

Research Article

Morbidity and Mortality of Sepsis at the Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care at the Clinical Hospital Center in Zagreb, Croatia

Slijepcevic J*, Koncar M, Friganovic A, Mestrovic M, Draganic S and Slijepcevic V

University Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia

*Corresponding author e-mail: mathewlincg@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: In the least developed countries, sepsis remains the leading cause of death. This Research will show the occurrence of sepsis and septic shock in critically ill patients 10 years after the first attempts of researching incidence of sepsis in Croatia, and give us a better insight into the types of microorganisms that are found in septic patients.

Objective: Determine the number of morbidity and mortality of patients from sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock in 3 ICUs in Clinical Hospital Centre Zagreb.

Hypothesis 1: Gram-negative bacteria are the leading cause of infection in patients with sepsis.

Hypothesis 2: Number of patients suffering from sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock and their deaths is less than in the other countries with available data on morbidity and mortality.

Methods: The study was conducted with a specially designed form for data collection, made by researchers, and was approved by the Ethics Committee. As a measuring instrument, researchers used criteria for sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock defined by the Surviving Sepsis Campaign. Included were 3169 patients. Demographic, clinical and microbiology data were collected prospectively.

Results: The total number of patients admitted was 3169. Sepsis and severe sepsis occurred in 67 patients, while the septic shock affected 16 patients. Total number of ICU patient deaths was 127, of which 31 patients died from the direct consequences of sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock. In patients with sepsis, the lungs were the most common site of infection (67%). The most common microorganisms were *Pseudomonas aureginosa* (46%).

Discussion: We confirmed both hypotheses. Gram negative bacteria were the leading microorganism. Morbidity and mortality from sepsis is less than in the other countries with available data. This research shows that Croatian Hospital Centre Zagreb has lower occurrence of sepsis and septic shock than most ICUs in the world.

Keywords: Sepsis, Septic shock, Microorganism, Morbidity, Mortality, Intensive care unit

INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a systemic response of the host to infectious stimuli, which consists of clinical, hemodynamic, biochemical, and inflammatory components¹, but according to the new definition, sepsis should be defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection². During this research, the new definition of sepsis was not applied because it was published in February 2016. The number of deaths from sepsis in the U.S. increased from 154.159 in 2000 to 207.427 in 2007³, and the numbers of hospitalizations with sepsis have overtaken those from cardiac infarction⁴. In the U.S, sepsis accounts for far more deaths than the number of deaths from prostate cancer,

breast cancer and AIDS combined. Sepsis strikes an estimated 30 million people worldwide every year. The sepsis syndrome, severe sepsis, and septic shock represent a major therapeutic and economic problem⁵.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature review showed two large investigations of sepsis occurrence were performed in Croatia. In one study, 314 sepsis episodes that occurred between 2000 and 2005, analysis has shown that the number of admitted patients to ICUs was increased from 3.7% in 2000 to 11.7% in 2005, while the recorded mortality was 14.2% in 2000 and 20.3% in 2005⁶. Second study conducted on 24 ICUs from a 1-year period (November 2004-October 2005) has shown that overall 8.6% of patients

clinically significant were presented with odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). We also used MedCalc (MedCalc Software) software for analyses.

RESULTS

Through one-year period, a total of 3169 patients were hospitalized in the 3 ICUs; general, cardiac and neurosurgical intensive care unit in Clinical Hospital Center Zagreb. Of the total number of admitted patients 126 of them had a condition as bacteremia, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock. Table 1 shows the frequency of individual diagnoses in three ICUs. Obtained data shows the highest representation of sepsis and severe sepsis (53.96%), followed by bacteremia (33.33%) and septic shock placed in third place (12.69%). Of 126 infection affected patients, 83 (65.87%) were male, 43 (34.12%) were female. The median patient age was 65 Years (mean \pm SD, 62.2 \pm 15.7). There were 3042 (96%) ICU survivors, and 127 (4%) ICU non-survivors. Of 127 deceased patients, 31 (24.40%) of them died of infectious complications.

There was a difference in ICU mortality in patients who died from infections complications (neurosurgical 6.4%, general

74.1% and cardiac 19.3%). Figure 1 shows relationship between intensive care unit morbidity and mortality rates of patients with sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock in 3 ICUs. Cardiac ICU had the largest number of patients suffering from sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock (52.3%), but only 4.7% of them died. Characteristics of all 126 patients with bacteremia, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock are shown in Table 2. From 126 patient who had sepsis, severe sepsis or septic shock, we isolated 418 positive cultures of various microorganisms. Table 3 shows the type of microorganism distributed in 3 ICUs. The microorganism was considered once per patient even if present in more than one site. The most common type of microorganism was gram negative bacteria. In 418 positive cultures, 216 (51.6%) were gram negative. Pseudomonas were the most common Gram-negative microorganism, found in 58 isolates in all 3 ICUs, followed by the Gram positive micro-organism Staphylococcus, found in 52 isolates. The third most common microorganism was Candida albicans, found in 51 isolate.

We tested odds ratio between patients from whom we isolated gram negative, gram positive bacteria and patients who died from

antimicrobial treatment of patients with sepsis.

Our medical staff was leading with SSC bundles and with 63 recommendations from WFCCNa what shows significance in lower morbidity and mortality of sepsis. Although our methodology was strong, our study had limitations. We studied only patients treated in ICU during their ICU admission, and we excluded variable like Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE II), and instead we used Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS I and II).

