iMedPub Journals www.imedpub.com

Journal of Cell and Developmental Biology

2021

1

Vol.5 No.5:09

Evolutionary Study on Cell Signalling Alexis Liang* Pathway

Received: August 02, 2021, Accepted: September 13, 2021, Published: September 21, 2021

Short Communication

Signalling pathways is so important to plants and other organisms. All organisms face a common challenge: how to adapt successfully to changing environ-mental conditions [1]. For single-celled organisms, the environment is the medium that supports their growth and the challenge is, for example, how to optimise nutrient acquisition if the nutrient is distributed non-uniformly. In practical terms this involves detecting a concentration gradient andmoving up it. Multicellular organisms also adapt and respondto changes in their environment. This operates across different levels of scale; at the level of the single cell the environment represents the cell's immediate surroundings, whereas at the level of the whole plant or animal it encom-passes air temperature, light conditions and other variables. In the case of plants, adapting to shading or reduced water availability usually involves making co-ordinated alterations to growth and development so as to ensure the optimum capture and use of available resources. Animals also arefaced with the task of coordinating the cellular response of awhole organism to environmental buffeting, although animals, unlike sessile plants, at least have the option of packing up and moving to a more hospitable clime. Given that the ability to respond appropriately to changes in the environment is essential to all organisms, from the most primitive to the most advanced [2,3], it seems timely to ask whether there are common themes and solutions to this problem that have been adopted during the course of evolution. Multicellular life forms also face another challenge beyond reacting to their environment, and that is to develop from anegg or a seed into a mature organism. The intricate cell-cell signalling and signal integration that occurs during thisprocess makes environmental-response signalling seem almost simple by comparison. It is believed that plants and animals diverged from their last common ancestor before either became multicellular. Thus, each kingdom has independently invented signalling mechanisms to regulate growth and to pattern tissues [4]. Typically this involves amplification and dissemination of the signal through information relay systems, ultimately terminating in changes to target gene expression. Collectively, the systems responsible for sensing and coupling stimuli to their characteristic intracellular responses are known as signal transduction pathways. A good place to start an investigation of the evolution of signalling pathways is in bacteria. It is focused on the twocomponent system [5], describing its operation in bacteria and its recruitment into plants and fungi (but apparently not animals) through lateral gene transfer. The canonical two-component

Department of Cell and Biology, UNSW Sydney, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

*Corresponding author:

Alexis Liang

lianmi447131@163.com

Department of Cell and Biology, UNSW Sydney, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

Citation: Liang A (2021) Evolutionary Study on Cell Signalling Pathway. J Cell Dev Biol. Vol.5 No.5:09.

system consists of a sensor histidine protein kinase and a response regulator. Information relay between the two is through phosphor transfer. There is much to be learned from a study of the evolution and diver-sification of this enormously successful system [6]. In bacterialtwo-component systems we encounter information relaythrough protein-protein interactions (with potential conformational changes) and protein phosphorylation-themeswe shall meet again in evolutionarily more complex organ-isms. In study, of these, cytokinin signalling is closest to thecanonical prokaryotic two-component system in that a histidine kinase (the cytokinin receptor), a phosphor relay proteinand a response regulator are all employed. Ethylene signal-ling is also very interesting. There are two subfamilies of ethylene receptor in higher plants; one of these exhibits histidine kinase activity, while the second appears to have evolved serine/threonine kinase activity. This clearly shows the two-component system has diverged after incorporation into higher plants. A striking feature of many of the other signalling pathways described in the articles in this special issue is that they seem to have originated with the colonization of the terrestrial environment. For instance, in the case of abscisic acid (ABA) signalling. In the study there is evidence that ABA is present in the algae(and indeed certain animals), the PYR/RCAR ABA receptorsare first encountered in terrestrial plants [7]. ABA is a hydro-phobic hormone that binds to intracellular receptors, as is seen in animal steroid hormone signalling. There is an eeriestructural similarity between many animal and planthormones, despite the absence of receptor homology. ABA signalling involves the participation of many other elements familiar in mammalian cell signalling pathways, including phosphor protein phosphatases, protein kinases, reactive oxygen species and calcium ions (acting as intracellular second messengers). An interesting feature to emerge from the investigations of the ABA signalling pathway is that the activated

