on refluxing with phenyl hydrazine in acetic acid in presence of few drops of hydrochloric acid

#### Sandeep Jain et al

furnished 1-phenyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles **2**. Reaction of 3-chloropropanol with **2** in the presence of triethyl amine yielded the title compounds **3**. All the compounds were obtained in good yield. These compounds were characterized on the basis of elemental and spectral analyses. IR spectra of each compound showed a band for O–H stretching vibrations for intermolecular hydrogen bonding near 3340 cm<sup>-1</sup> while the C–O stretching vibrations for primary alcohols were observed in the range of 1085-1050 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The C–O–C stretching vibrations for aryl alkyl ethers were appeared near 1255 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The C–H stretching vibrations for methylene groups were appeared in the range of 2916-2919 cm<sup>-1</sup> whereas bending vibrations for methylene scissoring were observed constantly at 1465 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Aromatic C–H stretching vibrations were observed in the range of 3100-3050 cm<sup>-1</sup> whereas aromatic C–H bending vibrations were appeared below 900 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



# R= H, CH<sub>3</sub>, OCH<sub>3</sub>, Cl, Br, F, NO<sub>2</sub> Scheme 1: Synthesis of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy)phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles

In case of <sup>1</sup>H NMR, the chemical shift value for the O–H group was observed in the range of 3.70-3.65  $\delta$  (ppm) and appeared as singlet (s). Aromatic protons appeared as multiplet (m) in the range of 8.33-7.08  $\delta$  (ppm). The methine proton of the pyrazole nucleus absorbed at 7.03-7.01  $\delta$  (ppm) and appeared as singlet (s). The methylene protons adjacent to the O–H group [HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar] and O–Ar group [HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar] were appeared as triplets (t) in the range of 3.59-3.51  $\delta$  (ppm) and 4.29-4.25  $\delta$  (ppm) respectively whereas the central

Pelagia Research Library

methylene protons [HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–CH<sub>2</sub>–O–Ar] appeared as quintet (quin) at 2.07-2.02  $\delta$  (ppm). Aromatic methyl and methoxy protons were observed at 2.36-2.34  $\delta$  (ppm) and 3.85-3.83  $\delta$  (ppm) respectively as singlet (s). All the title compounds showed [M+H]<sup>+</sup> of 100% intensity as the molecular ion peak. Compound containing chlorine showed isotopic peak at [M+2+H]<sup>+</sup> of about 35% intensity to that of parent ion peak whereas bromo derivative showed isotopic peak at [M+2+H]<sup>+</sup> of about equal intensity. The results of elemental analyses were found in good agreement with the calculated values.

Antibacterial Activity: All the synthesized title compounds were screened for their in vitro antibacterial activity against and two Gram positive bacterial strains i.e., Bacillus subtilis (MTCC 121) and Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 96) and two Gram negative bacterial strains i.e., Escherichia coli (MTCC 40) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MTCC 2453) respectively and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined. A perusal of the table 1 shows that all the title compounds were found to be active against all the bacterial strains used in this study. However, they showed more activity against the Gram negative than the Gram positive bacterial strains. Out of the two Gram negative bacterial strains, E. coli. (MTCC 40) was found to be more susceptible than P. aeruginosa (MTCC 2453) against all the title compounds. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the title compounds 3a-g were found to be 0.60- 0.50 µg/ml, 0.65-0.55 µg/ml, 0.50-0.40 µg/ml and 0.55-0.45 µg/ml against B. subtilis (MTCC 121), S. aureus (MTCC 96), E. coli (MTCC 40), and P. aeruginosa (MTCC 2453), respectively. The MICs of the title compounds containing electron withdrawing groups like fluoro, chloro, bromo or nitro were found somewhat less than the compounds containing electron releasing groups like methyl and methoxy. The reference standard ciprofloxacin inhibited Gram negative bacteria viz., E. coli and P. aeruginosa at a MIC of 0.01 µg/ml and 0.25 µg/ml respectively whereas against Gram positive bacteria viz., S. aureus and B. subtilis MIC was found to be 0.15 µg/ml and 0.12 µg/ml respectively. The results of the MIC for the standard drug, ciprofloxacin, against the bacterial strains used were found to be within the range as reported in the literature [23-25].

