iMedPub Journals www.imedpub.com

Research

2018

Vol.2 No.1:6

Development of Fluorescent Microsphere-Based Immunochromatographic Strip for Rapid Detection of *Cronobacter* in Milk

Di Xu¹, Yaoping Wu¹, Peng Li^{1,2}, Xiaoli Wu^{3*}, Dong Yang¹, Hua Wei¹ and Feng Xu^{1*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, P.R. China

²College of Life Science, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, P.R. China

³College of Basic Medicine, Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanchang 330000, P.R. China

*Corresponding author: Xiaoli Wu, College of Basic Medicine, Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanchang 330000, P.R. China, Tel: +8615905302884; E-mail: 710788651@qq.com

Received Date: Feb 05, 2018; Accepted Date: Mar 08, 2018; Published Date: Mar 19, 2018

Copyright: © 2017 Xu D, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Xu D, Wu Y, Li P, Wu X, Yang D, et al. (2018) Development of Fluorescent Microsphere-Based Immunochromatographic Strip for Rapid Detection of *Cronobacter* in Milk. J Med Microbiol Immunol Res 2:1.

Abstract

Detection of pathogens is of great importance for health and safety. Cronobacter which belongs to common human opportunistic foodborne pathogen can lead to meningitis and necrotizing enterocolitis in infants. So a simple and rapid immunochromatographic strip for detecting Cronobacter was being more in need of and setted up for the first time in our study. CP783 protein was expressed and used as the specific antigen of Cronobacter to obtain polyclonal antibody (pAb) for immunochromatographic strip. Through cloning, expression, purification and animal immunization, we obtained pAb against CP783 protein, which were then conjugated to the fluorescent microsphere as the capture reagent at the test line. Meanwhile, cross reaction with Vibrio parahaemolyticus PVPA 0146 and Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 13311 determined by dot blot were eliminated after purification of pAb against Cronobacter whole cell protein. Afterwards, the pAb against whole cell protein was sprayed as the detective reagent. Our developed fluorescent microsphere-based immunochromatographic strip had high specificity and sensitivity. It resulted in reaching the minimum detectable concentration of Cronobacter at 10⁵ CFU/ml in pure culture and 10⁶ CFU/ml in milk.

Keywords: *Cronobacter*; CP783 protein; Fluorescent microsphere; Polyclonal antibody; Immunochromatographic strip

Introduction

Cronobacter, a gram-negative, rod-shorted facultative anaerobic bacterium, can cause meningitis and necrotizing enterocolitis in infants [1]. This emerging opportunistic food-borne pathogen is as high as 40–80% mortality rate in infected

infants [2]. Old man and adult patients lacking of immunity can also infected by *Cronobacter* to cause local infection and bacteremia [3]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has announced that all *Cronobacter* species are microorganisms pathogenic for human beings [3]. According to previse reports, *Cronobacter* contamination was considered to be serious, occurring at an overall infection rate of 7.5%, with the highest level of contamination being 28.8% in China during 2010-2012 [4]. So, it is very significant to establish a rapid, specific and sensitive detection method of *Cronobacter* for preventing and controlling the food-borne disease.

Traditional method for detection of *Cronobacter* was mainly based on its colonial morphology and the characteristic of physiology and biochemistry [5]. The specimens are initially pre-enriched or enriched in a non-selective broth and then according to their biochemical and morphological characteristics. Bacteria were isolated in a selective diagnostic medium [6].This method does not need expensive experiment equipment or professional skills, but the whole process usually needs 5-7 days to complete, which is time-consuming and laborious [7]. This method also accompanies high falsepositive rates [8].

In recent years, with the development of molecular biology technology, many molecular biological methods were reported. Conventional PCR [9], real-time PCR [10] and loopmediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay which based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques shows high sensitive and specificity than traditional methods [11]. However, biomolecule methods need some professional, experiment equipment, such as PCR instrument and electrophoresis apparatus. The experimental process must be carried out in the professional laboratory of molecular biology. The most reagents used to analyze the PCR product are toxic, such as EB, and experimenters are frequently exposed to the ultraviolet light during the agarose gel detection processing.

