Short Communication

iMedPub Journals
www.imedpub.com

Journal of Genomics and Gene Study

2025
Vol.8. No.1:5

CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated Gene Editing: Advances and Ethical Implications in Human Genomics

Yuki Tanaka*

Department of Biomedical Innovation and Genome Editing, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

***Corresponding author:** Yuki Tanaka, Department of Biomedical Innovation and Genome Editing, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan; E-mail: tanakayuki@kyoto-u.ac

Received date: January 01, 2025, Manuscript No. Ipggs-25-20893; **Editor assigned date:** January 03, 2025, PreQC No. Ipggs-25-20893(PQ); **Reviewed date:** January 21, 2025, QC No. Ipggs-25-20893; **Revised date:** January 29, 2025, Manuscript No. Ipggs-25-20893(R); **Published date:** February 6, 2025

Citation: Tanaka y (2025) CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated Gene Editing: Advances and Ethical Implications in Human Genomics. J Genom gene Stud Vol.8 No.1:5

Introduction

The advent of CRISPR-Cas9 technology has revolutionized the field of genetic engineering, offering unprecedented precision, efficiency, and accessibility in editing the human genome. Derived from a natural defence mechanism in bacteria that targets and cuts foreign DNA, the CRISPR-Cas9 system allows scientists to modify specific genes with remarkable accuracy. This breakthrough has transformed biomedical research, enabling the correction of genetic mutations responsible for hereditary diseases, the development of novel therapeutic strategies, and the enhancement of our understanding of complex biological processes. Unlike earlier gene-editing tools such as zinc-finger nucleases and TALENs, CRISPR-Cas9 is simpler, more cost-effective, and adaptable to a wide range of organisms. However, while its potential benefits in treating diseases like sickle cell anemia, cystic fibrosis, and certain forms of cancer are immense, CRISPR-Cas9 also raises profound ethical, social, and regulatory concerns especially when applied to human germline cells [1].

Description

CRISPR-Cas9 operates as a molecular pair of “scissors” guided by RNA sequences that match specific DNA targets. The system consists of two key components: the Cas9 enzyme, which cuts the DNA, and a guide RNA (gRNA), which directs Cas9 to the correct genomic location. Once a targeted gene is cut, the cell’s natural repair mechanisms either Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ) or Homology-Directed Repair (HDR) can be exploited to delete, insert, or replace specific DNA sequences. This capability has made CRISPR-Cas9 a cornerstone of functional genomics, allowing researchers to manipulate genes to study their roles in health and disease. For instance, it has been used to create disease models in animals, engineer immune cells for cancer immunotherapy, and explore potential cures for inherited disorders. Recent clinical trials have demonstrated the promise of CRISPR-based therapies in treating blood disorders such as β-thalassemia and sickle cell disease, marking a major step toward genome-based precision medicine. Despite its transformative impact, CRISPR-Cas9 technology also raises important considerations regarding specificity, off-target effects, and long-term safety [2].

Furthermore, improvements in delivery systems such as lipid nanoparticles and viral vectors are enhancing the safety and efficiency of CRISPR applications in human cells, expanding Its therapeutic reach. Despite these remarkable advances, the application of CRISPR-Cas9 in human genomics is fraught with ethical dilemmas and potential risks. One major concern involves off-target effects, where unintended genetic modifications could cause harmful mutations or disrupt essential genes.

Moreover, the use of CRISPR in germline editing altering sperm, eggs, or embryos raises the possibility of passing genetic changes to future generations, effectively reshaping human heredity. This has sparked intense debate among scientists, ethicists, and policymakers worldwide [3].

The controversial 2018 case of gene-edited babies in China highlighted the urgent need for global governance and ethical oversight in genome editing. Beyond safety, issues of equity and access also emerge: who will benefit from CRISPR technologies, and could genetic enhancement widen existing social inequalities? Additionally, moral concerns about “designer babies” and the manipulation of traits unrelated to disease challenge societal perceptions of normalcy and human identity [4,5].

Conclusion

In conclusion, CRISPR-Cas9 stands as one of the most transformative innovations in modern science, offering the potential to cure genetic diseases, improve health outcomes, and deepen our understanding of the human genome. Yet, with such power comes an equally significant ethical burden. The technology’s ability to permanently alter human DNA necessitates careful regulation, transparent public dialogue, and adherence to ethical frameworks that protect both current and future generations. Continued research must focus not only on refining CRISPR’s precision and minimizing risks but also on establishing global standards for its responsible use.

Acknowledgement

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Gaskell G, Bard I, Allansdottir A, da Cunha RV, Eduard P, et al. (2017) Public views on gene editing and its uses. Nat Biotechnol 35: 1021–1023

2. Blendon RJ, Gorski MT, Benson JM (2016) The public and the gene-editing revolution. N Engl J Med 374: 1406–1411

3. Diamond MP, Suraj V, Behnke EJ (2014) Using the Eeva TestTM adjunctively to traditional day 3 morphology is informative for consistent embryo assessment within a panel of embryologists with diverse experience. J Assist Reprod Genet 32: 61–68

4. Goold I, Savulescu J (2009) In favour of freezing eggs for non-medical reasons. Bioethics 23: 47–58

5. Savulescu J, Goold I (2008) Freezing eggs for lifestyle reasons. Am J Bioeth 8: 32–35