iMedPub Journals http://www.imedpub.com

Insights in aquaculture and biotechnology

**2017** Vol. 1 No. 2:6

# Correlation and Path Coefficient Analyses of the Morphological Characteristics and Body Weight of *Penaeus monodon* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae)

### Abstract

We collected 470 Penaeus monodon individuals from four populations (Indonesia, Thailand, Mozambique and China) to measure quantitative traits, including Body Length (BL), Carapace Length (CL), Carapace Width (CW), Carapace Height (CH), first, second, third and sixth pleon segment lengths (FSL, SSL, TSL and SISL, respectively) and Body Weight (BW). The correlation coefficients among the traits were calculated, and path analysis was conducted by taking BW as the dependent variable and the other eight morphometrics as independent variables. The results revealed the relationship between the independent and dependent variables, and showed that the correlations among traits were significant (P<0.01). The highest correlation found was between BL and BW (0.985), and the path coefficient between these two variables was 0.557, suggesting that BL was a major factor compared with the other morphometrics and had the strongest direct effect on BW. Based on path analysis, CL, CW and CH had smaller direct effects on BW compared with that of BL, but showed significant indirect effects on BW via BL. The multiple regression equation obtained to estimate body weight was BW=1.546BL+2.533CW-261.522. The results of this research help clarify the traits that should be considered and measured for improving the Penaeus monodon breeding.

**Keywords:** *Penaeus monodon;* Economic traits; Correlation analysis; Path analysis; Multiple regression equation

Received: July 31, 2017; Accepted: August 22, 2017, 2017; Published: August 29, 2017

## Song Jiang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Falin Zhou<sup>2,3</sup>, Qibin Yang<sup>3</sup>, Lishi Yang<sup>2,3</sup>, Tao Li<sup>1</sup>, Shigui Jiang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jianhua Huang<sup>2,3</sup>\*

- 1 Shenzhen Base of South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Shenzhen 518108, P.R. China
- 2 South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Guangzhou 510300, P.R. China
- 3 Key Laboratory of South China Sea Fishery Resources Exploitation and Utilization, Ministry of Agriculture, Guangzhou, 510300, P.R. China

#### \*Corresponding author: Jianhua Huang

hjh210440@sina.com

South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Guangzhou 510300, P.R. China.

Tel: (979)845-5650

**Citation:** Jiang S, Zhou F, Yang Q, Yang L, Tao Li, et al. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analyses of the Morphological Characteristics and Body Weight of Penaeus monodon (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae). Insights Aquac Cult Biotechnol 2017, 1:2.

### Introduction

Penaeus monodon is one of the world's most commercially important cultured penaeid shrimp. About two decades ago, rapid development and expansion of prawn farming occurred throughout much of southeast Asia [1,2]. Since then, China has successfully used artificial breeding technology and directional selection to improve *P. monodon* yield [3-5]. Selective breeding is essential to increase efficiency and profitability of production, with body weight among the key selective breeding traits that drives profitability in *P. monodon* farming [6]. However, determining body weight is frequently difficult due to measuring locations, tools and environments, and thus morphological indicators such as body length and carapace length are often preferable and easier to obtain. Understanding the relationships

between body weight and other morphological characteristics is therefore vital for selection [7].

Path coefficient analysis can be used to determine selection criteria by measuring the direct influence of one variable on another and by separating the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects, such as seen in several aquatic organism studies [6,8]. For example, Wang et al. [9] used path analysis on three-month-old juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*) to obtain the body weight multiple regression equation with body length, height and thickness as independent variables. Harue et al. [10] used multiple correlation analysis on farmed Red Sea carp to estimate the impact of standard length and weight on

© Under License of Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License | This article is available in: hhttp://www.imedpub.com/insights-in-aquaculture-andbiotechnology

body fat content. Zhan et al. [11] used correlation, path and multiple regression analyses to establish the body weight linear regression equation for body length, head length and tail height in *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Debowski et al. [12] used multiple regressions to study the relationship between body fat content and body length and weight of *Salmo sala*. Ahmed et al. [13] used multiple correlation analysis to analyze body length and weightrelated growth parameters of fish, whales and shellfish.

