

Pelagia Research Library

Der Chemica Sinica, 2010, 1 (3): 146-156



Potentiometric and thermodynamic studies of schiff base hydrazone metal complexes

Pratibha Mittal^a, V. Uma^{*a}, K.G. Ojha^b

^aP. G. Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Government College, Ajmer(INDIA) ^bDepartment of Pure and Applied Chemistry, M. D. S. University, Ajmer, Rajasthan (INDIA)

ABSTRACT

The synthesis and structural features of some Bidentate Schiff base complexes of the type [M $(ONN)_2$] (where $M = Cu^{+2}$, Ni^{+2} , Co^{+2} , Mn^{+2} and O represents the salicylaldehyde moiety) are reported. The ligand was derived by the condensation of Cinnamaldehyde hydrazone with substituted salicylaldehyde. These metal complexes were characterized by elemental analysis, molar conductance, magnetic momentum measurements, IR, ¹HNMR spectral data. An octahedral geometry was proposed for all metal complexes. Stability constants of these metal complexes were determined using a potentiometric method at constant ionic strength (0.1 μ) and at different temperature (25^oC, 30^oC, 35^oC) in 50% v/v ethanol-water mixture by calculating the values of η_A , η and P_L , it has been found that ligand forms complexes in 1:2 ratio.

Key words- Schiff base, stability constant and IR conductance.

INTRODUCTION

The dissociation constants of Schiff base (derived from Cinnamaldehyde hydrazone with substituted salicylaldehyde) and metal-ligand stability constants of its complexes with some transition metal ions have been determined potentiometricaly in 0.1M NaCl and ethanol–water mixture (30vol%). The order of the stability constants of the formed complexes increases in the sequence Mn^{+2} , Co^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Cu^{+2} . The effect of temperature was studied and the corresponding thermodynamic parameter (ΔG , ΔH , ΔS) were derived and discussed. The dissociation process is non-spontaneous, endothermic and entropically unfavorable. The formation of the metal complexes was found to be spontaneous, exothermic and entropically favorable. The versatile chelating ability of Schiff base (Thiosmicabazone) with various metals is very well established [1-6].

Structural studies have shown that Schiff base exhibit different types of bonding depending on the nature of the metal ion and the reaction condition [7-9]. The interest in such complexes continues increasing due to the possibility of their use as models to explain some intricate reaction in biological systems such as- antiviral, antimalarials, antiulcer, anticancer[10-12].

In this paper, we are reporting the synthesis, characterization and thermodynamic studies of Schiff base (derived from Cinnamaldehyde hydrazone with substituted salicylaldehyde) complexes with bivalent metals like Cu^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Co^{+2} , Mn^{+2} .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Material, analytical methods and physical measurements

All chemicals used were of reagent grade. Solvents were distilled prior to use. The metal content of the complexes were estimated gravimetrically, copper metal was estimated by ammonium thiocynate gravimetrically. Nickel, cobalt and manganese were estimated by gravimetrically using dimethylglyoxime, ammonium thiocynate and iron with triethanolamine respectively [13]. Magnetic susceptibility of complexes was measured at room temperature on a faraday balance using Hg [Co (SCN) 4] as a calibrant. Electronic spectra were recorded using digital spectrophotometer in DMSO. The IR spectra of ligands and their complexes were recorded as KBr pallets in the region 4000-400cm⁻¹ on FT IR spectrophotometer Shimadzu 8201. ¹HNMR spectra of ligands and their Cu (II), Ni (II), Co (II) and Mn (II) complexes were recorded in DMSO-d₆ at room temperature using TMS as internal standard on a Bruker Advance 400 MHz FT NMR. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Vario EL III Elementar Carlo- Erba 1108. Conductivity measurements were made on 10^{-3} M solutions of the complexes in DMSO using Equiptronics model no Eq-660A conductivity meter, provides with a dip type cell having cell constant 1.0. EPR spectra of metal complexes of Schiff base hydrazones were recorded at room temperature on E-112 X-band spectrometer using TCNE as g-marker. Melting points of the ligands and their metal complexes were determined by open capillary method using Sunsim electric melting point apparatus and uncorrected. Molecular weight of ligands and their metal complexes were determined by Rast camphor method. The purity of ligands and their metal complexes was checked by thin layer chromatography using n-hexane-ethyl acetate mixture (3:1).

