

Biochemical Effect of *Nepeta Septemcrenata* Growing in South Sinai, Egypt

Abd El-Raouf A. Moustafa^{*1}, Hamdy Abd El-Azeem², Mohamed A. Omran³,
Sawsan A. M. Nasr⁴, Ismail M. Abdel-Nabi³ and Zakaria A. Teleb⁴

¹Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University

²Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University

³Zoology Department faculty of Science, Suez Canal University

⁴Biochemistry and Molecular Biology National Organization for Drug Control
and Research

*Corresponding author e-mail: raoufmoustafa2@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to investigate the effect of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant defenses, the activities of three lysosomal acid hydrolases and the total protein, DNA and RNA content and Hepatic and kidney functions. In this study, three main tests were investigated including; Pharmacological effect of the plant extraction, Biochemical effect of the ethanolic extract and Effect of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* ethanolic extract on liver lysosomal enzymes, total protein, DNA and RNA. The results reviewed that antipyretic effect is the decreasing of the temperature of the hyperthermic rats, the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* which given interperotential to rats with inflamed paw in a dose of (1/5 LD₅₀ & 1/10 LD₅₀) significantly decreased the thickness of the inflamed rats' paw as compared with the control group and standard group after 6 hours. The ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* in a dose of 1.1 and 50.5 mg/kg, induced protection against writhing response and reaches its maximum effect in a dose of 1.1 mg/kg. In the Antibacterial activity the investigation of antimicrobial activities of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* extract revealed that it has no activity against *S. aureus* and a very weak antiseptic action against *E. coli*. Finally, *Nepetaseptemcrenata* has much medicinal prosperity.

Keywords- *Nepetaseptemcrenata*, Antioxidant, Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Nepeta is one of the most important genera of lamiaceae family with regard to the number of its species. Some species of this genus are important medicinal plants and

their extracts have been used for medicinal purposes¹. Some species of *Nepeta* germs are utilized in folk medicine for treatment of contusions, rheumatic pains, fever, cutaneous eruption and some species are used for their anti-inflammatory properties². *Nepeta* plants were prepared as tea and used

in traditional medicine as anthelmintics, febrifuges, expectorants and to treat bronchitis, bites as well as stings of scorpions³.

Nepetaseptemcrenata is an erect slender plant with branches at base leaves are oppositely alternated, ovate with crenate or slightly dentate margins. This plant is found in Saint Catherine, Sinai, Egypt⁴. *Nepetaseptemcrenata* known to be used by the native Bedouins in folk medicine as antipyretic, sedative, cardiogenic, eye wash and as a gargle in sore throat⁵. An isopimarane type diterpene and 7-omethyl apigenin were isolated from the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* herb^{6,5} investigated the chemical constituents of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* and analyzed the volatile oil of the same plant species⁸. Reported that the lactone-free hot water extract of catnip (*Nepetacatrina*) causes a significant decrease in the wakefulness and an increase in sleep behavior, particularly deep sleep. A few members of genus *Nepeta* are reported to possess biological activities especially reduction of serum lipids and inflammatory effects⁶.

Nepeta is used in traditional medicine as laxative; to treat dysentery, kidney and liver diseases and teeth troubles⁹. Two methods for the preparation of an oral liquid used in traditional Chinese medicine called Jingfang that contains *Nepetacataria* species^{10,11,2} found that *Nepeta* has anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities respectively.² Reported the use of *Nepeta* in folk medicine for treatment of contusions, rheumatic pains, fever, and cutaneous eruptions. The main objects of this present study is: 1-Biochemical trial to evaluate the effect of the ethanolic extract of the plant on: Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant defenses, Hepatic and kidney functions and the activities of three lysosomal acid hydrolases and the total protein, DNA and RNA content.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pharmacological effect of the plant extraction

This experiment was undertaken to determine the analgesic, antipyretic and anti-inflammatory activities of the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*. Mice were used to measure the analgesic activity, whereas rats were selected to measure the antipyretic and anti-inflammatory activities of the extract. Animals of these experiments were exposed to the ethanolic extract in doses equal to 101 mg/kg (1/10 LD₅₀) & 50.5 mg/kg (1/20 LD₅₀) for mice and 101 mg/kg (1/5 LD₅₀) & 50.5 mg/kg (1/20 LD₅₀) & 50.5 mg/kg (1/10 LD₅₀) for rats. Thus several test activities have been done upon those animals: Analgesic Activity (Acetic acid induced writhing test), antipyretic activity, anti-inflammatory activity and antimicrobial activity.