Besides traditional methods and biomolecule methods, there is a method called immunochromatographic strip which

is highly sensitive and specific [12,13]. It is rapid, simple, and no time-consuming to test a sample. Besides colloidal gold nanoparticles can be labeled, many new materials also can be labeled, such as fluorescent microspheres, magnetic nanoparticles, quantum dot and so on [14]. Fluorescent microspheres as a special class of functional microspheres have stable morphology, narrow particle size, good dispersion and high luminous efficiency [15]. In recent years, many fluorescent microspheres-based immunochromatographic strips were established to detect famethazine and enrofloxacin residue which are highly sensitive and accurate [15,16]. In general, fluorescent microsphere immunoassays have shown high sensitivity, time savings, and simple operation for detection of pathogens in food [17]. We have developed a method of immunochromatographic strip to detect Cronobacter in milk based on fluorescent microsphere. This new method has advantages over the conventional assays or other molecular biological methods, such as easy to perform with no requirement of specialized equipment, reagent or technicians, and in rapid operation.

In this study, we report first a new immunochromatographic strip which can detect all Cronobacter in our laboratory. Up till now, most methods have been based on PCR reaction, just using immunochromatographic strips instead of agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE), and only few species of Cronobacter can be detected by Immunochromatographic strip [12,13]. Just 14 years ago, in 2002, the International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF) finally confirmed Cronobacter as pathogenic bacteria to a restricted population, endangering their lives and leading to serious long-term consequences, so, there is lack of professional research on Cronobacter [3]. According to previous reports, the characteristics of Cronobacter are similar with other pathogens and the antibody of Cronobacter always shows cross-reaction with them, such as Salmonella typhimurium [18]. So, in this study, we found the specific antigen of

Table 1: List of all strains.

Cronobacter, called CP783 protein, then the protein has been cloned and expressed in E.coli JM109 cell. After purification, the CP783 protein was used as antigen to immune mice, and then we got the specific polyclonal antibody (pAb) of *Cronobacter*. The pAb against CP783 protein was conjugated to fluorescent microsphere, and then added to the conjugate pad, and the diluted pAb against *Cronobacter* cell and goat anti-rabbit IgG were transferred onto the NC membrane. Thus, our immunochromatographic strip can detect all *Cronobacter* fast and accurately.

We estimated the specificity and sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip with pure cultured bacteria and cultured bacteria mixed with other bacteria in milk. The results of this study showed that the bacteria minimum concentration can be detected reached 10^5 CFU/ml in pure culture and 10^6 CFU/ml in milk. Moreover, there is no cross-reaction with other bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Materials and reagents

Bacteria containing 32 *Cronobacter* were preserved in our laboratory (**Table 1**). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) and goat anti-rabbit IgG and goat anti-mice IgG antibody were purchased from the Beijing Zhongshanjinqiao Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Nitrocellulose (NC) membrane, absorbent pad, sample pad, conjugate pad, and PVC sheets were purchased from Sartorius Stedim Biotech Company (Germany). Hydrogen tetra-chloroaurate hydrate (HAuCl4) and trisodium citrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (American). Approximately 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 8.5) was used as incubating and washing buffer in this study. All the solvents, chemicals, and salts used in this study were of analytical grade.

Serial No.	Strain	Number
1	Cronobacter muytjensii	ATCC 51329
2	Cronobacter malonatics	CMCC 45402
3	Cronobacter sakazakii	ATCC 29544
4	Cronobacter sakazakii	YC633B
5	Cronobacter malonatics	JA264B
6	Cronobacter muytjensii	SR1074B
7	Cronobacter sakazakii	PESA 3
8	Cronobacter sakazakii	PESA 9
9	Cronobacter sakazakii	PESA 11
10	Cronobacter muytjensii	PESA 17
11	Cronobacter sakazakii	PESA 18
12	Cronobacter sakazakii	PESA 19

