

Pelagia Research Library

Der Chemica Sinica, 2014, 5(6):28-30



Investigations of the local structure and the EPR parameters for ${\rm Cu}^{2+}$ in LaSrGaO₄

Lin J. Z.

Department of Physics, Zhangjiagang Campus, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology Zhangjiagang, P. R. China

ABSTRACT

The local structure and the spin Hamiltonian parameters (g factors and the hyperfine structure constants) for the tetragonal Cu^{2+} center in LaSrGaO₄ are theoretically investigated from the high order perturbation formulas of these parameters for a $3d^9$ ion in tetragonally elongated octahedra. According to these studies, the impurity Cu^{2+} is found to be surrounded by a tetragonal oxygen octahedron with the bond lengths $R_{//}\approx 2.233$ Å and $R_{\perp} \approx 1.982$ Å. The theoretical spin Hamiltonian parameters based on the above local structure show good agreement with the experimental data.

Key words: Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), spin hamiltonians, Cu²⁺, LaSrGaO₄

INTRODUCTION

LaSrGaO₄ belongs to the group of ABCO₄ compounds(where A=Ca, La; B= Y and C =Al, Ga or some tansition-metal elements)[1] and crystallizes generally in a perovskite-like tetragonal KNiF₄-type structure of I4/mmm space group[2]. LaSrGaO₄ has extensively been studied due to its low dielectric constant and chemical stable property and applications as promising substrate for high-temperature superconduc- ting thin films[2][3]. Many studies have been performed on this material doped with tansition-metal ions by means of EPR technique[2][3], which may be helpful to understand the effect of the local distortion around impurity ions. For example, EPR experiment of Cu²⁺ in LaSrGaO₄ were performed and the spin Hamiltonian g factors ($g_{//}$, g_{\perp}) and the hyperfine structure constants ($A_{//}$, A_{\perp}) were measured in Ref[1]. Until now, however, these experimental results have not been theoretically explained. In this work, the EPR parameters are calculated from the high-order perturbation formulas of 3d⁹ ion in tetragonally elongated octahedra. Since the EPR parameters are sensitive to the local structure of a paramagnetic impurity center, the local structure of Cu²⁺ in LaSrGaO₄ can be quantitatively determined based on the EPR analysis. The results are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In LaSrGaO₄, the Ga³⁺ is surrounded by a tetragonal oxygen octahedron. When the impurity Cu²⁺ ion enters to the lattice of LaSrGaO₄, it may occupy the Ga³⁺ site due to similar ionic radius, and local charge mismatch may be introduced. According to the axiality of the experimental results and the relationship $g_{//} > g_{\perp}$, the impurity ion Cu²⁺ is surrounded by a tetragonally elongated octahedra[4]. For Cu²⁺ (3d⁹) ion in tetragonally elongated octahedra, the lower ²E irreducible representation may be separated into two orbital singlets ²A₁(|z²) and ²B₁(|x²-y²), with the later lying lowest, while the upper ²T₂ representation would split into an orbital singlet ²B₂(|xy>) and a doublet ²E(|xz>, |yz>)[5]. The perturbation formulas of the spin Hamiltonian parameters of the ²B₁ ground state for a 3d⁹ ion in tetragonal symmetry can be expressed as follows[6]

$$g_{\mathscr{A}} = g_{e} + 8k\zeta_{d}/E_{1} + k\zeta_{d}^{2}/E_{2}^{2} + 4k\zeta_{d}^{2}/E_{1}E_{2} - g_{e}\zeta_{d}^{2}(1/E_{1}^{2} - 1/2E_{2}^{2}) \\ + k\zeta_{d}^{3}(4/E_{1} - 1/E_{2})/E_{2}^{2} - 2k\zeta_{d}^{3}(2/E_{1}E_{2} - 1/E_{2}^{2})/E_{1} + g_{e}\zeta_{d}^{3}(1/E_{1}E_{2}^{2} - 1/2E_{2}^{3}) \\ g_{\bot} = g_{e} + 2k\zeta_{d}/E_{2} - 4k\zeta_{d}^{2}/E_{1}E_{2} + k\zeta_{d}^{2}(2/E_{1} - 1/E_{2})/E_{2} + 2g_{e}\zeta_{d}^{2}/E_{1}^{2} \\ + k\zeta_{d}^{3}(2/E_{1} - 1/E_{2})\times(1/E_{2} + 2/E_{1})/2E_{2} - g_{e}\zeta_{d}^{3}(1/E_{1}^{2} - 1/E_{1}E_{2} + 1/E_{2}^{2})/2E_{2} \\ A_{\mathscr{A}} = P(-\kappa - 4/7) + P(8k\zeta_{d}/E_{1} + 6k\zeta_{d}/7E_{2} - 3k\zeta_{d}^{2}/7E_{2}^{2} - 40k\zeta_{d}^{2}/7E_{1}E_{2} \\ + \kappa\zeta_{d}^{2}/E_{2}^{2}) \\ A_{\bot} = P(-\kappa + 2/7) + P[11k\zeta_{d}/7E_{2} + 9k\zeta_{d}^{2}/14E_{2}^{2} - 4k\zeta_{d}^{2}/7E_{1}^{2} + 11k\zeta_{d}^{2}/7E_{1}E_{2} \\ + \kappa\zeta_{d}^{2}(2/E_{1}^{2} + 1/2E_{2}^{2})]$$
(1)