Biochemical effect of the ethanolic extract

Sample of blood were collected using the orbital sinus technique (Sanford, 1954) after 2, 4, 8, 16 and 24 hours of treatments for determining the following parameters: Lipid peroxidation as malondialdehyde content in the blood plasma, Glutathione content in blood, Catalase activity in blood, Cu/Zn-SOD activity in blood, Plasma Total antioxidant capacity, Liver function tests: ALT & AST and Gamma GT activities in plasma and Kidney function tests: Creatinine and Urea content in plasma. The method used for estimation of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase activity in blood was described by¹² and¹³.

Effect of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* ethanolic extract on liver lysosomal enzymes, total protein, DNA and RNA.

First preparation of liver lysosomal fraction, in which the livers of all animals were immediately removed after sacrificing, whole liver samples were perfused in situ

with 0.025 M in ice-cold sucrose buffer (pH 7.4) and then homogenized for 10 minutes by electric homogenizer and centrifuged at 2500 rpm in a Beckman refrigerating ultracentrifuge (Model J2-21) for 15 minutes^{14,15}. Secondly, preparation of the total lysosomal enzymes in which the solutions were freeze-dried and thawed for three successive times to rupture the lysosomal membranes; centrifugation was done at 19,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was separated in aliquots and kept at -20°C for determination of lysosomal Acid phosphatase (ACP), β -galactosidase (GAL) and β -N-acetyl-D-glucosaminidase (β -NAG) enzyme activities. Third, determination of lysosomal acid hydrolysis activities in which the activities of ACP, β -GAL and β -NAG were carried out according to the method described by¹⁶. Fourth, the fraction and extraction of intercellular components protein, DNA and RNA of liver cells, the modified procedure of¹⁷ was employed as follows: (a) Lipid extraction and (b) Protein extraction (c) RNA extraction (d) DNA extraction. Fifth, determination of total proteins was carried out by the method of¹⁸. Sixth, quantitative determination of RNA as the RNA content was measured colorimetrically by the modified orcinol procedure. Seventh, quantitative determination of DNA, the DNA content was measured following the diphenylamine procedure described by¹⁹.

Statistical analysis

According to the mathematical principles described by Field (2000) all the data of control and treated groups for both (1/5 LD₅₀ & 1/10 LD₅₀) were expressed as mean value \pm standard error. Student unpaired t-test was used to explain variation between control group and each treated group (each time of treatment) in all parameters. Also the results were analyzed by One-way analysis of (ANOVA test) followed by Tukey-Kramer's²⁰.

RESULTS

Acute toxicity and LD₅₀:

After intraperitoneal administration of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* in mice, (Up-down method) LD₅₀ was found to be 1009.58 mg/kg body weight for mice and 504.79 mg/kg for rats.

Pharmacological screening of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*

(a) The antipyretic effect of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*

Antipyretic effect is the decreasing of the temperature of the hyperthermic rats. The results of the antipyretic effects of the tested ethanolic extract (1/5 and 1/10 of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* were shown in tables (1 and 2). From the tables it was concluded that alcoholic extract of *N. septemcrenata* when given intraperitoneal to the included hyperthermic rats in both, does produce the antipyretic effect as shown by decrease in body temperature, when compared to negative and positive control.

(b) The anti-inflammatory effect of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*

The results of the anti-inflammatory effect of the plant extract are shown in table (3). From this table it's evident that the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* which was given intraperitoneal to rats with inflamed paw in a dose of (1/5 LD₅₀ & 1/10 LD₅₀) significantly decreased the thickness of the inflamed rats' paw as compared with the control group and standard group after 6 hours.

(c) The analgesic Effect of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*

The results of analgesic effect are shown in table (4). From the table we can conclude that, the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* in a dose of 101 and 50.5 mg/kg b.wt. induced protection against

writhing response, which reach its maximum effect in a dose of 1.1 mg/kg.

Antibacterial activity

Investigation of antimicrobial activities of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* extract revealed that it has no activity against *S. aureus* and a very weak antiseptic action against *E. coli*. The results of antibacterial effect are shown in table (5), which shows that *Nepeta* extract has no activity against *S.aureus* and very weak antiseptic action against *E. coli* at 100 mg/ml.

D-Biochemical study

I-lipid peroxidation

The effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *N. septemcrenata* on lipid peroxidation content ($\mu\text{mol MDA/L}$) of rat is presented in table (6) and illustrated graphically.