2018

Vol.2 No.1:6

13	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21544
14	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21545
15	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21550
16	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21551
17	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21556
18	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21562
19	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21564
20	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21569
21	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21570
22	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21574
23	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21589
24	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21590
25	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21654
26	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21665
27	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 21674
28	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 22918
29	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 22919
30	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 22922
31	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 22923
32	Cronobacter spp.	CICC 22924
33	Vibrio parahaemolyticus	PVPA 0146
34	Salmonella typhimurium	ATCC 13311
35	Listeria monocytogenesis	CMCC 54001
36	Candida albicans	Z1
37	Enteropathogenic E.coli	CMCC 44496
38	Esherichia coli O157:H7	PELI 0480
39	Shigella flexneri	ATCC 29903
40	Micrococcus luteus	CMCC 28003
41	Enterobacter cloacae	CMCC 45301
42	Bifidobacterium breve	WBBR04
43	Bifidobacterium animalis	WBBR05
44	Bifidobacterium bifidum	WBBI01
45	Bifidobacterium infantis	WBAN07
46	Bifidobacterium adolescentis	WBAD08
47	Bifidobacterium lactis	WLABO9
48	Bifidobacterium longum	WBLO01
49	Lactobacillus plantarum	ATCC 8014
50	Lactobacillus salivarius	ATCC 11741
51	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	ATCC 7469

52	Lactobacillus delbrueckii	ATCC 9649
53	Lactobacillus acidophilus	ATCC 4356
54	Streptococcus thermophilus	WST01
55	Lactobacillus bulgaricus	WLAB02

Preparation of pAb against Cronobacter

The pAb against *Cronobacter* was provided by our laboratory and assayed by dot blot analysis. Serum antibody was purified by bacterial adsorption and saturated ammonium sulfate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$. The pAb can only combine bacterium of *Cronobacter* and there was no cross-reaction with others.

Preparations of pAb against *Cronobacter* specific antigen

Finding *Cronobacter* **specific antigen:** We used pAb of *Cronobacter* cell to find its specific antigen through western blotting. Six bacteria (*C. sakazakii* ATCC 29544, *C. muytjensii* ATCC 51329, *C. malonatics* CMCC 45402, *C. sakazakii* YC633B, *C. muytjensii* SR1074B and *C. malonatics* JA264B) and *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311 as control were applied in this study. Stock culture were inoculated into LB medium and cultured overnight at 37°C with shaking. After centrifugation (4000 g, 5 min), bacteria were collected and washed twice by PBS buffer. After boiling with loading buffer (10 min), the bacteria were sampling through western blotting. Using pAb against *Cronobacter* cell, goat-anti-rabbit IgG-HRP and DAB for coloration. Four bands that were the same in six lanes were found to be specific protein of *Cronobacter* after coloration and further used for specific antigen.

Construction of the expression vector: The genomic DNA of C. muytjensii ATCC 51329 was extracted by using Bacteria Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Takara, Japan). The gene specific primers for amplification of this gene were designed according to the gene sequence obtained from GenBank. The following primers were used: forward, 5'-5'-TTCGAATTCCCTGGCGCTTACTGGTGG-3'; reverse, GAGCTCGAGTTAGTACGTCGTCGGGGGCC-3'. The PCR product was purified using SanPrep Column DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Sangon, China) and digested with EcoR I/Xho I ligated into the pGEX-4T-1 vector. Then the recombinant plasmids were transformed into the electrochemistry competent E. coli JM109 cell for protein expression under the induction with isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 0.8 mM, 30°C, overnight). The expressed protein was assayed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

Purification of the fusion protein: CP783 fusion protein was expressed in electrochemistry competent *E. coli* JM109 cell under the induction with IPTG. According to the result of the western blotting, we chose the purified protein CP783 as *Cronobacter* specific antigen. The CP783 protein was purified by using Profinia TM GST Kit (Bio-Rad, American).