2.2 Synthesis of the ligands

The ligand was synthesized in two steps. The first step is the synthesis of Cinnamaldehyde monohydrazone according to the reporting method [14], followed by the Cinnamaldehyde hydrazones (II) in the second step from monohydrazone.-

Cinnamaldehyde monohydrazone (2gm, 1m mol) (80% yield, mp 120° c, yellow crystal) was dissolved in absolute ethanol (10ml). To this solution salicylaldehyde, chloro salicylaldehyde and nitro salicylaldehyde (1m mol 1.22ml, 1.32ml, 1.42ml) were added for preparing HL₁[Cinnamaldehyde-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazineCHBH],HL₂[Cinnamaldehyde-(2-

hydroxy,3-hlorobenzylidene)hydrazine CHCBH] and HL₃ Cinnamaldehyde-(2-hydroxy,3nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine CHNBH] respectively. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4hrs. After cooling, the formed yellow precipitate was collected, filtered and finally washed with absolute ethanol (10ml) and purified by recrystalization from ethanol (77% yield mp130^oc) (Scheme-1).



 $M = Cu^{+2}, Ni^{+2}, Co^{+2}, Mn^{+2}$

SCHEME-2 SYNTHESIS OF METAL COMPLEXES

2.3 Synthesis of metal complexes

Warm ethanol solution (20ml) of the respective Schiff base (0.002M) were added to a magnetically stirred solution of the metal (II) salts (0.001M) in ethanol (25ml). Table-1: Micro analytical data of the ligands and their metal complexes

Pelagia Research Library

						Pe	elagia .	Resear	rch Lil	brary						49
Compounds	Compounds	HL_{I}	HL_2	HL_3	L_1 - Cu^{+2}	L_{1} -Ni ⁺²	L_1 - Co^{+2}	$\mathrm{L_{l}} ext{-}\mathrm{Mn}^{+2}$	L_2 -Cu ⁺²	L_2 -Ni ⁺²	L_2 -Co ⁺²	L_2 - Mn^{+2}	L_3 - Cu^{+2}	L_{3} -Ni $^{+2}$	L ₃ -Co ⁺²	L_{3} - Mn^{+2}
Molecular weight	Found (calc.)	248 (250)	280 (284)	292 (295)	554 (556)	552 (554)	550 (552)	546 (548)	620 (624)	621 (622)	618 (620)	613 (616)	652 (656)	651 (654)	650 (652)	646 (648)
	Carbon	76.0 (76.8)	67.51 (67.6)	65.0 (65.08)	68.9) (69.06)	69.28 (69.31)	69.54 (69.56)	(<i>1</i> 0.0 <i>7</i>)	61.51 (61.53)	61.88 (61.93)	62.11 (62.13)	62.52 (62.54)	59.41 (59.44)	59.59 (59.62)	59.79 (59.81)	60.11 (60.18)
Found (Hydrogen	5.58 (5.6)	4.45 (4.57)	4.35 (4.40)	4.65 (4.67)	4.67 (4.69)	4.7 (4.71)	4.72 (4.74)	3.80 (3.84)	3.84 (3.87)	3.85 (3.88)	3.85 (3.90)	3.69 (3.71)	3.68 (3.72)	3.71 (3.73)	3.73 (3.76)
(calc) %	Nitrogen	11.1 (11.2)	9.82 (9.85)	14.21 (14.23)	10.0 (10.07)	10.0 (10.10)	10.11 (10.14)	10.18 (10.21)	8.92 (8.97)	9.0 (9.03)	9.01 (9.06)	9.08 (9.12)	12.98 (13.0)	13.0 (13.04)	13.02 (13.08)	13.14 (13.16)
	Oxygen	6.38 (6.4)	5.61 (5.63)	16.22 (16.27)	5.72 (5.75)	5.70 (5.72)	5.77 (5.79)	5.81 (5.83)	5.11 (5.12)	5.13 (5.16)	5.13 (5.17)	5.16 (5.21)	14.84 (14.86)	14.88 (14.90)	14.92 (14.95)	15.0 (15.04)
M.P	ç	130 ⁰ C	140 ⁰ C	135 ⁰ C	150 ⁰ C	160 ⁰ C	170 ⁰ C	175 ⁰ C	145 ⁰ C	165 ⁰ C	173 ⁰ C	180 ⁰ C	147^{0} C	186 ⁰ C	190^{0} C	200 ⁰ C
Molar cond. Am	Cm ² mol ⁻¹ ohm ⁻¹	12	15	11	20	24	26	32	22	25	28	31	21	27	33	38
Magnetic	moment		ı	ı	1.98	2.98	4.98	5.25	1.88	3.01	5.01	5.52	2.01	3.15	4.87	5.3
Colour	moro	Yellow	Dark yellow	Yellow	Dark Brown	Brownish black	Black	Dark black	Dark Brown	Brownish black	Black	Dark black	Dark Brown	Brownish black	Black	Dark black
Vield		77%	80%	75%	70%	65%	73%	80%	78%	84%	88%	72%	67%	72%	82%	66%
Molecular	.formalae	$C_{16}H_{14}N_{2}O$	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ N ₂ OCI	$C_{16}H_{13}N_4O_3$	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ N ₂ OCu	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ N ₂ ONi	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ N ₂ OCo	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ N ₂ OMn	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ OCIC u	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ OCIN	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ OCIC o	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ OCIN n	$C_{16}H_{12}N_4O_3Cu$	$C_{16}H_{12}N_4O_3Ni$	$C_{16}H_{12}N_4O_3Co$	$C_{16}H_{12}N_4O_3M_{\rm II}$