The results indicated that, in the first treated dose ($1/5\text{LD}_{50}$) there were significant decreases in plasma lipid peroxidation content with percent of changes equal to 26.03% and 26.85% with respect to the control group after 4 and 8 hours respectively, whereas, non-significant decreases were recorded after 2, 16, and 24 hours. One way- ANOVA between the control and the five treated group (2, 4, 8, 16 and 24 hours) revealed a highly significant difference ($F_{1,4}=5.299$, $p<0.001$) in lipid peroxidation content. In the second treated dose ($1/10\text{LD}_{50}$), significant decreases were noticed in plasma lipid peroxidation content with percent of changes equal to 31.78% and 27.67% with respect to the control group after 8 and 24 hours respectively. However, non-significant decreases were recorded after 2, 4 and 16 hours respectively. In addition, a highly significant difference in lipid peroxidation content was observed between the control and the five treated groups (2, 4, 16 and 24 hours) using one-

way ANOVA ($F_{1,4}=6.052$, $P<0.0001$). The data also showed significant differences between the two treated doses at the time interval of 4 and 24 hours. Blood glutathione (GSH) content, the effect of interpretational injection of ethanolic extract of *N.septemcrenata* on blood glutathione (GSH) content (mg/dl) of rat is presented in table (7). The results indicated that the first treated dose ($1/5\text{LD}_{50}$) showed significant increases in blood glutathione content with percent of changes equal to 86.52% and 21.92% when compared with the control group after 2 and 16 hours of treatment respectively. The results showed also non-significant increase in this group after 4, 8 and 24 hours of treatment. Highly significant differences in blood glutathione content were observed between the control and the five treated groups (2, 4, 8, 16 and 24 hours) using one-way ANOVA ($f_{1,4}=314.44$, $p<0.001$). Blood Catalase activity, the effect of interpretational injection of ethanolic extract of *N.septemcrenata* on blood catalase activity (μml) of rat is presented in table (8). Blood Cu/Zn Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, the effect of interpretational injection of ethanolic extract of *N. septemcrenata* on blood superoxide dismutase activity (μml blood) of rat is presented in table (9). Plasma total antioxidant Capacity (TAC), the effect of interpretational injection of ethanolic extract of *N. septemcrenata* on plasma total antioxidant capacity (percentage) of rat is presented in table (10).

DISCUSSION

In recent decades, interest in natural products has been restored by discovering penicillin, plant- derived tranquilizers, and plant precursors of cortisone. Contrary to previous beliefs, botanical drugs are proving more economical than synthetics, and hold forth encouraging prospects of inhibiting or destroying tumor without undue damage to

healthy tissue. Extensive plant screening programs are being conducted by governmental agencies and pharmaceutical companies. Folk remedies, still common in many tropical areas, are being evaluated. No ways the general public is being made aware that herbal drugs are not obsolete but offer new hope for conquering disease²¹.

Medicinal plants (also known as herbs, herbal medicine, pharmacologically active plants and phytomedicines) are the dominant form of medicine in most countries. More than three-fourth of the current population depend on raw products to meet daily health care needs²². Approximately 25% of the drugs available in the average American pharmacy come from plants, either as purified extracts or as partially modified secondary products²³.

Intraperitoneal LD₅₀ of alcoholic *Nepetaseptemcrenata* extract was estimated and it was found to be 1009.58 mg/kg body weight for mice and 504, 79 mg/kg body weight for rats. This results in agreement with⁵ who reported that the alcoholic extract of *N.septemcrenata* have a wide marginal safety where its LD₅₀ was 421.8 mg/kg body weight in rat. Also this value was a bit close to the LC₅₀ of *Nepetacataria* recorded by²⁴. They mentioned that intraperitoneal LD₅₀ of *Nepetacataria* oil, nepetalactone-enriched fraction and nepetalic acid were found in mice to be 1300 mg/kg, 1550 mg/kg and 1050 mg/kg, respectively.

¹Estimated the chemical components of the aerial parts of *Nepeta sintenisii*. The plant subjected to hydrolysis and the chemical composition of the isolated essential oil was analyzed by GC/MS method for the first time. Forty constituents (96.5% of the total oil) were identified. 3nepetalactone (23.4%), elemo (16.1%), E-3-famesene (9.5%), 1.8-cineole (8.2%), cis-sabinene hydrate (6.5%), 3-bisabolene (4.2%) and germacrene-D(3.5%) were the main components.