Animal immunization: Six to eight week old, male mice were given a series of subcutaneous (s.c.) injections as follows:

mice were injected every 14 days and 5 times in total, 150 μ g purified CP738 protein for each. The mice were bled 3 days later, and the serum obtain was kept frozen at -20°C or -80°C in small aliquots of 1-5 ml. The titer of serum antibody was determined by Elisa assay, the specificity of serum antibody was assayed by dot blot analysis. Serum antibody was purified by bacterial adsorption and saturated ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄].

Preparation of the fluorescent microspherepAb conjugate

The density of carboxyl around the fluorescent microsphere used in this study was 625 mM/g. We used pAb against CP783 to couple fluorescent microsphere. Briefly, Coupling was initiated by the addition of 25 μ g of pAb against recombinant CP783 protein and 5 mg activated fluorescent microsphere, brought to a final volume of 9 ml with 0.01 M PBS and incubated with EDC for 2 h at room temperature with rotation. Coupled microspheres were blocked to reduce nonspecific binding by the final concentration 1% of BSA solution for 30 min. Coupled microspheres were harvested by centrifugation (8000 rpm, 5 min) then washed once with 10 ml of 0.01 M PBS and re-suspended by 2 ml 0.01 M PBS, stored in 4°C until use.

Preparation of the optimal concentration of the coating antibody

The pAb against *Cronobacter* cell mixture were diluted to 3.0, 2.5, 2.0, 1.5, 1.0, and 0.5 mg/ml with 0.02 M sodium PBS (pH 8.5). The diluted pAb and 1 mg/ml goat anti-rabbit IgG were transferred onto the NC membrane with a volume of 1 μ l/cm to form the test (T) and the control (C) lines, respectively. The distance between the T and C lines was 8 mm. The test strips were dried at 37°C for 8 h. In this study, 0.5% OVA were used as the blocking buffer.

Preparation of the immunochromatographic strip

The structure of the immunochromatographic test strip was described in **Figure 1**. 700 μ L of 2.0 mg/mL pAb against the *Cronobacter* whole proteins was dispensed onto the lower part of a nitrocellulose membrane strip with a Bio-strip Dispenser HGS102, as the test line (T), while 500 μ L of 1.0 mg/mL goat anti-rabbit IgG was dispensed 8 mm above the test line, as the control line (C). The fluorescent microsphere labeled pAb against CP783 protein (10 uL/cm) was added to the conjugate pad at the speed of 8 μ L/cm and then dried at 30°C for 2 h in vacuum, the nitrocellulose membrane was blocking in 0.5% OVA for 10 min, then continued drying.

Sample pad made of glass fiber was treated with PBS (pH 7.4) containing 1% BSA, 1% trehalose, 0.02% sodium azide and 0.1% Tween 20 and dried at 37°C. Absorption pad made of filter paper was applied immediately without pretreatment. The sample pad, conjugate pad, immobilized NC membrane, and absorbent pad were assembled, as described in **Figure 1A**. These strips were cut into 5 mm width by BIODOT and stored in a desiccator at 4°C for future use. After the samples (100 μ I) were added drop by drop to the sample pad and allowed to pass through the NC membrane. After 10 min, the appearance of two light lines in the test and control line was positive result. The negative result was the appearance of only one light line in the control line. The appearance of only a single light line in the test line or the absence of a line in test strip was confirmed an invalid test (**Figure 1B**).



Figure 1: (A) The schematic diagram of the immunochromatographic strips and (B) The illustration of immunochromatographic test results.

Sensitivity and stability of the immunochromatographic strip

Different concentrations of the pure culture and milk samples containing *Cronobacter* (10^8 CFU/mL, 10^7 CFU/mL, 10^6 CFU/mL, 10^5 CFU/mL, 10^4 CFU/mL and 10^3 CFU/mL) were prepared with 0.01 M sterile PBS buffer (pH 7.2) to evaluate the sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip. For the sensitivity assay, $100 \ \mu$ l of each solution of a particular dilution was used for the immunochromatographic strip test and 0.0l M PBS buffer (pH 7.2) was used for the blank control. All immunochromatographic strips were stored at 4°C for 16 weeks to evaluate the stability of the immunochromatographic strips during storage.