## CONCLUSION

Present study describes the synthesis of a series of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(3-propanoloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles starting from the chalcones. The compounds were characterized by modern analytical techniques such as CHN analyses, IR, Mass and proton NMR spectra. All the title compounds were screened for their *in vitro* antibacterial activity against *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* (Gram positive) and *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Gram negative) and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined. The results of antibacterial activity showed that compounds containing electron withdrawing groups e.g., chloro, bromo, fluoro or nitro were found to be more active than the compounds using different aromatic or hetero-aromatic aldehydes, ketones and haloalkanols should be synthesized and screened for their antibacterial activity to explore the possibility of 1-phenyl-3-(4-(alkanoloxy) phenyl)-5-aryl-1*H*-pyrazoles as a novel series of antibacterials.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Chairman, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar (Haryana) INDIA for providing necessary facilities to carry out this work. Our sincere thanks are due to Department of SAIF, P.U. Chandigarh for elemental and spectral analysis. The Director, IMTECH, Chandigarh is also duly acknowledged for providing bacterial strains.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. R. Sharma, C.L. Sharma, B. Kapoor, *Indian J. Med. Sci.*, 2005, 59, 120.
- [2]. N.N.S. Shah, H.M. Ziauddin, M. A. Baseer, Der Pharmacia Sinica, 2011, 2, 69.
- [3]. R.N. Sharma, Der Pharmacia Sinica, 2011, 2, 73.
- [4]. S.M. Gomha, H.M.E. Hassaneen, Molecules, 2011, 16, 6549.
- [5]. E.M.N. Abdel-Hafez, G.A.A. Abuo-Rahma, M. Abdel-Aziz, M.F. Radwan, H.H. Farag, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 17, 3829.
- [6]. T.E. Ali, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2009, 44, 4385.
- [7]. N.S. Rai, B. Kalluraya, B. Lingappa, S. Shenoy, V.G. Puranic, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2008, 43, 1715.
- [8]. M. Witschel, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 4221.
- [9]. G.P. Lahm, T.M. Stevenson, T.P. Selby, J.H. Freudenberger, D. Cordova, L. Flexner, C.A. Bellin, C.M. Dubas, P.K. Smith, K.A. Hughes, J.C. Hollingshous, C.F. Clark, F.A. Banner, *Biogram Med. Cham. Lett.* **2007**, 17, 6274

B.K. Smith, K.A. Hughes, J.G. Hollingshaus, C.E. Clark, E.A. Benner, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 6274.

[10]. A.M. Youssef, M.S. White, E.B. Villanueva, I.M. El-Ashmawy, A. Klegeris, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2010, 18, 2019.

[11]. P.D. Sauzem, P. Machado, M.A. Rubin, G.S. Sant'Anna, H.B. Faber, A.H. De Souza, C.F. Mello, P. Beck, R.A. Burrow, H.G. Bonacorso, N. Zanatta, M.A.P. Martins, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **2008**, 43, 1237.

- [12]. P. P. Kapupara, B.N. Suhagia, A. Bhandari, N.P. Jivani, Der Pharmacia Sinica, 2011, 2, 194.
- [13]. M. Abdel-Aziz, G.E.A. Abuo-Rahma, A.A. Hassan, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2009, 44, 3480.
- [14]. S.A.F. Rostom, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2010, 18, 2767.
- [15]. E.A. Musad, R. Mohamed, B.A. Saeed, B.S. Vishwanath, K.M.L. Rai, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 3536.
- [16]. K. Hazra, L.V.G. Nargund, P. Rashmi, J.N.N.S. Chandra, B. Nandha, Der Chemica Sinica, 2011, 2, 149.
- [17]. I. Lowe, J. Southern, Letters in Applied Microbiology, 1994, 18, 115.
- [18]. S. Jain, A. Kumar, M. Kumar, N. Jain, Arabian J. Chem. (In-press). DOI:10.1016/j.arabjc.2011.04.009.
- [19]. N. Jain, D.P. Pathak, P. Mishra, S. Jain, J. Iran. Chem. Soc., 2009, 6, 77.
- [20]. A.A.H. Abdel-Rahman, A.E.S. Abdel-Megied, M.A.M. Hawata, E.R. Kasem, M.T. Shabaan, *Monatshefte fur Chemie.*, 2007, 138, 889.
- [21]. A. Voskiene, V. Mickevicius, G. Mikulskiene, Arkivoc, 2007, XV, 303.
- [22]. J.G. Cappucino, N. Sherman, Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual, Addison Wesley, San-Francisco, CA, **1999**, 263.
- [23]. A. Bauernfeind, J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1997, 40, 639.
- [24]. A.A. Hoogkamp-Korstanje, J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1997, 40, 427.
- [25]. D.J. Weber, S.M. Saviteer, W.A. Rutala, C.A. Thomann, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 1988, 32, 642.