Importance of *Nepetaspecies* as medicinal herb is due to its contents of flavonoids. Different classes of flavonoids were previously extracted and identified from many species of genus *Nepeta*⁹. The isolation and identification of various flavonols in *Nepetanepetella* were reported by²⁵. The identification of rosmarinic, ferulic, p-coumaric and p-hydroxybenzoic acids in the leaves and flowers of *Nepetanepetella* and *N. tuberosa*, and caffeic acid in *N. nepetella*, is described by²⁶. In addition²⁷ isolated and identified the phenolic acids from the stems of *Nepetanepetella* subspecies *cordifolia* (Willk) and *Nepetatuberosa* subspecies *reticulata*. The stem contains fewer phenolic acids than the leaves and flowers. The following compounds were identified, caffeic, syringic, 4-hydroxybenzoic (p-hydroxybenzoic acid), vanillic, cis-p-coumaric and trans-p-coumaric acids.

Both external and lipophilic aglycones as well as vascular glycosides of the air dried aerial part of *N. transcaucasica* were studied⁵ stated that, the compounds were identified as: crisimaritin (L VIII), xanthomicrol (LIX), salvigenin (LX), gardenin-B(LXL), apigenin and genkwanin (LXII), and as external aglycones while luteolin, apigenin (LXIII), 7-glucuronides (LXIV), nepetin and hispidulin-glucosided (LXLL), nepetin and hispidulin-7-glucosided (LXV) and 7-glucouronides (LXVI) as avascular glycoside.

²Reported the results of phytochemical studies on aerial parts of *Nepetasibthorpii*, an endemic plant of Greek, the bioassay- guided fractionation of methanol extract led to the isolation of ursolic acid and polyphenol fraction by HPLC. They determined some phenolics: chlorogenic acid (0.315 mg/g) and the flavonoids rutin (0.09mg/g), luteolin-7-o-glucoside (0.387 mg/g) and a luteoin derivative. They assayed the radical scavenging activity of *Nepetasibthorpii*

methanol extract by the 1, 1-diphenyl 1-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH).

It was found that apigenin as flavonoid of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* extract inhibits the induction of β -galactosidase. Apigenin demonstrated potent anti-inflammatory activity in carrageenan included rat paw edema and it was concluded that flavonoids offer important therapeutic potential for the treatment of a variety of inflammatory diseases²⁸. It was investigated that the bioflavonoids on lysosomal acid hydrolyses, viz., β -N-acetyl glucosaminidase and cathepsin D in serum, liver, kidney and spleen and the stability of liver lysosomes was studied by²⁹. The activity of these enzymes in arthritic tissue and serum increased significantly. The total activity of β -glucuronidase in the lysosome-rich fraction from arthritic liver was appreciably decreased, while its release was significantly increased. It was found that one of the most potent flavones, apigenin as a flavonoid exhibited a dose- and time-dependent, reversible effect on adhesion protein expression as well as inhibitory adhesion protein up-regulating at the transcriptional level²⁸. Also, apigenin inhibited IL-1 α -induced prostaglandin synthesis and TNF- α -induced IL-6 and IL-8 productions, suggesting that the hydroxyl flavones may act as general inhibitors of cytokine-induced gene expression. As well as, this flavonoid inhibits TNF- α -induced β -galactosidase activity in SW 480 cells stably transfected with a β -galactosidase.

It was found that the natural extract of some medicinal plants protect free radicals damage³⁰. In addition, it has been shown that the content of the mucosal non-protein. Sulfhydryl group may increase by the effect of the active ingredients of the medicinal plants³¹. It was found that protective effects of four flavonoids "quercetin, rutin, luteolin and apigenin" against induced DNA damage in human leukemia cells (K562). These flavonoids are

characterized by the number of hydroxyl groups on the B ring, the presence of an O-dihydroxy structure on the B-ring confers a higher degree of stability to the flavonoid phenoxyl radicals by participating in electron delocalization and is, therefore, an important determinant for antioxidant potential³². The strongest inhibition against DNA damage (44%, 42%) was found in a range of luteolin and quercetin concentrations of 20-100 μ mol/L. It has been investigated that DNA protective capacity of three flavonoids, apigenin, luteolin and quercetin against the free radical generated by H₂O₂. The quantitative analysis has shown that luteolin possesses the highest DNA protective effect of flavonoids³³.

Also, thirteen isoflavonoids, flavonoids, and lignans have been found to be evaluated for their effects on DNA synthesis in MCF-7 and human breast cancer cells by³⁴. At 0.1-10 μ M of coumestrol, genistein, biochanin A, luteolin, apigenin, kaempferol and enterolactone induced DNA synthesis 150-235% and at 20-90 μ M, inhibited DNA synthesis at high concentrations but induction at concentration close to probable levels in humans.³⁵ Investigated that the flavonoids "quercetin, rhamnetin, isorhamnetin, apigenin, and luteolin" did not introduce any damage into the DNA.