Detection of simulated milk powder

The pure cultured bacteria of Cronobacter were diluted to different concentration (10^8 CFU/mL, 10^7 CFU/mL, 10^6

© Copyright iMedPub

CFU/mL, 10^5 CFU/mL, 10^4 CFU/mL and 10^3 CFU/mL) using aseptic milk. Then, high concentration *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311 (10^8 CFU/mL) was added to the samples. 100 µl of each solution of a particular dilution was used for the immunochromatographic strip test, and aseptic milk was used as the blank control.

Detection of large sample

A total of 100 samples containing 80 samples have *Cronobacter* diluted to 10^7 CFU/ml with milk and other milk samples had no *Cronobacter* for negative. All samples were also detected by the ELISA kit to evaluate the accuracy of the immunochromatographic strip test.

Results and Discussion

Specific test of pAb against Cronobacter

We used 55 bacteria (**Table 1**) to detect the specific of pAb, cross reaction was seen between pAb and 32 *Cronobacter*.



Figure 2: Detection for specific of pAb against *Cronobacter* whole protein by dot blot and SDS-PAGE. (A) Before purification, there are cross-reaction between the pAb with No.33 and No.34 bacterium, (B) After purification, the pAb can only combine with *Cronobacter*. No cross-reaction with others and (C) Line 1, pAb before purification; Line M, protein marker; Line 2, pAb after purification. According to these results, the pAb against *Cronobacter* that is high specificity was confirmed.

But pAb against CP783 protein also had reaction with two other pathogens (*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* PVPA 0146 and *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311). The results were shown in **Figure 2A**. After purification by Bacterial adsorption method and saturated ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄], the SDS-PAGE and dot blot result showed that the purified pAb can also combine with 32 *Cronobater*, but not with other bacteria besides *Cronobacter* (**Figure 2B**).

Specific test of pAb against recombination CP783 protein

Through western blotting assay, we confirmed the four genes of those proteins, ATPsyn (GI 156936114), Maltoporin (GI 156932308), OmpA (GI 156934557), CP783 (GI 156934989). According to NCBI database, the DNA sequence of OmpA is highly similar to other bacterium, like *Salmonella typhimurium*. Through MS/MS assay and blast with NCBI database, the protein sequence of Maltoporin and OmpA were also similar with other bacteria which were not *Cronobacter*. So, we chose CP783 for cloning, expression (**Figure 3**) and purified (**Figure 4**).



Figure 3: The results of CP783 protein expression and purification: (A) M, marker; 1, *C. sakazakii* YC633B; 2, *C. malonatics* JA264B; 3, *C. muytjensii* SR1074B; 4, *C. sakazakii* ATCC 29544; 5, *C. muytjensii* ATCC 51329; 6, *C. malonatics* CMCC 45402; 7, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311. The CP783 protein was common exist in *Cronobacter* and chose to specific antigen of *Cronobacter*.

After cloning and expression, purified CP783 protein injected mouse, then the serum was harvested and estimated the specific of serum by dot blot. The result showed that the pAb could combine 32 *Cronobacter* in our laboratory, but the positive reaction was seen between pAb and 4 other bacteria, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311, Enteropathogenic *E.coli* CMCC 44496, *Esherichia coli* O157:H7 PELI 0480, *Shigella flexneri* ATCC 29903 (**Figure 5A**). After purification using the 4 bacteria by bacterial adsorption and saturated ammonium sulfate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$, the SDS-PAGE and dot blot result showed that the purified pAb can also combine 32 Cronobater, but not other bacteria (**Figure 5B**).



Figure 4: (A) SDS-PAGE for detection of CP783 protein expression; (B) Western Blot by using unpurified pAb of *Cronobacter* cell as primary antibody; (C) Western Blot by instead of purified pAb of *Cronobacter* cell. Line M, maker; Line 1, negative control (*E. coli* JM109 with empty vector); Line 2, CP783 protein expression (*E.coli* JM109 with vector carrying CP783 gene), those results confirmed the CP783 protein was expressed and purified successfully.

