Sensitivity and Specificity of Clear View Malaria Dual Rapid Test® among Children Attending Hospital in Sengerema District, North-Western Tanzania

Abstract

Malaria cases management and treatment need to have accurate and reliable diagnostic tools. Thus the present study evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of the test against expert microscopy in febrile under-five children in Sengerema District, north-western Tanzania. A finger prick blood sample was obtained from each child, and thin and thick blood smears were prepared, stained with 10% Giemsa and examined under the light microscope and ClearView Malaria Dual rapid test. A total of 232 children were included in the study. Of these, 29 (12.50%, 95%CI, 8.20-16.8) children had a positive malaria microscopy and 44 (18.97%, 95%CI, 13.9-23.9) were positive in the rapid diagnostic test. The sensitivity of the rapid diagnostic test increased with increasing \textit{P. falciparum} geometric mean parasite density from 46.43% (95%CI, 39.72-53.1) at <200 parasites/μL to 93.75% (95%CI, 90.50-97.01) at ≥ 200 parasites/μL. ClearView Malaria Dual rapid test is highly specific and its sensitivity increases with increase in parasite density. The test could be used in holoendemic areas but its use in areas with low malaria transmission needs further evaluation.
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Background

The key to effective management of malaria cases is prompt, accurate diagnosis and malaria case management using effective antimalarial drug. For parasitological diagnosis, currently the WHO recommends the use of microscopy and/or rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) for all patients with suspected malaria before initiation of treatment [1-4]. However, these recommendations have invited vigorous debate [3,5]. Despite several challenges faced by the use of microscopy, the technique remains as a gold standard for parasitological diagnosis of malaria in different endemicity settings [6]. To overcome these challenges and in areas where microscopy is usually not available; the WHO recommends the use of RDTs [5,7,8]. Malaria RDTs are immunochromatographic tests which targets specific single or multiple antigens of \textit{Plasmodium} species [8,9]. At present, more than 200 different products of RDTs have been developed and over 60 brands are used for malaria diagnosis in different malaria endemic countries [10]. In Tanzania, ParaHIT-f, Paracheck-Pf and SD Bioline are currently been used for malaria diagnosis (National Malaria Control Programme personal Communication). In fact, SD Bioline has been recommended by the National Malaria Control Programme for use in all public health facilities [11-15]. The use of malaria RDTs have resulted into the decrease of misdiagnosis and use of ACTs among febrile patients in malaria endemic areas [7,12].

One of the challenges facing the use of RDTs for malaria diagnosis is their low sensitivity in malaria endemic areas. In the holoendemic areas of north-western Tanzania, the sensitivity and
specificity of ParaHIT-f was 29.8%. There was a strong association between parasitaemia of ≥1000 parasites/μL and being positive for ParaHIT-f diagnostic test [16]. When the same test was subjected to an area characterized by low malaria transmission, the sensitivity was 10.7% [15]. Sensitivity of ParaHIT-f increased with increasing \( P. falciparum \) mean parasite density from 5.8% at <100 parasites/μL to 20.5% at ≥100 parasites/μL [15].

Similarly, in the same country, the sensitivity and specificity of the ParaCheck-Pf have been reported by several previous studies to be above 90% and specificity 95%-99% [11-13]. Conversely, SD Bioline although recommended for use in public health facilities in Tanzania, its sensitivity remains unknown or still under study, but in Malawi, the test had a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 39% [17]. The second challenge facing RDTs is the declining of malaria transmission and malaria parasitaemia in different countries previously described as hyperendemic/holoendemic area [1,18]. The WHO cut-off point for measuring sensitivity of malaria RDT at low parasites density is ≥200 parasites/μL [1,18]. However, in areas with low malaria transmission, the proportional of individuals with low malaria parasites density (<200 parasites/μL) is higher as compared to areas with stable malaria transmission [19]. Therefore, using the WHO criteria for selecting RDTTs means that majority of the symptomatic malaria cases will be missed by the test in areas with low transmission [18,20,21]. Thus, if only RDTs will continue to be used for parasitological malaria diagnosis, there is a need to develop new tests with high sensitivity to detect malaria parasites below the WHO recommendations. One such test is the ClearView malaria Dual RDTs, designed to test in vitro the presence of \( P. falciparum \), \( P. vivax \), \( P. malariae \) and \( P. ovale \) antigens in whole blood. The test detects two types of parasite antigens: histidine-rich protein-II (HRP-II) and \( Plasmodium \) lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) [22]. The detection of pLDH antigen by the test indicates the presence of viable parasites [22]. To our knowledge, two studies have evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of ClearView malaria dual RDTs in malaria non-endemic setting [21,22]. One of the studies reported an overall sensitivity of 93.2%, with that of \( P. falciparum \) recorded at 98.6% and specificity of 100% [22]. For \( P. falciparum \), the sensitivity increased to 100% at parasites densities >100 parasites/μL [22]. ClearView Malaria Dual test have never been evaluated in malaria endemic settings in Tanzania, thus before this test can be used for malaria diagnosis at primary health facilities, it is important to evaluate its sensitivity and specificity. The objective of this study was to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the new brand of malaria RDT, ClearView malaria Dual against expert microscopy, the gold standard.

**Methods**

**Study sites, design and population**

The study was conducted at Sengerema Designed District Hospital, in Sengerema district, in Mwanza region, Northern west Tanzania. The study area has been previously described elsewhere [23]. Briefly, the area is located at 1140 m above sea level with the inland areas covered by seasonal rivers. The area is characterized by a tropical climate with average annual temperature of 26.5°C, and experiences short rain season between August and December and long rainy seasons between February and May. However, for the last year, the area received heavy rainfall from September to December which somehow increased malaria transmission in the area during the study period.