In conclusion, *N. septemcrenata* has many promising active constituents that exert significant pharmacological and therapeutic effects with high margin of safety. We recommend further phytochemical and pharmacological studies on each purified active constituent of this plant on different body systems and on fatal diseases such as cancer and AIDS.

REFERENCES

1. Sajjadi S. Analysis of Essential Oil of *Nepeta Sinenisii* Bornm from Iran. Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy- Teheran-

- University- of- Medical- Sciences, 2005; 13 (2): 61-64.
2. Miceli N., Taviano M., Giuffreda D., Trovate A. and Galati E. Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Extract Fractions from *NepetaSibthorpii* Benth. *J-Ethnopharmacol.*, 2005; 97(2): 261-266.
 3. Arnold N., Bellomaria, G., Volentirri G., Yanninou C., and Arnald H. J. *Plantes Medicinales-Et-Phytotherpie*, 1993; 26 (1):52-63.
 4. Pagni A. M., Catalano P. I. and Morelli. *Plants Medicinal- Et- Phytotherpie*, 1990; (24): 3, 203-213.
 5. Abdel-Shafiq K. A. Chemical Studies on Certain Plants of Family Labiate. M.Sc. Thesis, Chemistry Dep. Faculty of Science, Cairo University. 1997; Pp.174.
 6. Khalil, A.T., Gedarasr, Lahoub, M.F., Halim, A.F. and Voehler, M. Aditrepene from *NepetaSeptemcrenata*. *Phytochemistry*, 1997; 44 (3): 475-478.
 7. El-Hamouly A. M., and El-Hela A. A. *Phytochemical and Biological Investigation of The Volatile Constituents Of NepetaSeptemcrenata* Ehrenb., Growing in Egypt. *Bull-Pharm-Sci-Assiut-Univ.*, 2004; 27(6):95-98.
 8. Sherry C. And Mitchell J. Behavioral Effect of the Lactone-Free Hot Water Extract of Catnip (*NepetaCataria*) on the Young Chick. *Int-J-Crude-Drug-Res.*, 1983; 21(8):89-92.
 9. Saker M. M. And Kawashity S. A. Tissue Culture and Flavonoid Content of *Nepeta* and *Plantago* Species Endemic in Egypt. *Fitoterapia.*, Lxix (4), 1998; 358-364
 10. He-R., Liu-B. Q., And Zhong H. L. Coparative Study Of The Preparation of Jingfang Oral Liquid With Clarificant And Precipitant. *Chinese-Traditional-And-Herb Al-Drug*, 1998; 29 (11):734-735.
 11. Aydin S., Demir T., Ozturk Y. and Baser K. (1999). Analgesic activity of *Nepeta italic* L. *phytother Res.* 13(1):20-23.
 12. Maral J., Puget, K. and Michelson A M. Comparative Study of Superoxide Dismutase, Catalase and Glutathione Peroxidase Levels In Erythrocytes of Different Animals. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Common.*, 1977; 77:1525-1535.
 13. Winterbourn C. C., Hawkins R. E, Brian M, and Carrell R W. The Estimation of Red Cell Superoxide Dismutase Activity. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 1974; 85: 337-342.
 14. Tanaka K., And Lizuka Y., Suppression of Enzyme Release From Isolated Rat Liver Lysosomes by Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 1968; 17(10):2023-2032 .
 15. Younan E A. Personal Communication to Becknmeyer B., *Biol. Chem.*, 1972; 263:17205-17208.
 16. Younan E. A. and Rosleff F. Changes of Lysosomal Enzymatic Activities in Human Skin Fibroblasts at Various Passages. *J. Drug. Res., Egypt*, 1974; 6(3): 137-137.
 17. Schmidt G. And Thannhauser S. J., A method for The Determination of Deoxyribonucleic Acid and Phosphoproteins in Animal Tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1945; 161:83-89.
 18. Daughaday W. H., Lowry G. H, Rosebrough N. J. and Fields W. S. Determination of Cerebrospinal Fluid Protein With Folin Phenol Reagent. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.*, 1952; 39:663-663
 19. Dische Z., Chargaff E. And Davidson I. N. In "The Nucleic Acids" (Eds). Academic Press. New York., 1955; P. 1-1.
 20. Armitage P., and Berry G. Comparison of Several Groups. In: *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 2nd Ed. Blackwell Significant Publication, Oxford. 1987; Pp.186-213.
 21. Morton J. F. *Medicinal Plants-Old and New*. Morton Collectanea, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida (Ed.). Presented To The Pharmacy Libraries. Group at The Sixty-Sixth Annual Meeting of The Medical Library Association Miami, Florida., 1967
 22. Akerele O. The Who Traditional Medicine Program: Policy and Implementation. *International Traditional Health Newsletter*, 1985; 1(1):1-1.
 23. Farnsworth N. The Role of Ethnopharmacology in Drug Development. In D. Chadwick and J. March, Eds., *Bioactive Compounds From Plants*, Ciba Symposium, 154. Wiley; 1990, Chichester, England.