Optimal concentration of the capture antibody, coating antibody, and blocking BSA

The pAb using CP738 protein as immunogen was produced by the method described above. The most advantageous concentration of the capture antibody was 20 ug/ml. for the coating antibody, the color of the test line depended gradually with the increase in pAb concentration. But, the color of the test line was maintained when the pAb concentration reached 2 mg/ml. In this study, 1% BSA solution is best for blocking buffer, thus, 1% BSA solution was determined as the most advantageous concentration of the blocking buffer.

Sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip

To confirm the sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip, different concentrations of the pure culture and milk samples containing *Cronobacter* (10^8 CFU/ml, 10^7 CFU/ml, 10^6 CFU/ml, 10^5 CFU/ml, 10^4 CFU/ml, 10^3 CFU/ml) were prepared for test. The results are shown in **Figure 6**. The test was repeated 3 times and the results showed that the bacteria minimum concentration can be detected to reach 10^5 CFU/ml in pure culture and 10^6 CFU/ml in milk. No cross-reaction was observed when other samples were tested.

Vol.2 No.1:6



Figure 5: Detection for specific of pAb against CP783 protein by dot blot: (A) Before purification, the pAb can combine with other bacteria (No.34, 37, 38, 39), (B) After purification, the pAb can reaction with *Cronobacter* specially. Those results confirmed that the pAb against CP783 protein was high specific and had no cross-reaction with other bacteria which was not *Cronobacter*.

Stability of the immunochromatographic strip

The same batch of strips was stored at 4° C for 16 weeks to estimate their stability by evaluating the sensitivity and specificity. The results demonstrated the strips were stable at 4° C for 16 weeks at least.

Detection of simulated milk powder and large sample

For detection of simulated milk powder, the result was shown in **Figure 7**. There were positive reactions for test group, and there were negative reactions for the blank groups. These results confirmed our immunochromatographic strips can detect *Cronobacter* specifically, and large number of other bacteria cannot affect the ability for detecting *Cronobacter*.

For large sample detection, 79 samples were detected for positive reaction in 80 samples containing *Cronobacter*. Moreover, all negative reactions were shown for 20 blank samples. In the end, a rapid detection method of *Cronobacter* was well established by immunochromatographic strip which can detect 32 *cronobacter* in our laboratory. It had also high specificity and sensitivity to detect *Cronobacter* in milk, thus can better ensure the safety of milk, especially for the powdered infant formula.

Conclusion

We developed a fluorescent microsphere-based immunochromatographic strip with high specificity and sensitivity. It can detect at very low concentration of *Cronobacter* in milk. The minimum detectable concentration of *Cronobacter* was found to be at 10^5 CFU/ml in pure culture and 10^6 CFU/ml in milk.



Figure 6: Sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip. Different diluted concentrations of Cronobacter solutions respectively in PBS and milk. (1, 10³ CFU/mL; 2, 10⁴ CFU/mL; 3, 10⁵ CFU/mL; 4, 10⁶ CFU/mL; 5, 10⁷ CFU/mL and 10^{8} CFU/mL), (A) Sensitivity of 6, the immunochromatographic strip in pure culture of Cronobacter can reach 10⁵ CFU/mL in this study, (B) Sensitivity of the immunochromatographic strip in milk samples of Cronobacter can reach 10⁶ CFU/mL.



Figure 7: Detection of simulated milk powder. Different diluted concentrations of *Cronobacter* solutions in milk which mixed with 10^8 CFU/mL *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 13311; 1, 10^3 CFU/mL; 2, 10^4 CFU/mL; 3, 10^5 CFU/mL; 4, 10^6 CFU/mL; 5, 10^7 CFU/mL and 6, 10^8 CFU/mL. It was clarified that other bacterium cannot affect the reaction about the immunochromatographic strip.

Acknowledgment

This project was sponsored by Postgraduate Innovation Special Fund Project of Nanchang University 2015 (No. cx2015101).