Table-2: IR, ¹HNMR and UV spectra of ligand LH₁ and its metal complexes

Guine		I.R. spectra cm ⁻¹	a	¹ H.N.N	1.R. spectra ppm	U.V. spectra nm		
Compounds	v(C=N)	v(M-N)	v(C-O-C)	δ(Ar-H)	δ(HC=N)	(-C=C-)	(-C=N)	
HL ₁	1623, 1613	-	1202	7.2	7.68	250	300	
HL_2	1612, 1605	-	1207	7.0	7.61	240	295	
HL ₃	1608, 1603	-	1210	7.5	7.54	245	310	
L_1 - Cu^{+2}	1588, 1593	450	1220	6.8	7.58	250	355	
L_1 -Ni ⁺²	1580, 1577	447	1225	6.85	7.45	250	350	
L_1 -Co ⁺²	1572, 1568	443	1224	6.75	7.32	250	345	
L_1 - Mn^{+2}	1565, 1562	462	1229	6.60	7.28	250	340	
L_2 - Cu^{+2}	1594, 1588	455	1230	6.65	7.22	240	365	
L ₂ -Ni ⁺²	1586, 1583	463	1217	6.72	7.18	240	360	
L_2 -Co ⁺²	1576, 1572	470	1227	6.68	7.08	240	358	
L_2 - Mn^{+2}	1570, 1566	478	1232	6.57	7.99	240	344	
L_3 - Cu^{+2}	1604, 1600	465	1214	6.52	7.47	245	372	
L ₃ -Ni ⁺²	1600, 1595	472	1234	6.97	7.39	245	366	
L ₃ -Co ⁺²	1590, 1580	481	1225	6.86	7.26	245	350	
L ₃ -Mn ⁺²	1584, 1577	486	1221	6.67	7.18	245	348	

Table-3: Thermodynamic function for the dissociation of ligand in ethanol-water (50vol %) mixtures and 0.1M- NaCl at different temperature

T/K	p(dissociation Constant) pKa	(Gibbs Energy Change)i KJmol ⁻¹	(Ehthalpy Change)i KJmol ⁻¹	[-Entropy Change]i Jmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
298	7.54	43.22		61.51
308	7.40	43.63	24.89	60.84
318	7.28	44.32		61.11

Table-4: Stepwise Stability Constants for ML Complexes of L in ethanol-water (50vol %) mixtures and 0.1 M- NaCl at different temperature

	298K	308K	318K		
$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{n}+}$	Log(K ₁) Log (K ₂)	$Log(K_1) Log(K_2)$	Log(K ₁) Log (K ₂)		
Mn ⁺²	6.5 3.53	6.6 3.61	6.72 3.74		
Co^{+2}	6.1 3.6	6.2 3.7	6.29 3.8		
Ni ⁺²	7.1 3.85	7.15 4.01	7.25 4.12		
Cu^{+2}	7.2 4.0	7.25 4.1	7.32 4.19		