Detailed path coefficient and correlation analyses can help identify the relationships between body weight and morphological characteristics in *P. monodon*. In particular, path coefficient analysis can separate direct and indirect effects and measure the relative importance of the potential causal factors involved [14,15]. The objectives of the current study were to: (a) determine the impact of morphological traits on Body Weight (BW); (b) estimate correlation coefficients for phenotypic characteristics between Body Length (BL), Carapace Length (CL), Carapace Width (CW), Carapace Height (CH), pleon segment lengths and BW; (c) evaluate the relative contribution of each morphological characteristic on BW using path coefficient analysis; and (d) establish the relationship between all measured morphological characteristics and BW.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Sampling and data collection

We collected *P. monodon* specimens from four different populations (Indonesia, Thailand, Mozambique and China). Sampling sites, sampling localities, geographical coordinates and number of collected specimens are presented in **Table 1**. Nine morphometric measurements were made on each specimen (Figure 1): BW, BL, CL, CW, CH and first, second, third and sixth pleon segment lengths (FSL, SSL, TSL and SISL, respectively). Body features were measured with a digital vernier caliper (Mitutoyo 500-744, Japan, accurate to  $\pm$  0.01 mm) and BW was obtained by using an electronic balance (Mettler Toledo 504, Switzerland, accurate to  $\pm$  0.0001 g).

#### **Data statistics**

Observed data of the nine morphometric measurements were used for Pearson's correlation (simple correlation) and path coefficient analyses. For each specimen, Pearson's correlation coefficient (*r*) was calculated between every two morphometrics to indicate the relationship between the two traits. Pearson's correlation and path coefficient analyses were performed using SPSS (version 19.0, SPSS Inc. 2010) and Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). The general form of the equation was used as follows [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{xy} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) (y_i - \overline{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 (y_i - \overline{y})^2}} \\ P_{yx_i} &= \frac{bx_i \sigma_{x_i}}{\sigma_y}; \ P_{x_iy_i} = P_{iy} r_{ij} P_{jy} (i \neq j); \ d_i = P_{iy}^2 \\ d_{ij} &= 2r_{ij} P_{ij}; = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i + \sum_{i=1\neq j}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ij}; \ R_i^2 = d_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1\neq j}^{n} d_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $r_{xy}$  is the correlation coefficient of  $x_i$  to  $y_i$ ,  $x_i$  is the flag value of the independent variable,  $y_i$  is the flag value of the dependent variable,  $P_{yxi}$  is the direct path coefficient of independent variable  $x_i$  to dependent variable  $y_i$ ,  $b_{xi}$  is the regression coefficient of the independent variable,  $\sigma_{xi}$  is the standard deviation of the independent variable,  $\sigma_y$  is the standard deviation of the dependent variable,  $P_{ij}$  is the indirect path coefficient that trait i generated through trait j,  $d_i$  is the direct coefficient of trait i to dependent variable y,  $d_{ij}$  is the indirect coefficient of trait i to dependent variable y through trait j, d is the total determination coefficient of all traits, and  $R_i^2$  is the total determination coefficient of all traits to trait y.

The regression equation linear model of quality traits Y was as follows:

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_0 x_i + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_0 x_i$$

Where, Y is the dependent variable,  $b_0$  is a constant and  $b_i$  is the partial regression coefficient of independent variable  $x_i$  to dependent variable Y.

The mean, standard deviation and maximum and minimum of all *P. monodon* measurements were recorded. The coefficient of variation (CV%) was computed as:  $CV\%=100 \times SD/X$ , where SD is the standard deviation and X is the mean of the morphometric measurements of *P. monodon*.

### Results

Descriptive statistics of the *P. monodon* samples are presented in **Table 2**. The coefficients of variation (CV) reflected the varying degrees within the samples. The CVs estimated for morphological characteristics within the samples were different from each other, with the CV estimated for BW the highest (42.98%), suggesting that BW exhibited considerable variation, which is an advantage for the selective breeding of *P. monodon*.

The correlations were highly significant for all variables (P<0.01, **Table 3**), indicating that the variables had important practical significance for correlation analysis. Results showed that BW was positively correlated with all other variables, and the order of relevance was r<sub>BLBW</sub>>r<sub>CWBW</sub>>r<sub>CHBW</sub>>r<sub>SISLBW</sub>>r<sub>SISLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>TSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r<sub>SSLBW</sub>>r

 Table 1 Populations, sampling localities, geographical coordinates and number of collected specimens.