Predictors of mortality in sepsis are interesting in studies like this one, but our aim was not focused on that. We can say that older age, male gender and *Pseudomonas* infection can be used as a mortality predictor based on the results, but no testing was used to prove that²⁵⁻²⁹. In our Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care at Clinical Hospital Center Zagreb, we don't have a special sepsis team with more competence and knowledge about sepsis treatment, but in further our plan is to train several intensive care nurses to be specialists for the sepsis issue. We think that the early recognition sign of sepsis, that nurses see first, is the key for successful treatment and

is lifesaving. The optimum treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock is a dynamic and evolving process and regarding that fact, we will enroll our further interventions (establish register for sepsis, educate nurse experts for sepsis and persist on standard numbers of nurses and doctors needed per patients in ICU)³⁰.

Given the importance of sepsis as a big ICU morbidity and mortality issue, for further study it will be interesting to follow mainly nurse activities and interventions to see how much effect can nursing have on morbidity and mortality of sepsis.

KEY MESSAGES

- This first research performed on Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care at the largest Clinical Hospital Center in Zagreb, shows a low morbidity and mortality from sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock than in other ICUs in different countries.
- Gram-negative microorganism persisted as a leading microorganism isolated from septic patients.
- Use of SSC guidelines and 63 recommendations for nurses, we achieve

envious level of good practice in treating sepsis.

REFERENCES

1. Levy M.M., Fink M.P., Marshall J.C., et al. SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS: International sepsis definition conference. *Intensive Care Med* 2003; 29: 530-538.
2. Singer M., Deutschman C.S., Seymour CW., et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 801-810.
3. Lagu T., Rothberg M.B., Shieh M.S., Pekow P.S., Steingrub J.S., Lindenauer P.K. (Hospitalizations, costs, and outcomes of severe sepsis in the United States 2003 to 2007. *Crit Care Med* 2012; 40: 754-761.
4. Yeh R.W., Sidney S., Chandra M., et al. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 362: 2155-2165.
5. Torio C.M., Andrews R.M., National inpatient hospital costs: the most expensive conditions by payer. *Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Statistical Briefs* 2013.
6. Degoricija V., Sharma M., Legac A., Survival analysis of 314 episodes of sepsis in medical intensive care unit in university hospital: impact of intensive care unit performance and antimicrobial therapy. *Croat Med J* 2006; 47: 385-397.
7. Gašparović V., Gornik I., Ivanović D., Sepsis syndrome in Croatian intensive care units: Piloting a National comparative clinical database. *Croat Med J* 2006; 47: 404-409.
8. Imamo Pravo Znati., Health care – Number of beds: Tražite dokumente of vlade i javnog sektora
9. Vuković L.J., Workload estimation nurses in the unit intensive medicine by using point systems. *MEF* 2014.
10. Mertens R., Van der Berg J.M.J., Fabry J., Helics: A European project to standardize the surveillance of hospital acquired infection, 1994-1995. *Euro Surveill* 1996; 1: 154.
11. International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: Guidelines. *Surviving Sepsis Campaign*, 2016
12. Kleinpell R., Aitken L., Schorr C.A., Implications of the new international EPSIS Guidelines for nursing care. *American J Crit Care* 2013; 22: 121-136.
13. Gaiieski D.F., Edwards J.M., Kallan M.J., et al. Benchmarking the incidence and mortality of severe sepsis in the United States. Copyright © 2013 by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
14. Brun-Buisson C., Meshaka P., Pinton P., et al. Episepsis: A reappraisal of the epidemiology and outcome of severe sepsis

in French intensive care units. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; 30: 580-588.

15. Stevenson E.K., Rubenstein A.R., Radin T.G., et al. Two decades of mortality trends among patients with severe sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2014; 42: 625-631.

16. Vincent J.L., Sakr Y., Sprung C.L., et al. Sepsis in European intensive care units. Results of the SOAP study. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 344-353.

17. Miller III R.R., Dong L., Nelson N.C., et al. Multi-center implementation of a severe sepsis and septic shock treatment bundle. *Am J Respir Crit Care* 2013; 188: 77-82.

18. Levy M.M., Rhodes A., Phillips G.S., et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: Association between performance metrics and outcomes in a 7.5-year study. *Intensive Care Med* 2014; 40: 1623-1633.

19. Dellinger R.P., Carlet J.M., Masur Gerlach H., et al. Surviving sepsis campaign guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; 30: 536-555.

20. Dellinger R.P., Levy M.M., Carlet J.M., et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2008. *Intensive Care Med*. 2008; 34: 17-60.

21. Dellinger R.P., Levy M.M., Rhodes A., et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. 2012. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; 39: 165-228.

22. Castellanos-Ortega Á., Suberviola B., García- Astudillo L.A., et al. Impact of the surviving sepsis campaign protocols on hospital length of stay and mortality of septic shock patients: Results of a three-year follow-up quasi-experimental study. *Crit Care Med* 2010; 38: 1036-1043.

23. Centers for disease control and prevention. morbidity and mortality weekly report. public health and aging: Trends in aging – United States and worldwide.

24. Wier L.M., Pfunter A., Steiner C. Hospital utilization among oldest adults. 2008. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville 2014.

25. Alberti C., Brun-Buisson C., Burchardi H., et al. Epidemiology of sepsis and infection in ICU patients from an international multicentre cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2002; 28: 108-121.

26. Guidet B., Aegerter P., Gauzit R., et al. Incidence and impact of organ dysfunctions associated with sepsis. *Chest* 2005; 127: 942-951.

27. Valles J., Rello J., Ochagavia A., et al. Community-acquired bloodstream infection in critically ill adult patients: Impact of shock and inappropriate antibiotic therapy on survival. *Chest* 2003; 123: 1615-1624.

28. Barie P.S., Hydo L.J., Eachempati S.R. Longitudinal outcomes of intraabdominal infection complicated by critical illness. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2004; 5: 365-373.