M^{n+}	T/K	(-Gibbs Eı K	nergy Change)i Jmol ⁻¹	(Enthalpy KJ1	y Change)i mol ⁻¹	(Entropy Change)i Jmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹		
		1	2	1	2	1	2	
	298	37.08	20.14			161.34	135.03	
Mn^{+2}	308	38.92	21.28	11.48	18.18	162.07	134.35	
	318	40.91	22.77			163.23	134.81	
	298	34.17	19.93			177.78	133.15	
Co^{+2}	308	34.8	20.54	14.36	25.84	177.72	132.95	
	318	36.56	21.81			177.57	132.92	
	298	38.29	23.13			184.12	160.40	
Ni ⁺²	308	40.51	21.96	18.18	19.14	183.5	160.64	
	318	42.16	23.64			183.96	160.12	
	298	44.14	25.08			198.85	137.58	
Cu^{+2}	308	42.78	24.17	11.00	20.10	197.82	137.5	
	318	44.56	25.51			197.29	137.38	

Table-5: Thermodynamic Functions for ML Complexes of L in ethanol-water (50vol. %) mixtures and 0.1 M-
NaCl at different temperature

The mixture was refluxed for 1hr and cooled at room temperature. On cooling, precipitates of metal complexes were formed, which were filtered from Buckner funnel, washed with ethanol and dried. Recrystallization in aqueous ethanol (30:70) gave the pure metal complexes. The analytical data of ligands and their metal complexes are given in table-1. (Scheme-2)

2.4 Potentiometric studies-

Metal ion solutions (C = $0.0002 \text{ moldm}^{-3}$) were prepared from analar metal chlorides in bidistilled water and were standardized with EDTA. The ligand solution (C = 0.001 moldm^{-3}) was prepared by dissolving the accurate mass of the solid in ethanol (Analar). Solutions of 0.001M HCl and 1M NaCl were also prepared in double distilled water. A carbonate free sodium hydroxide in ethanol-water mixture (30vol %) was used to titrate and standardized against oxalic acid (Analar).

The following mixtures (i-iii) were prepared and titrated potentiometrically at different temperatures 298K, 308K and 318K against standard 0.004M NaOH in ethanol-water mixture (50vol%): -

(i) $5 \text{cm}^3 0.001 \text{M HCl} + 5 \text{cm}^3 1 \text{M NaCl} + 20 \text{cm}^3 \text{ ethanol}$

(ii) $5 \text{cm}^3 0.001 \text{M} \text{-} \text{HCl} + 5 \text{cm}^3 1 \text{M} \text{NaCl} + 5 \text{cm}^3 0.001 \text{M} \text{-} \text{ligand} + 15 \text{cm}^3 \text{ ethanol.}$

(iii) $5\text{cm}^3 0.001\text{M}$ -HCl $+5\text{cm}^3 1\text{M}$ NaCl $+ 15\text{cm}^3$ ethanol $+5\text{cm}^3 0.001\text{M}$ - ligand $+ 5\text{cm}^3 0.002\text{M}$ - Metal salt.

For each mixture, the volume was made up to 50cm^3 with double distilled water before the titration. The pH measurements were carried out using Equiptronics- 610 pH meter accurate to \pm 0.01 units. The pH meter readings in ethanol- water (50vol. %) are corrected according to Van-Uitert and Hass relation [15].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of ligand and their metal complexes

Structure of ligands and their metal complexes were established by microanalytical and spectral methods like ¹HNMR, UV, IR, Mass and ESR, which confirm the structure which is nearly same as reported earlier [16-20].

3.2 Stability constant

The average number of the protons associated with the ligand molecule L, η_{A} , was determined at different pH values applying the following equation.

$$\overline{\eta}_{A} = y + \frac{(V_{1} - V_{2})(N^{0} + E^{0})}{(V^{0} + V_{1})(T_{CL^{0}})}$$

Where Y is the number of available protons in L (Y=1) and V₁ and V₂ are the volumes of alkali required to reach the same pH on the titration curve of hydrochloric acid and organic ligand respectively. V⁰ is the initial volume (50cm³) of the mixture; N⁰ is the concentration of sodium hydroxide solution and C⁰_Lis the initial concentration of free acid. The titration curves (η_A vs. pH) for the proton-ligand systems were constructed and found to extend between 0 and 1 on the η_A scale. This means that L has one ionisable proton. It can be seen that for same volume of NaOH added the ligand titration curves had a lower pH value than the acid titration curve. The displacement of a ligand titration curve along the volume axis with respect to the acid titration curve is an indication of proton dissociation. The proton-ligand stability constants were calculated using the method of Irving and Rossoti [21]. The data obtained are listed in table-3.