Populations	Locality	Latitude, Longitude	Number
Pop.1	Banda Aceh, Republic of Indonesia	05°30'N,94°40'E	193
Pop.2	Khanom, Kingdom of Thailand	09°12'N,100°03'E	130
Pop.3	Mozambique Channel	19°10'S,35°56'E	85
Pop.4	Sanya, China	18°47'N,109°26'E	62

This article is available in: http://www.imedpub.com/insights-in-aquaculture-and-biotechnology



**Table 2** Descriptive statistics of morphometric characteristics of *P. monodon* specimens.

Traits	Mean	SD	Range	CV (%)
BL	209.92	29.87	240.50-261.20	14.23
CL	66.40	13.15	45.57-86.31	19.80
CW	34.03	6.90	22.47-44.51	20.29
СН	38.51	7.34	25.56-50.42	19.06
FSL	21.68	3.36	15.25-28.18	15.48
SSL	18.96	2.47	13.27-24.71	13.01
TSL	18.93	2.63	14.54-24.89	13.92
SISL	28.33	3.55	21.81-34.94	12.54
BW	149.22	64.13	56.24-261.19	42.98

SD: Standard Deviation; CV: Coefficient of Variation; BL: Body Length (mm); CL: Carapace Length (mm); CW: Carapace Width (mm); CH: Carapace Height (mm); FSL: First Pleon Segment Length (mm); SSL: Second Pleon Segment Length (mm); TSL: Third Pleon Segment Length (mm); SISL: Sixth Pleon Segment Length (mm); BW: Body Weight (g)

**Table 3** Correlation coefficients between morphological characteristics of *P. monodon.*

Variable	BW	BL	CL	CW	СН	FSL	<b>s</b> sl	TSL	SISL
BW	1.000								
BL	0.985	1.000							
CL	0.962	0.964	1.000						
CW	0.973	0.973	0.954	1.000					
СН	0.964	0.963	0.945	0.963	1.000				
FSL	0.868	0.871	0.866	0.866	0.867	1.000			
SSL	0.776	0.779	0.733	0.763	0.761	0.631	1.000		
TSL	0.817	0.829	0.766	0.811	0.795	0.692	0.740	1.000	
SISL	0.924	0.934	0.923	0.901	0.904	0.866	0.719	0.790	1.000

The significant correlation between BW and all other traits made it difficult to assess the major factors associated with BW from simple correlation analysis alone. Therefore, path coefficient analyses between each trait and BW, including endogenous and dependent or exogenous and independent variables, were performed to clarify the relationships. The results showed that CL and CW were highly significant and significant to BW, respectively **(Table 4)**, suggesting that these two morphological traits had strong direct effects on BW. The direct effect of BL on BW was obviously greater than the indirect effect of BL on BW through other traits. In addition, while the correlation coefficient between CW and BW was very large, the direct impact on BW was small. This indicated that the indirect effect of CW on BW (via other traits) was much larger than its direct effect, suggesting that CW affected BW through BL indirectly.

The determination coefficients of various traits on BW are shown in **Table 5**. The direct determination coefficients of BL, CL, CW and CH to BW were 31.0, 1.1, 3.3 and 1.3%, respectively. The indirect determination coefficients of CL, CW and CH to BW through BL were 5.7, 9.9 and 6.2%, respectively.

Based on the path analysis and multiple correlation analysis results, multiple regression of the main morphological characteristics was performed **(Table 6)**. BW was regarded as the dependent variable and the other traits as independent variables. The partial regression coefficients of BL and CW to BW were significant (P<0.05), and the multiple regression equation was: BW=1.546BL+2.533CW-261.522.

Predicted by the regression equation, no significant differences were found between the estimated and actual observed values. Thus, the above equation can be used conveniently and reliably in actual production.

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

Phenotype correlation coefficients are used to comprehensively reflect relationships among variables. Since the influence of other variables is not eliminated, the direct effect of the independent variables on the dependent variables and their indirect effect through other independent variables may act against each other, and consequently phenotype correlation may be unable to accurately explain the relationship among all variables. Conversely, path coefficients are standardized partial regression coefficients that can differentiate direct and indirect effects without being influenced by other variables, and can therefore accurately reflect the relative importance of the results [16,17]. Our study showed that all nine quantitative traits of *P. monodon* showed positive correlations to each other, though the correlation coefficients between BW and BL (0.985), BW and CW (0.973), BW and CH (0.964) and BW and CL (0.962) were higher those between BW and SSL (0.776), BW and TSL (0.817) and BW and FSL (0.868). These results suggest that the four above mentioned variables exhibited a stronger influence on BW compared with the other traits, which is in accordance with the path coefficient results reported by Li et al. [18] and Zhang et al. [19] for Exopalaemon carinicauda and Exopalaemon modestus, respectively. However, correlation analysis alone cannot determine whether the traits with the largest correlation are the major factors that affect the dependent variables. Therefore, we used path analysis to not only reflect the relationship between variables, but also categorize the relationships between characteristics into direct and indirect