24. Harney J.W., Barofsky I.M. and Leary L.D. (1978). Behavioral and toxicological studies of cyclopentanoidmonoterpenes from *Nepetacataria*. *Lloydia*. 41(4): 367-374.
25. Bermejo B. P., and Serranillos F. M. Contribution to The Study of Flavonol Compounds in *Nepeta Nepetella* (L.) (Labiatae). *Anales-De-La-Real-Academia-De-Farmacia.*, 1984; 50 (3): 459-463.
26. Saez E., Rodriguez M. And Bermejo P. Contributions to the Study of Phenolic Acids in *Nepeta* L. (Labiata) Species. *Anales-De-La-Real-Acadmia-De-Farmacia.*, 1985; 52(1):597-603.
27. Saez E., Rodriguez M., and Bermejo P. Phenolic Acid from Two Species of *Nepeta* L. (Labiatae). Part2. Stems. *Anales-De-La-Real-Academia.*, 1986; 52 (1): 113-116.
28. Gerritsen, M. E., Carley W. W., Ranges G. F., Shen, C. P., Phan S. A., Ligon G. F. And Perry C. A. Flavonoids Inhibit Cytokine Induced Endothelial Cell Adhesion Protein Gene Expression. *Amj. Pathol.*, 1995; 147 (2): 235-237.
29. Rao C. N., Rao V. H., Verbuggen L. and Orloff S. Effect of Bioflavonoids on Lysosomal Acid Hydrolyases and Lysosomal Stability in Adjuvant-Induced Arthritis. *Scand J. Rheumatol.*, 1980; 9(4):280-284.
30. Calabrese V., Scapagnini G., Catalano C., Dinotta F., Geraci D. and Morganti P. Biochemical Studies of A Natural Antioxidant Isolated From Rosemary and Its Application In Cosmetic Dermatology. *Int. J. Tissue React.*, 2000; 22 (1): 5-13.
31. Dias P., Foglio M. A., Possenti A. and De Carvalho J. E. Antiulcerogenic Activity of Crude Hydroalcoholic Extract of *Rosmarinus Officinalis*. L. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2000; 69:57-57.
32. Horvathova, K., Novotny L., Tothova D. and Vachalkova A. Determination of Free Radical Scavenging Activity Of Quercetin, Rutin, Luteolin and Apigenin In H₂O₂ – Treated Human M1 Ulls K562. *Neoplasma*, 2004; 51(5):135-141.
33. Ramanova D., Vachalkova A., Cipak L., Ovesna Z., And Rauko P. Study of Antioxidant of Apigenin, Luteolin and Quercetin By Dna Protective Method. *Neoplasma*, 2001; 48(2): 104-107.
34. Wang C. and Kurzer M. S. Phytoestrogen Concentration Determines Effect of DNA Synthesis In Human Breast Cancer Cells. *Nutr Cancer*, 1997; 28 (3):236-247.
35. Czechtot H. and Kusztelak J. A Study of TheGenotoxic Potential of Flavonoides Using Short-Term Bacterial Assays. *Acta. Biochem. Pol.*, 1993; 40 (4):549-554.

Table 1: Antipyretic effect of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* (1/5 LD₅₀) in rats

| Treatments | Temperature | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Before injection | Fever | After 30 min | After 60 min | After 120 min | After 180 min | After 240 min |
| Yeast extract | 36.5±0.071 | 37.16±0.154 | 37.22±0.16 | 37.32±0.195 | 37.36±0.204 | 37.3±0.232 | 37.24±0.22 |
| Antipyretic drug(Aspegic) | 36.64±.051 | 38.02±0.32* | 37.68±0.28 | 37.46±0.273 | 37.04±0.133 | 36.76±0.103 | 36.5±0.123 |
| Plant ethanolic extract(1/5 LD ₅₀) | 36.5±0.141 | 36.6±0.141 | 36.6±0.192 | 36.28±.211* | 36.26±0.258** | 36.46±0.24* | 36.7±0.259 |

Values are mean ± S.E. (n=5, *= p < 0.05(significant), **= P 0.01 (highly significant)