where $g_e(g_e \approx 2.0023)$ is the spin-only value. *k* is the orbital reduction factor. κ is the core polarization constant. ζ_d and *P* are, respectively, the spin-orbit coupling coefficient and the dipolar hyperfine structure parameter of the 3d⁹ ion in crystals. They can be written in terms of the corresponding free-ion values, i.e., $\zeta_d \approx k \zeta_d^0$ and $P \approx kP_0$. In the studied system, ζ_d^0 , P_0 are about 829 cm⁻¹ [7] and 360×10^{-4} cm⁻¹ [8] for the free Cu²⁺ ion.

In equation 1, E_1 and E_2 are the energy separations between the excited ${}^2B_{2g}$ and 2E_g and the ground ${}^2B_{1g}$ states:

$$E_{I} = E(^{2}B_{2}) - E(^{2}B_{1}) = 10Dq$$

$$E_{2} = E(^{2}E) - E(^{2}B_{1}) = 10Dq - 3D_{s} + 5D_{t}$$
(2)

Here Dq is the cubic field parameter, D_s and D_t are the tetragonal field parameters. From the superposition model [9] and the geometrical relationship of the present system, the crystal field parameters can be expressed as: $Dq = (4/3) \overline{A}_t (R_0)(R_0/R_1)^{t^4}$

$$D_{s} = (4/7) \overline{A}_{2} (R_{0}) [(R_{0}/R_{//})^{t^{2}} - (R_{0}/R_{\perp})^{t^{2}}]$$

$$D_{t} = (8/21) \overline{A}_{4} (R_{0}) [(R_{0}/R_{//})^{t^{4}} - (R_{0}/R_{\perp})^{t^{4}}]$$
(3)

Here $R_{//}$ and R_{\perp} denote the bond lengths parallel to the four-fold axis and perpendicular to the axis, respectively. t_2 and t_4 are the power-law exponents, usually, $t_2 \approx 3$ and $t_4 \approx 5$ because of the ion nature of the bonds[9]. $\overline{A}_2(R_0)$ and $\overline{A}_4(R_0)$ are the intrinsic parameters, with the reference bonding length R_0 . For the $(\text{CuO}_6)^{10}$ octahedron cluster, $\overline{A}_4(R_0) \approx 615 \text{cm}^{-1}$ with $R_0 \approx 2.153$ Å [10]. Since the ratio $\overline{A}_2(R_0) / \overline{A}_4(R_0)$ is in the range of 9~12 for 3dⁿ ions in many crystal[11], we take $\overline{A}_2(R_0) \approx 9 \overline{A}_4(R_0)$ here.

Thus by using the formulas of the g-factors and A constants, and fitting the calculated results to the experimental values, one can obtain:

 $N \approx 0.754$ $R_{/} \approx 2.233$ Å $R_{\perp} \approx 1.982$ Å $\kappa \approx 0.338$

The corresponding calculated values are shown in Table 1.

Table 1The EPR parameters for Cu2+ in LaSrGaO4

	g_{\prime}	g_{\perp}	$A_{/\!/}/10^{\text{-}4} \cdot cn$	$A_{\perp}/10^{-4} \cdot cm^{-1}$
Ca	2.3	2.0	-150.8	-5.8
Expt	2.3	2.0:	143	6
Expt	2.3	2.00	146	~3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Table 1, one can find that the theoretical calculations based on the higher order perturbation formulas (Eq.1) and the geometrical relationship of the present studied system are in good agreement with the experimental values. So the spin Hamiltonian parameters and the related parameters adopted here can be regard as reasonable.