Vol. 1 No. 2:6

2017

Tusit	Correlation		Indirect effect								
coefficient	Direct effect	BL	CL	CW	СН	FSL	SSL	TSL	SISL	Σ	
BL	0.985**	0.557**		0.103	0.178	0.111	-0.001	0.016	0.003	0.019	0.429
CL	0.962**	0.107	0.537		0.175	0.109	-0.001	0.015	0.003	0.018	0.856
CW	0.973**	0.183*	0.542	0.102		0.111	-0.001	0.015	0.003	0.018	0.790
СН	0.964**	0.115	0.536	0.101	0.176		-0.001	0.015	0.003	0.018	0.849
FSL	0.868**	-0.001	0.485	0.093	0.158	0.100		0.013	0.003	0.017	0.869
SSL	0.776**	0.020	0.434	0.078	0.140	0.088	-0.001		0.003	0.014	0.756
TSL	0.817**	0.004	0.462	0.082	0.148	0.091	-0.001	0.015		0.016	0.813
SISL	0.924**	0.020	0.520	0.099	0.165	0.104	-0.001	0.014	0.003		0.905

 Table 4 Path analysis of the effects of various traits on body weight of P. monodon.

\* Significant at P<0.05, \*\* Significant at P<0.01

Table 5 Determination coefficients of various traits on body weight of P. monodon.

Trait	Direct determination coefficient	Indirect determination coefficient								
		BL	CL	CW	СН	FSL	SSL	TSL	SISL	Σ
BL	0.310		0.057	0.099	0.062	0.000	0.009	0.002	0.010	0.549
CL	0.011			0.019	0.012	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.046
CW	0.033				0.020	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.060
СН	0.013					0.000	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.017
FSL	0.000						0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
SSL	0.000							0.000	0.000	0.001
TSL	0.000								0.000	0.000
SISL	0.000									0.000

 Table 6 Partial regression coefficient test for various traits to body weight of P. monodon.

	Partial regression coefficient	Standard error	t	Significance
Intercept	-254.377	12.379	-20.548	0.000
BL	1.196	0.187	6.394	0.000
CL	0.523	0.299	1.746	0.084
CW	1.697	0.671	2.528	0.013
СН	1.008	0.526	1.916	0.058
FSL	-0.017	0.654	-0.026	0.979
SSL	0.519	0.631	0.822	0.413
TSL	0.093	0.684	0.136	0.892
SISL	0.353	0.853	0.414	0.680

effects, and thus determine the major factors affecting the BW of *P. monodon*.

In this study, the direct determination coefficient of BL (0.310) was the highest among all traits, while the direct determination coefficients of CW, CH, CL, FSL, SSL, TSL and SISL (0.033, 0.013, 0.011, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000 and 0.000, respectively) were much lower, suggesting that BL was the most important determinant of BW, consistent with the results of Yang et al. [20]. The phenotypic correlation coefficients of BL, CL, CW and CH with BW were basically the same, but the path analysis results suggested that the direct determination coefficients of these four phenotypes to BW had obvious differences. Specifically, CL, CW and CH influenced BW through BL indirectly, which indicated that the correlation between the variables did not accurately reflect their real relationship.

The main independent variable influencing a dependent variable can be determined when the sum of the multiple coefficient, or

the sum of the single determination coefficient of variables to the dependent variable, or the sum of the pairwise coefficient are equal to or higher than 0.85. In this study, the summed (total) determination coefficient of BL and CW to BW was 0.952, indicating that BL and CW were the main characteristics that influenced BW. Hence, as far as *P. monodon* is concerned, morphological characteristics showed significant regression correlation with BW and indicated that path coefficient analysis was suitable for determining the real relationship between morphological traits and BW, as observed in Zhang *et al.* and Li *et al.* Due to the high genetic correlation between BL, CL, CW and CH, it would be appropriate to select BW and BL followed by CW as the main selection characteristics in the breeding of *P. monodon*.