ABA receptor interacts with and inhibits protein phosphatase. This results in the activation of a protein kinase that phosphorylates downstream signalling components that include basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors and ion channels. Control through negative regulation is a feature that we shall encounter in otherplant signalling pathways. In fact we encounter this feature in two other hormonal signalling pathways: the gibberellin (GA) and auxin signal transduction pathways. These pathways also featurea nother common theme-control through targeted protein degradation. When the GA receptor, the GID1 protein, is activated by binding GA it triggers the degradation, through targeted proteolysis, of DELLA proteins. This family of proteins are nuclear transcriptional regulators and are described as 'master growth repressors. So this is another example of where inhibition or removal of a negative regulator activates down stream signalling responses. In this case GA-activated GID1 binds to DELLA proteins; this complex is then recognized by the F-box protein SLY1, a component of the SCF ubiquitin E3 ligase. This interaction promotes polyubiquitination of DELLA proteins and their subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome. Interestingly this process has direct parallels in auxin signalling [8]. One of the auxin receptors, TIR1, is an F-box protein. The auxin-TIR1 complex binds to a group of transcriptional repressors known as Aux/IAA proteins, and this results intheir ubiquitination by the SCF ubiquitin E3 ligase complexand subsequent degradation by the proteasome. The netresult is activation (through deprepression) of genes whose products are involved in auxinmediated responses. Similar targeted protein degradation pathways involving F-boxproteins are also central to plant jasmonate signalling. In mammals, a canonical example of a signalling pathway in which regulated proteolysis plays a primary role is the NFkB pathway involved in inflammation and immunity. Here, signal-regulated phosphorylation of IkB proteins targets them for degradation, liberating NFkB transcription factors from inhibition Plants, just like animals, make use of peptides and proteinsas signals. It has describes peptide signals that play important roles in the control of development. Peptide signals belonging to the family of epidermal patterning factors (EPFs) inhibit stomatal development and operate through ERECTA family members, which are leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor-like kinases. Although there is still much work to be done in identifying the precise sequence of events that results in the repression of stomatal development, the involvement of a receptor kinase is, at least superficially, similar to the situation in mammalian growth factor signalling. What is striking is that the Arabidopsis genome contains over 600 genes encoding receptor-like kinases of these, many have extracellular LRRs. Receptors with extracellular LRRs are also found in animals, wherethey function both in developmental signalling and as pattern receptors for the innateimmune response. Plants to use LRRs for innate immunity, but have also found ways to use them in the recognition of smaller, unpatterned ligands such as the peptides described above, as well as non-peptidyl hormones such as brassino steroids. Plants, just like animals, use the vascular system asa conduit for long-range signalling. A second example of a protein acting as a signal, this time over a relatively longdistance, is the product of the Flowering Locus Tgene, which is responsible for the promotion of flowering. The FT gene is expressed in leaves

and the FT proteintravels through the plant vasculature until it reaches the shoot apex where it binds to the bZIP transcription factorFlowering D (FD) and participates in the control of eventswhich culminate in the transition to flowering. FT signalling's interesting in that it is an example of a signalling pathway with no obvious parallels in animal signalling. Although we have sought to reduce the challenges of signal transduction to understanding the events associated with coupling the perception of a particular stimulus to its characteristic response, this is of course a dangerous over-simplification that ignores the complexities encountered in plant. The reality of the situation is that he guard cells are continuously bombarded with an arrayof constantly changing signals, some of which will tend topromote stomata opening, while others will induce reductions in guard cell turgor. Hence, an additional role for this signalling system is to integrate multiple signals so that gas exchange is optimised to suit the prevailing environ-mental conditions. Understanding how signal integration isachieved is right at the cutting edge of signalling research, and through the issue of cross-talk [9]. The intracellular wiring of signal-ling systems is being revealed as increasingly complex and, to reflect this, in some cases it is best represented as a network rather than a simple linear pathway. What is becoming clear from a combination of molecular geneticand mathematical-modelling/systems-biology approaches is that the control of these pathways is exerted at differentlevels and frequently involves interacting feedback loops. It is tempting to assume that the apparent complexity, including the frequently observed gene redundancy, ispresent to ensure that the pathways are capable of beingfinely tuned and are robust in the sense that they can tolerate error. Similarly, it is also tempting to assume that there must be inherent advantages to adopting systems in which activation of the response is achieved through the removal of a negative regulate. However, both these assumptions require much further investigation and are examples of instances in which collaborations between biologists, mathematicians and engineers may continue to pay off. Signalling strategies that have little molecular overlap may have more in common when viewed asa network in which the molecules are black boxes functioning to transform input into output, connected by fuzzylogic gates and feedback loops. The forests may be more similar than the trees. So far we have highlighted common elements present inplant and animal signalling systems (and microbial systemsin the case of two-component systems), and some of these commonalities reflect the ancient origins of these signalling systems. However, there are also some surprises. So, in the case of intracellular second messengers, the calciumion is ubiquitous in plants and animals, whereas, although plants possess cAMP, there is no good evidence (unlikethe case in animals) that it has been adopted as a common second messenger. The same holds true for heterotrimeric G proteins-all pervasive in animals, while in plants theyare present, but with a greatly reduced diversity [10]. As anotherexample, leucine-rich-repeat receptors are found in plantsand animals, but are absent in fungi. Then of coursethere are the two component systems present inmicrobes, fungi and plants but apparently not represented in advanced animals. These examples can be contrasted with the widespread recruitment of protein kinases to new signalling tasks in both plants and animals.

References

- 1. Rokas A (2008) The origins of multicellularity and the early history of the genetic toolkit for animal development. Annu Rev Genet 42: 235-251.
- Schaller GE, Shiu SH, Armitage JP (2011) Two-component systems and their co-option for eukaryotic signal transduction. Curr Biol 21: R320-R330.
- 3. Hauser F, Waadt R, Schroeder JI (2011) Evolution of abscisic acid synthesis and signaling mechanisms. Curr Biol 21: R346-R355.
- 4. Kushiro T, Nambara E, McCourt P (2003) Hormone evolution: The key to signalling. Nature 422: 122.
- 5. Sun TP (2011) The molecular mechanism and evolution of the GA-

GID1-DELLA signaling module in plants. Curr Biol 21: R338-R345.

- 6. Leyser O (2011) Auxin, self-organisation and the colonial nature of plants. Curr Biol 21: R331-R337.
- 7. Depuydt S, Hardtke CS (2011) Hormone signalling crosstalk in plant growth regulation. Curr Biol 21: 365-R373.
- 8. Chini, A, Fonseca S, Ferna´ ndez G, Adie, B, Chico JM, et al. (2007) The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature 448: 666-671.
- Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M, Niu Y, Mandaokar A, et al., (2007) JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF(COI1) complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature 448: 661-665.
- 10. Hoffmann A, Baltimore D (2006) Circuitry of nuclear factor kappaB signaling. Immunol. Rev 210: 171-186.