FIG-1 Vant Hoff Plot pKa of Schiff base HL1 against 1/T

The formation curves for the metal complexes were obtained by plotting the average number of ligands attached per metal ions (η) vs. the free ligand exponent (pL), according to Irving and Rosotti [22]. The average number of the reagent molecules attached per metal ion, η and free ligand exponent, P_L, can be calculated using the equations.

$$\overline{\eta} = \frac{(V_3 - V_2)(N^0 + E^0)}{(V^0 + V_2)(T_{CM^0})(\overline{\eta}_A)}$$
And
$$P_{L=} \log_{10} \frac{\sum \beta_n^{H} (1/\text{antilog } p^{H})^n}{C_{L}^0 - \eta C_M^0} \cdot \frac{V^0 + V_3}{V^0}$$

Where C_M^0 is the total concentration of the metal ions present in the solution, β_n^H is the overall proton-reagent stability constant. V₁, V₂, V₃ are the volumes of alkali required to reach the same pH on the titration curves of hydrochloric acid, organic ligand and complex, respectively.



FIG-2 Van't off plot of log $\{K_1\}$ of M^{+n} complexes with HL₁ against 1/T



FIG-3 Van't Hoff plot of log $\{K_2\}$ of $M^{\scriptscriptstyle +n}$ complexes with HL_1 against 1/T.

These curves were analyzed and the successive stability constants were determined using different computational methods [23]. The values of the stability constants (log $\{K_1\}$ and log $\{K_2\}$) are given in table 4.

The following general remarks can be made:-

(i) The maximum value of η was 2 indicating the formation of 1:2 (n [metal]: n [ligand]) complexes only.

(ii) The metal ion solution used in the present study was very dilute $(2x10^{-5}mol dm^{-3})$, hence there was no possibility of formation of polynuclear complexes [24].

(iii)The titration curve of the metal ion complexes were displaced to the right hand side of the ligand titration curves along the volume axis, indicating proton release upon complex formation of the metal ion and ligand. The large decrease in pH for the metal ion complexes titration curves relative to ligand titration curves points to the formation of strong metal ion complexes [25].

(iv)In most cases, the colour of the solution after complex formation was found to be different from the colour of the ligand at the same pH.

(v) For the same ligand at constant temperature, the stability of the chelates increases in the order Mn^{+2} , Co^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Cu^{+2} [26]. This can be attributed to the decrease of charge on the central metal ion which decreases the electronic attraction between the cation and the ligand.

The dissociation constants (pka) for L, as well as the stability constants of its complexes with Mn^{+2} , Co^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Cu^{+2} have been evaluated at 298K, 308K and 318K. The enthalpy change (ΔH) for the dissociation and complexation process was calculated from the slope of the plot pka or log {k} vs. 1/ (T) using the graphical representation of von't hoff equations (FIG-1).

2.303RT log {K} =
$$\Delta$$
H- T Δ S (4)
Or
Log K = (- Δ H/2.303R) (1/T) + (Δ S +2.303R) (5)

From the Gibbs energy change (ΔG) and (ΔH) values one can deduce the entropy changes (ΔS) using the well known relationships.

$$\Delta G = -2.303 \text{ RT} \log \{K\}$$
(6)
$$\Delta S = (\Delta H - \Delta G) / T$$
(7)

Where the gas constant $R = 8.314 \text{JK}^{-1} \text{Mol}^{-1}$, K is the dissociation constant for the ligand or the stability constant of the complexes, and T absolute temperature. All thermodynamic parameter of the dissociation process of M L are recorded in table 5.

From these result the following conclusions can be made.

(i) The pk_a values decreases with increasing temperature revealing that their acidity increases with increasing temperature [27].

(ii) A positive value of ΔH indicates that the process is endothermic.

(iii) A large positive value of ΔG indicates that the dissociation process is not spontaneous [28].

(iv) The dissociation process for L has negative values of ΔS due to the increased order as a result of solvation processes [29].

All the thermodynamic parameters of the stepwise stability constants of complexes are recorded in table 5. It is known that the metal ions exist in solution as octahedral hydrated species [30] Examination of these values showed that:

(i) The stepwise stability constants (log $\{K_1\}$ and log $\{K_2\}$) (FIG-2,3) for ligand complexes decrease with increasing temperature.

(ii) The negative value of ΔG for the complexation process suggests that spontaneous nature of such process [31].

(iii)The ΔH values are negative, meaning that these processes are exothermic and favorable at lower temperature.

