Brief Report

iMedPub Journals
www.imedpub.com

Journal of Physiotherapy Research

2025
Vol.9 No.1:301

Comparative Effectiveness of Manual Therapy and Exercise in Musculoskeletal Disorders

Waseem Kibler*

Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Mardin Artuklu University, Mardin, Turkey

***Corresponding Author:** Waseem Kibler, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Mardin Artuklu University, Mardin, Turkey, E-mail: kibler.waseem@artuklu.tr

Received date: February 01, 2025, Manuscript No. ippr-25-20660; **Editor assigned date:** February 03, 2025, PreQC No. ippr-25-20660 (PQ); **Reviewed date:** February 15, 2025, QC No. ippr-25-20660; **Revised date:** February 22, 2025, Manuscript No. ippr-25-20660 (R); **Published date:** February 28, 2025, DOI: 10.36648/2471-9943.9.1.301

Citation: Kibler W (2025) Comparative Effectiveness of Manual Therapy and Exercise in Musculoskeletal Disorders. J Physiother Res Vol.9 No.1:301

Introduction

Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) including low back pain, neck pain, shoulder disorders, osteoarthritis and common soft-tissue conditions are among the leading causes of pain, disability and healthcare use worldwide. They disrupt daily function, work capacity and quality of life across age groups and their economic and social burden continues to grow as populations age and sedentary lifestyles increase. Because many MSDs are multifactorial and often lack a single curative treatment, conservative, non-pharmacological approaches are central to management. Two of the most widely used and studied conservative strategies are manual therapy (hands-on techniques such as mobilization, manipulation and soft-tissue techniques) and structured therapeutic exercise (ranging from strengthening and motor control training to flexibility and endurance programs). Exercise programs aim to restore capacity, muscle performance, movement patterns and long-term resilience, with benefits that often accrue more gradually but may be longer lasting. This review (or paper) therefore examines the comparative effectiveness of manual therapy versus exercise across common musculoskeletal conditions, highlights condition- and context-specific findings and explores moderators of treatment response such as symptom chronicity, baseline disability, patient expectations and comorbidities. The subsequent sections will summarize trial evidence, discuss mechanisms and practical considerations and offer implications for practice and future research [1].

Description

Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) are among the most common health issues globally, affecting millions of individuals across all age groups and occupations. They encompass a wide range of conditions such as low back pain, neck pain, shoulder impingement, osteoarthritis and soft tissue injuries, all of which contribute substantially to functional limitations and disability. The burden of MSDs is not only clinical but also socioeconomic, as they account for lost productivity, healthcare expenditure and long-term disability in both developed and developing countries. Effective management of these conditions is therefore critical for improving patient quality of life and reducing societal costs. Both approaches are grounded in evidence but differ in their mechanisms of action, time course of effects and long-term outcomes. Comparing their effectiveness provides valuable insights into how best to tailor treatment strategies to patient needs. The debate often revolves around whether rapid symptom relief or sustained functional improvement should be prioritized in clinical practice [2].

Manual therapy has been extensively utilized in the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions due to its ability to provide rapid pain relief and restore mobility. Techniques such as spinal manipulation, joint mobilization and myofascial release are thought to influence both biomechanical and neurophysiological mechanisms. Manual interventions can reduce pain perception, normalize joint kinematics and improve blood flow and tissue extensibility. Studies have shown that in acute and subacute conditions, manual therapy often leads to quicker reductions in pain and improvements in range of motion compared to exercise alone. This immediate benefit is particularly valuable in facilitating early functional restoration and reducing the psychological burden of pain. However, manual therapy's effects may be short-lived if not complemented with active interventions, as the underlying deficits in strength, posture and endurance remain unaddressed [3].

Structured exercise programs, whether clinic-based or home-based, empower patients to take an active role in their recovery, enhancing self-efficacy and long-term adherence to healthy movement patterns. Research consistently demonstrates that exercise therapy reduces recurrence rates of low back pain, delays progression in osteoarthritis and improves function in chronic neck and shoulder disorders. Unlike manual therapy, the benefits of exercise tend to emerge

gradually, requiring consistent participation and patient motivation. However, once established, these improvements are more sustainable and contribute to overall health beyond musculoskeletal outcomes. Exercise also plays a preventive role, reducing the risk of injury and promoting musculoskeletal resilience, which manual therapy alone cannot achieve. Adherence remains a challenge, as lack of motivation, pain, or poor program design can limit effectiveness, but strategies such as patient education, goal setting and digital monitoring tools have been shown to enhance compliance [4].

Comparative effectiveness studies highlight that while manual therapy offers a valuable short-term solution, exercise therapy provides the foundation for long-term functional recovery. Evidence suggests that a combination of both interventions often yields the best outcomes, as manual therapy can reduce pain and stiffness initially, thereby improving the patient's ability to engage in and adhere to exercise programs. The integration of these approaches reflects a shift toward multimodal rehabilitation, where passive and active treatments complement one another. Future research should focus on identifying patient subgroups that benefit most from specific interventions, exploring cost-effectiveness in various healthcare settings and developing evidence-based protocols for combined therapy. Ultimately, the goal is to personalize treatment strategies, balancing immediate symptom relief with sustainable functional gains and ensuring that interventions are both effective and accessible across diverse patient populations [5].

Conclusion

The management of musculoskeletal disorders requires a nuanced approach that balances immediate symptom relief with long-term functional recovery. Manual therapy has demonstrated value in rapidly reducing pain, improving mobility and enhancing patient satisfaction in the short term, whereas exercise therapy offers more sustainable improvements in strength, endurance and overall musculoskeletal health. Evidence increasingly suggests that neither approach alone is universally superior; instead, their integration often produces the most meaningful clinical outcomes. By addressing both passive symptom control and active rehabilitation, a combined strategy aligns with the multifaceted needs of patients while reducing the risk of effective models of care that maximize accessibility.

Ultimately, an individualized treatment plan that draws on the strengths of both manual therapy and exercise represents the most effective pathway to restoring function, reducing disability and improving quality of life in people with musculoskeletal disorders.

Acknowledgment

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Roy JS, MacDermid JC, Woodhouse LJ (2009). Measuring shoulder function: A systematic review of four questionnaires. Arthritis Rheum 61: 623-632.
2. Tashjian RZ, Deloach J, Porucznik CA, Powell AP (2009). Minimal Clinically Important Differences (MCID) and patient Acceptable Symptomatic State (PASS) for Visual Analog Scales (VAS) measuring pain in patients treated for rotator cuff disease. J Shoulder Elb Surg 18: 927-932.
3. Daghiani M, Negahban H, Ebrahimzadeh MH, Moradi A, Kachooei AR, et al (2023). The effectiveness of comprehensive physiotherapy compared with corticosteroid injection on pain, disability, treatment effectiveness and quality of life in patients with subacromial pain syndrome: A parallel, single-blind, randomized controlled trial. Physiother Theory Pract 39: 1591-1605.
4. Karamanlioglu DS, Kaysin MY, Begoglu FA, Akpinar P, Ozkan FU, et al. (2024). Effects of acupuncture on pain and function in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome: A randomized sham-controlled trial. Integr Med Res 13(2), 101049.
5. Arias-Buria JL, Truyols-Domínguez S, Valero-Alcaide R, Salom- Moreno J, Atín-Arratibel MA, et al. (2015). Ultrasound-guided percutaneous electrolysis and eccentric exercises for subacromial pain syndrome: A randomized clinical trial. Evid Based Complement Altern Med 2015: 315219.