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Development of Biology Industry in Shenzhen (NYSW20140331010053); National 863 Program

(2012AA10A409), China Agriculture Research System (CARS-47); Special Scientific Research Funds for Central Non-profit Institutes, South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery

References

- 1 Hsu Y, Yang Y, Chen Y, Tung M, Wu J, et al. (1995) Development of an *in vitro* subculture system for the oka organ (lymphoid tissue) of *Penaeus monodon*. Aquaculture 136: 43-55.
- 2 Ye L, Jiang S, Zhu X, Yang Q, Wen W, et al. (2009) Effects of salinity on growth and energy budget of juvenile *Penaeus monodon*. Aquaculture 290: 140-144.
- 3 Yang Q, Wen W, Huang J, Chen X, Zhou F (2010) Growth and survival of families established based on 4 different populations of black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon*. South China Fisheries Science 6: 36-40.
- 4 Sun M, Huang J, Yang Q, Zhou F, Su T, et al. (2012) Morphological variability in reciprocal hybrids F1 of *Penaeus monodon* from four geographical populations. Journal of Fisheries of China 36: 1512-1519.
- 5 Zhang J, Huang Z, Lin H, Niu J, Lv G, et al.(2012) Effects of dietary protein content on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities of black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*. Marine Fisheries 34: 429-437.
- 6 Krishna G, Gopikrishna G, Gopal C, Jahgeerdar S (2011) Genetic parameters for growth and survival in *Penaeus monodon* cultured in India. Aquaculture 318: 74-78.
- 7 Sarawgi AK, Rastogi NK, Soni DK (1997) Correlation and path analysis in rice accessions from Madhya Pradesh. Field Crops Res 52: 161-167.
- 8 Rodríguez DJ, Angulo-Sánchez JL, Rodríguez-García R (2001) Correlation and path coefficient analyses of the agronomic trait of a native population of guayule plants. Ind Crops Prod 14: 93-103.
- 9 Wang X, Ma A, Xu K, Lei J, Yang Z, et al. (2008) Relationship between morphometric attributes and body weight of juvenile turbots *Scophthalmus maximus*. Acta Zoologica Sinica 54: 540-545.

Sciences 2015TS10);Guangdong Oceanic and Fisheries Project of China (A201601A14、2017A0014); Guangdong Province Science and Technology Project (2017A030303001).

- 10 Harue K, Mutsuyosi T, Katsuya M (2000) Estimation of body fat content from standard body length and body weight on cultured red sea bream. Fisheries Science 66: 365-371.
- 11 Zhan W, Lou B, Mao G, Xu D, Shi H, et al. (2010) Correlation and path analysis of growth traits of olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). Journal of Quanzhou Normal University (Natural Science) 28: 29-32.
- 12 Debowski P, Dobosz S, Robak S (1999) Fat level in body of juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) and sea trout (*Salmo trutta* m. *trutta* L.) and method of estimation from morphometric data. Archives of Polish Fisheries 7: 237-243.
- 13 Ahmed M, Abbas G (2000) Growth parameters of the finfish and shellfish juveniles in the tidal waters of Bhanbhore, Korangi Creek and Miani Hor Lagoon. Pak J Zoology 32: 21-26.
- 14 Wright S (1921) Correlation and causation. Agric Res 20:557-585.
- 15 Wright S (1934) The method of path coefficients. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 5:161-215.
- 16 Sheng Z, Wu C (1999) Quantitative genetics. China Agriculture Press, Beijing, pp: 16-24.
- 17 Wu Y, Chen A, Yao G (2010) The relationship between shell morphology and body weight of *Meretrix meretrix*. Marine Fisheries 32: 320-325.
- 18 Li Y, Liu P a, Li (2005) Correlation and path analysis of morphometric traits on body weight for *Exopalamon carinicauda*. Progress in Fishery Sciences 33: 59-65.
- 19 Zhang MY, Liu K, Duan JR (2010) Path analysis of effects of morphometric traits on body weight of *Exopalaemon modestus* in Taihu Lake. Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin 26: 417-421.
- 20 Yang C, He T, Liu X, Huang H, Xiang J (2011) Stepwise regression analysis of morphometric attributes on body weight of *Penaeus vannamei*. Acta Agriculturae Boreali-occidentalis Sinica 20: 15-20.