(iv)The ΔS values for the ligand complexes are positive confirming that the complex formation is entropically favorable [32].

CONCLUSION

The dissociation process is non-spontaneous, exothermic and entropically unfavorable while the complexation process is spontaneous, exothermic and entropically favorable.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Principal, Head Department of Chemistry, Government College, Ajmer for encouragement. We also thankful to Regional Sophisticated Instrumentation Centre (RSIC), Central Drug Research Institute (CDRI) Lucknow, for providing Spectral Analysis, and micro analytical data.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-sarawy, A.A., El-Bindary, A.A. El- sonbati, A.Z. T.Y. Omar, Chem. Pap, 59 (2005).
- [2] R.K. Lonibala, T.R Rao, R.K Babita devi, J. Chem. Society 118 (2006).
- [3] K.N Thimmariah, W.D. Lloyd, G.T Chandrappa, Inorg. Chem. Acta. 81 (1985).
- [4] R.castarlenas, Dixneuf, Chem. Int. 42 (2003).
- [5] M.T.H. Tarafdar, Ali Ma., Canadian Jour of Chem. 56 (2000).

[6] Al- saddi, M.S.M., S.A.F. Rostom A.F. Sherif, H.M.M. faidallah, *Alexandria Jour. of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 19 (2005) 15-21.

- [7] M. Mokhles abd-Elzaher, Applied Organometallic Chem., 18 (2004)
- [8] C.P.Prabhakaran , M.L., H.K. Nair, Ind. J. Chem. 35 (1996).
- [9] Y Ibrahim, C. Alaadin Trans Met. Chem. 28 (2003).

[10] B.R Bottari. F. Maccari, R.ottana Monforte, M.G. E.Rottando, Part 9, *biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 10 (2000) 657-660

[11] A.K. Bakkesteun. L.L. Gunderson G. Langli, F.Liu, J.M. Noisoe, *Biorg Med. Chem.*, 10 (2000) 1207-1210.

- [12] B.A. Bennani, N Kerbal. Ben Larbi, T.Ben-Hadda, Moraccan Patent 2770 (2004)
- [13] A.I Vogel, "Textbook of quantitative inorg. analysis", 4th ed. Longman London.
- [14] N. H. Al-Sha'alan, "Molecules. 12 (2007) 1080-1090.
- [15] Van Uitert, L.G. and Hass, C.G. J. American chem. Society. 75 (1953).
- [16] P. Mittal, N. Kanoongo and V.Uma, Int. J. of Chemical Sciences 6 (2008) 1050-1060.
- [17] P. Mittal, N. Kanoongo and V. Uma, "Oriental J of Chemistry, 24 (2008) 303-308.
- [18] P. Mittal and V. Uma, Asian Journal of Chemistry. 21 (2009) 1230-1238.
- [19] P. Mittal and V. Uma, Orient J. of Chem. 24 (2008).
- [20] P. Mittal and V. Uma, Int. J. of Chem. Tech.1 (2009) 225-232.
- [21] H.M. Irving, H.S. Rosotti J. Chem. Soci. (1954)
- [22] H.M. Irving, H.S. Rosotti J. Chem. Soci 3397 (1953)
- [23] F.J.C. Rosotti, H.S Rosotti. Acta Chem. Scand. 9 (1955).
- [24] M.T. Beck, L. Nagybal, Chem. of Complex equillibria, Wiley NewYork. 1990.
- [25] Al-Sarawy A.A., El. Bindary A.A., and El.-Sombati A.Z., Bull. Electro Chem., 20 (2004).
- [26] F.R. Harlly, R.M. Burgess, R.M. Alcock Solution Equillibria, Ellis Horwood Chichester, (1980)
- [27] M.P El-Sherbiny, A.A. Al- sarawy, A.A. El-Bindary, Boll. Chem. Farm, 141 (2004).
- [28] A.A. El- Sonbati, A.A El- Bindary. R.M. Ahmed J. Solution Chem., 32 (2000).
- [29] C.S.G. Phillips, R.J.P. Williams, Inorg. Chem., Oxford University, New York, 2 1968.

[30] G.H.Jeffery, J. Basett, J.Mendham, R.C Deney, Vogel's Text book of quantitative chemical analysis, fifth ed., Longman, London. 1989.[31] A.A. Al- sarawy, *chem.* 109 (**2004**).

[32] A.T. J. Mubarak, Solution Chem. 33 (2004).