(1) the Dq \approx 1240 cm⁻¹ obtained in this work is closed to the isostructural crystal doped with Cu²⁺ ions. For example, Dq \approx 1260 cm⁻¹ was obtained in SrLaAlO₄-doped Cu²⁺ system based on EPR analysis [6]. This supports the validity of the calculations in this work.

(2) Considering that the ionic radius of the impurity $\text{Cu}^{2+}(r_i \approx 0.72 \text{Å}[12])$ is different from the radius of the replaced host ion $\text{Ga}^{3+}(r_h \approx 0.62 \text{Å}[12])$, the impurity- ligand distance is unlike the corresponding metal-ligand distance $(R_{\perp}^{\ h} \approx 1.922 \text{ Å}[13])$ in the host crystal LaSrGaO₄. From the empirical relationship[14], one can reasonably estimate the $R_{\perp} \approx R_{\text{h}} + (r_i \cdot r_{\text{h}})/2 \approx 1.972 \text{ Å}$ which is very closed to the value 1.982 Å obtained in this work by analysis the EPR parameters for present system. This also supports the reasonability of the theoretical results.

(3) The observed values of A_{\parallel} and A_{\perp} from the EPR experiment given in Ref.[1] are positive. However, the theoretical calculations are negative (see Table 1). In fact, these negative signs of the hyperfine structure constants are supported by the experimental results for some similar EPR investigation on Cu²⁺ in elongated oxygen octahedral[15][16]. So the sign of the calculated results can be regard as reasonable.

(4)There are some errors in the above calculations. First, approximation of the theoretical model can lead to some errors in the final results. Second, the contributions from the ligand orbitals and spin-orbit coupling coefficient are ignored here. Fortunately, these contributions are expected to be unimportant and negligible due to the small magnitude of the spin-orbit coupling interaction for the ligand $O^{2-}(\zeta \approx 151 \text{ cm}^{-1}[17])$ compared with that of the impurity $Cu^{2+}(\zeta \approx 829 \text{ cm}^{-1}[7])$.

CONCLUSION

In this work, the local structure and the spin Hamiltonian parameters for the tetragonal Cu²⁺ center in LaSrGaO₄ are theoretically investigated from the high order perturbation formulas of these parameters. It is found that the impurity Cu²⁺ is surrounded by a tetragonal oxygen octahedron with the bond lengths $R_{/}\approx 2.233$ Å and $R_{\perp}\approx 1.982$ Å.

REFERENCES

[1] Anikeenok O A, Augustyniak M A, Ivanova T A, Reiche P, Ueckerl R, Yablokov Y V, *Phys Stat sol B*, **2001**, 1, 226.

[2] Reinen D, Wegwerth J, Physica C, 1991, 183, 261.

[3] Yablokov Y V, Ivanova T A, Coord Chem Rev, 1999, 190–192, 1255.

[4] Chakravarty A S, Introduction to the Magnetic Properties of Solids, Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, **1980**.

[5] Abragam A, Bleanely B. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions. London, Oxford University Press, **1970**, *pp*381-385.

[6] Wei W H, Wu S Y, Dong H N, Z Naturforsch A, 2005, 60, 541.

[7] Parker I H, J Phys C, 1971, 4(11), 2967.

[8] Kawamori A, Miyagawa I, J Chem Phys, 1971, 55, 1336.

[9] Newman D J, Ng B, Rep Prog Phys, **1989**, 52, 699.

[10] Petrosyan A K, Khachatryas T M, Sharoyas E G, Phys. Stat. Solid. B, 1984, 122, 725.

[11] Yu W L, Zhang X M, Yang L X, Phys Rev B, 1994, 50, 6765.

[12] West R C, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, Bocataton 1989, pp187

[13] Drozdowski M, Domagala J, Solid state commun, 1995, 96(10),785.

[14] Li Z M, Shun W L, J Phys Chem Solids, 1996, 57, 1673.

[15] Arakawa m, Hirose t, J Phys Soc Jpn, 1987, 56,1178.

[16] Dong H N, Wu S Y, Li p, *Phys Stat Solid b*, **2004**, 241,1935.

[17] Hodgson E K, Fridovich I, Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1973, 54 270.