Table 2: Antipyretic effect of extra of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* (1/10 LD₅₀) in rat

| Treatments | Temperature | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Before injection | Fever | After 30 min | After 60 min | After 120 min | After 180 min | After 240 min |
| Yeast extract | 36.5±0.071 | 37.16±0.154 | 37.32±0.159 | 37.32±0.195 | 37.36±0.204 | 37.3±0.232 | 37.24±0.216 |
| Antipyretic drug(Aspegic) | 36.64±0.051 | 38.02±0.318* | 37.68±0.281 | 37.46±0.273 | 37.04±0.133 | 36.76±0.103 | 36.5*±0.123 |
| Plant ethanolic extract(1/5 LD ₅₀) | 36.5±0.126 | 37.5±0.217 | 37.26±0.196 | 36.26±0.221* | 36.34±0.225** | 36.82±0.168* | 37.24±0.140 |

Values are mean ± S.E. (n=5), *= P < 0.05(significant), **= P 0.01 (highly significant)

Table 3: Anti-inflammatory effect ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* (1/5 LD₅₀) & (1/10LD₅₀)

| Group | Thickness of paw in mm after (hrs) | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Before yeast | 4h. after yeast | 3h.after treatment | 6h.after treatment |
| Control | 4.26±0.098 | 8.46±0.582 | 8.64±0.520 | 8.62±0.548 |
| Inflammatory Drug (Diclofenac Sodium) | 4.22±.0735 | 8.82±0.269 | 6.66±0.309** | 6.6**±0.342 |
| Plant Ethanolic Extract (1/5 LD ₅₀) | 4.09±.0678 | 8.875±0.401 | 7.48±0.22 | 7.08±0.146* |
| Control | 4.26±0.098 | 8.46±0.582 | 8.64±0.520 | 8.62±0.548 |
| Inflammatory Drug (Diclofenac Sodium) | 4.22±.0735 | 8.82±0.269 | 6.66±0.309** | 6.6**±0.342 |
| Plant ethanolic extract (1/10 LD ₅₀) | 4.09±.0678 | 8.875±0.401 | 7.48±0.22 | 7.08±0.146* |

Values are Mean±S.E (n=5)& (n=10) *= p<.05 (signification) **= p<.01 (highly significant)

Table 4: Analgesic activity of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* in mice using writhing technique

| Treatment | Writhing response(No/20 min) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Control | 21.2±5.4 |
| Plant ethanolic extract(1/10 LD ₅₀) | 2.4±0.678** |
| Plant ethanolic extract(1/20LD ₅₀) | 8.2±1.068** |

Values are mean ± S.E (n=10) *= p<.05 (significant) **= p<.01 (highly significant)

Table 5: Antibacterial activity of the ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata*:

| Microorganism | Ethanolic extract | | | | Standard (ref. 10 ug) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----------------------|
| | 10 ug | 100ug | 1mg | 100mg | ++ |
| <i>Staphylococcus</i> | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | ++ |
| <i>S.aureus</i> | | | | | |
| <i>E. coi</i> | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | |

+:very weak inhibition, ++: strong inhibition, 00: inhibition zone were not detected

Table 6: Effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* with two doses (1/5 LD₅₀& 1/10 LD₅₀) on plasma lipid peroxidation content (μmol MDA/L) of rat.

| Time period | Control | Treatment | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | 1/5 LD ₅₀ | % of change | 1/10 LD ₅₀ | % of change |
| 2hours | 0.365±0.01 ^a | 0.314±0.03 | -13.97 | 0.334±0.01 | -8.49 |
| 4hours | | 0.270±0.01* | -26.03 | 0.358±0.01* | -1.91 |
| 8hours | | 0.267±0.02* | -26.85 | 0.249±0.02* | -31.78 |
| 16hours | | 0.288±0.03 | -21.10 | 0.341±0.01 | -6.57 |
| 24hours | | 0.357±0.01* | -2.19 | 0.264±0.019* | -27.67 |

^aRepresents means ±SE of 6 rats/group.

*Significant difference as compared with the control group using student's unpaired "t-test" at p<0.05.

*Significant difference between the two treated does at the same time using Student's unpaired "t-test" at p<0.05.

*Significant difference between control and the five treated groups (in each dose 1/5 LD₅₀&1/10ld₅₀)using one-way ANOVA, p<0.05.