Vol.2 No.1:6

References

- Sulaiman IM, Jacobs E, Segars K, Simpson S, Kerdahi K (2016) Genetic Characterization of Cronobacter sakazakii Recovered from the Environmental Surveillance Samples During a Sporadic Case Investigation of Foodborne Illness. Curr Microbiol 73: 273-279.
- 2. Lai KK (2001) Enterobacter sakazakii infections among neonates, infants, children, and adults: Case reports and a review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore) 80: 113-122.
- Alsonosi A, Hariri S, Kajsik M, Orieskova M, Hanulik V, et al. (2015) The speciation and genotyping of Cronobacter isolates from hospitalised patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 34: 1979-1988.
- Huang Y, Pang Y, Wang H, Tang Z, Zhou Y, et al. (2015) Occurrence and Characterization of Cronobacter spp. in Dehydrated Rice Powder from Chinese Supermarket. PLoS One 10: e131053.
- 5. Druggan P, Iversen C (2009) Culture media for the isolation of Cronobacter spp. Int J Food Microbiol 136: 169-178.
- Cawthorn DM, Botha S, Witthuhn RC (2008) Evaluation of different methods for the detection and identification of Enterobacter sakazakii isolated from South African infant formula milks and the processing environment. Int J Food Microbiol 127: 129-138.
- 7. Liu Y, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Zhao Y, Lu Y, et al. (2015) A highly sensitive and flexible magnetic nanoprobe labeled immunochromatographic assay platform for pathogen Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Int J Food Microbiol 211: 109-116.
- Iversen C, Lehner A, Mullane N, Marugg J, Fanning S, et al. (2007) Identification of "Cronobacter" spp. (Enterobacter sakazakii). J Clin Microbiol 45: 3814-3816.
- Stoop B, Lehner A, Iversen C, Fanning S, Stephan R (2009) Development and evaluation of rpoB based PCR systems to differentiate the six proposed species within the genus Cronobacter. Int J Food Microbiol 136: 165-168.

- Cai XQ, Yu HQ, Ruan ZX, Yang LL, Bai JS, et al. (2013) Rapid detection and simultaneous genotyping of Cronobacter spp. (formerly Enterobacter sakazakii) in powdered infant formula using real-time PCR and high resolution melting (HRM) analysis. PLoS One 8: e67082.
- 11. Liu X, Fang J, Zhang M, Wang X, Wang W, et al. (2012) Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for detection of Cronobacter spp. (Enterobacter sakazakii). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28: 1013-1020.
- 12. Blazkova M, Javurkova B, Fukal L, Rauch P (2011) Immunochromatographic strip test for detection of genus Cronobacter. Biosens Bioelectron 26: 2828-2834.
- Chen F, Ming X, Chen X, Gan M, Wang B, et al. (2014) Immunochromatographic strip for rapid detection of Cronobacter in powdered infant formula in combination with silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles separation and 16S rRNA probe. Biosens Bioelectron 61: 306-313.
- 14. Xu F, Di X, Ming X, Xu H, Li B, et al. (2013) Quantum dot-based immunochromatography test strip for rapid detection of Campylobacter jejuni. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 13: 4552-4559.
- 15. Zhou J, Zhu K, Xu F, Wang W, Jiang H, et al. (2014) Development of a Microsphere-Based Fluorescence Immunochromatographic Assay for Monitoring Lincomycin in Milk, Honey, Beef, and Swine Urine. J Agric Food Chem 62: 12061-12066.
- 16. Chen R, Li H, Zhang H, Zhang S, Shi W, et al. (2013) Development of a lateral flow fluorescent microsphere immunoassay for the determination of sulfamethazine in milk. Anal Bioanal Chem 405: 6783-6789.
- 17. Czeh A, Mandy F, Feher-Toth S, Torok L, Mike Z, et al. (2012) A flow cytometry based competitive fluorescent microsphere immunoassay (CFIA) system for detecting up to six mycotoxins. J Immunol Methods 384: 71-80.
- 18. Park S, Shukla S, Kim Y, Oh S, Hun KS, et al. (2012) Development of sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of Cronobacter muytjensii (formerly called Enterobacter sakazakii). Microbiol Immunol 56: 472-479.