Table 7: Effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* with two doses (1/5 LD₅₀& 1/10 LD₅₀) on Blood glutathione content (mg/dl) of rat.

| Time Period | Control | Treatment | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | 1/5 LD ₅₀ | %of change | 1/10 LD ₅₀ | % of change |
| 2hours | 1152.23±1.85 ^a | 2150±24.6* | +86.59 | 1859.25±12.1678 | +61.36 |
| 4hours | | 1155.55±7.40 | +0.28 | 1156.70±2.94 | +0.38 |
| 8hours | | 1193.66±27.42 | +3.59 | 1312.79±27.48 | +13.93 |
| 16hours | | 1404.86±23.79* | +21.92 | 1375.8±27.48 | +19.40 |
| 24hours | | 1170.95±4.74* | +1.62 | 1504.93±58.92* | +30.61 |

^aRepresents means \pm SE of 6 rats/group.

*Significant difference as compared with the control group using student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between the two treated doses at the same time using Student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between control and the five treated groups (in each dose $1/5 LD_{50}$ & $1/10 LD_{50}$) using one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$.

Table 8: Effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* with two doses ($1/5 LD_{50}$ & $1/10 LD_{50}$) on Blood catalase activity (μ /ml) of rat.

| Time period | Control | Treatment | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | | $1/5 LD_{50}$ | % of change | $1/10 LD_{50}$ | % of change |
| 2hours | 10.8 ± 1.98^a | $19.8 \pm 1.98^*$ | +83.33 | 16.20 ± 1.105 | +50 |
| 4hours | | 14.4 ± 1.29 | +33.33 | $21.6 \pm 2.7^*$ | +100 |
| 8hours | | $23.4 \pm 1.43^*$ | +116.6 | $26.64 \pm 1.41^*$ | +146.66 |
| 16hours | | $37.8 \pm 1.58^*$ | +250 | $25.75 \pm 2.88^*$ | +138.33 |
| 24hours | | $21.6 \pm 2.60^*$ | +100 | $28.26 \pm 3.0^*$ | +161.66 |

^aRepresents means \pm SE of 6 rats/group.

*Significant difference as compared with the control group using student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between the two treated doses at the same time using Student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between control and the five treated groups (in each dose $1/5 LD_{50}$ & $1/10 LD_{50}$) using one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$.

Table 9: Effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* with two doses ($1/5 LD_{50}$ & $1/10 LD_{50}$) on (Cu/Zn) superoxide dismutase activity (μ /ml blood) of rat.

| Time period | Control | Treatment | | | |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | $1/5 LD_{50}$ | % of change | $1/10 LD_{50}$ | % of change |
| 2hours | 22.5 ± 2.3^a | $32.61 \pm 2.5^*$ | +44.93 | $72.79 \pm 2.80^*$ | +223.51 |
| 4hours | | $50.72 \pm 4.81^*$ | +125.42 | $50.89 \pm 5.90^*$ | +126.17 |
| 8hours | | $90.17 \pm 7.27^*$ | +300.75 | $139.98 \pm 9.87^*$ | +522.13 |
| 16hours | | $109.34 \pm 4.71^*$ | +385.95 | $74.63 \pm 3.18^*$ | +231.68 |
| 24hours | | $78.52 \pm 4.21^*$ | +248.97 | $81.22 \pm 2.57^*$ | +260.97 |

^aRepresents means \pm SE of 6 rats/group.

*Significant difference as compared with the control group using student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between the two treated doses at the same time using Student's unpaired "t-test" at $p < 0.05$.

*Significant difference between control and the five treated groups (in each dose $1/5 LD_{50}$ & $1/10 LD_{50}$) using one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$.

Table 10: Effect of interperitoneal injection of ethanolic extract of *Nepetaseptemcrenata* with two doses (1/5 LD₅₀ & 1/10 LD₅₀) on (Cu/Zn) superoxide dimustase activity (μ/ml blood) of rat.

| Time period | Control | Treatment | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | 1/5 LD ₅₀ | % of change | 1/10 LD ₅₀ | % of change |
| 2hours | 66.45±.52 ^a | 66.73±0.5* | +0.42 | 79.66±1.7* | +19.88 |
| 4hours | | 68.52±3.3* | +3.11 | 78.43±1.95* | +18.03 |
| 8hours | | 68.085±1.95* | +2.46 | 91.6±3.05* | +37.84 |
| 16hours | | 84.63±2.29* | +27.35 | 72.18±3.42* | +8.62 |
| 24hours | | 95.43±1.05* | +45.61 | 69.16±4.32* | +4.08 |

^aRepresents means ±SE of 6 rats/group.

*Significant difference as compared with the control group using student's unpaired "t-test" at p<0.05.

*Significant difference between the two treated doses at the same time using Student's unpaired "t-test" at p<0.05.

*Significant difference between control and the five treated groups (in each dose 1/5 LD₅₀ & 1/10 LD₅₀) using one-way ANOVA, p<0.05.