This was a cross-sectional study conducted between November-December 2011 among 232 febrile (body temperature ≥ 37.5°C) children under-fives. The inclusion criteria for the study participants were: (i) children who sought treatment at the hospital with complaint of fever (ii) children aged between 2 months and 60 months (iii) parents/guardians consent for their children to participate in the study (iv) no history of treatment with anti-malarial drugs in the past two weeks.

**Data collections**

The under-five children were consequently recruited into the study until the sample size of 232 was reached (Figure 1). A convenient sampling technique was used and all children under-fives meeting the inclusions criteria were recruited into the study. A qualified clinician used a pre-tested Kiswahili translated questionnaire to collect patient’s demographic information. The clinician conducted a physical clinical examination on all patients. The axillary body temperature was measured using a digital clinical thermometer. Fever was defined as body temperature ≥ 37.5°C [24].

**Microscopic diagnosis of malaria**

A finger prick blood sample was obtained and one thin and two thick blood smears were prepared and stained with 10% Giemsa. Giemsa stained slides were examined by two independent experienced microscopist at the National Institute for Medical Research, Mwanza, Tanzania. The process was based on a rigorous quality control system. All the microscopists were blinded to the RDT results. A second microscopist re-examined all the stained blood smears and any disagreement between the first and second microscopist was resolved by a third microscopist. The microscopist identified malaria parasite stages and speciate the malaria parasites. The Giemsa stained smears were examined at 100× objectives under oil immersion and a slide with the presence of trophozoites or any other asexual form of \( Plasmodium \) species was declared as positive. Slides were declared as negative if no asexual parasites were found after examining 100× objectives. Parasitaemia was determined according to the number of parasites per 200 white blood cells (WBC), assuming a total WBC count of 8,000/μL [24].

The diagnosis and management of malaria was based on initial readings of one of the thick blood smears at the hospital. Management of malaria was done in accordance with the Tanzania Malaria Treatment Guidelines.

**ClearView test platform**

ClearView test captures monoclonal antibodies (anti HRP2 and pLDH) which are immobilized on the nitrocellulose strip. The RBCs are lysed releasing plasmidium specific antigens which
bind selectively to these antibodies as the blood is wicked up the strip. The signal reagent is coated with specific antibodies which bind with antibody-antigen complexes so formed producing a red line. The presence of an upper red line (the procedural control line) demonstrates the tests have been performed correctly. According to manufacturers, ClearView malaria Dual (Inverness Medical Group of companies; Plot No. 266, Sector 6, I.M.T., Manesar, Gurgaon-122050, Haryana India) detects in vitro the

Figure 1: Flow chart summarizing the number of children seen at the outpatient pediatric clinic of Sengerema designated district hospital during the study period and prevalence of malaria among 232 children using both microscopy and ClearView malaria dual tests in north-western Tanzania.
The presence of *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*. Handling, test performance and storage of the test kits was done according to manufacturer’s instructions.

The test was performed according to manufacturer instructions. Briefly, an approximate of 25 μL of the finger prick blood samples was collected using the provided disposable pipettes. Then, five drops of the reactions buffer was added into the large well and readings of the reactions were performed after 20 minutes. Results were interpreted as positive if two red colored lines were observed (the middle test (T) line and top control (C)) for *P. falciparum* malaria positive. For non *P. falciparum* malaria positive, two red lines appeared (the bottom test (T) line and top control (C)). The presence of only the control (C) line with no either test line, was considered negative.

### Data analysis

Data were entered and verified using MS-Access, and analyzed using Stata version 11 software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Specificity, sensitivity and predictive values of ClearView Malaria dual rapid tests and their respective 95% confidence intervals were estimated using expert microscopy (gold standard). ClearView Malaria dual rapid tests were also tested across different parasite densities. Parasitaemia was categorized as either low (<200 parasites/μL) or high (≥ 200 parasites/μL). Data analysis was performed using Stata version 11 software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Specificity, sensitivity and predictive values of ClearView Malaria Dual rapid tests were also tested across different parasite densities. Parasitaemia was categorized as either low (<200 parasites/μL) or high (≥ 200 parasites/μL). The overall sensitivity and specificity of ClearView malaria Dual rapid test was 96.55% (44/232, 95%CI, 94.10-98.86) for diagnosis of *P. falciparum* and specificity of 92.12% (95%CI, 88.62-95.60). The positive and negative predictive values were 63.64% and 99.47%, respectively.
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### Results

A total of 232 under five children participated in the present study, of these 129/232 (55.60%, 95%CI, 49.21-61.99) were females and the remaining were males. The median age of the enrolled children was 16.5 months (range-minimum-maximum: 1-60 months).

Based on the microscopic diagnosis of malaria, of the 232 children, only 12.50% (29/232, 95%CI, 8.24-16.76) had positive slide readings. All the *Plasmodium* positive children were positive for *P. falciparum* infection. The geometric mean of parasites density was 447.14 parasites/μL (95%CI, 214.98-929.99). Of the children with *P. falciparum* slides positives, 57.69% (15/26, 95%CI, 38.7-76.69) had 1- 1000 parasites/μL and 46.15% (12/26, 95%CI, 26.99-65.31) had ≥ 1001 parasites/μL, respectively.

The overall sensitivity and specificity of ClearView malaria Dual rapid test but with positive microscopic (parasite density of 1640 parasites/μL).

### Discussion

This study have found that, parasitological diagnosis of malaria before treatment decision is highly important as recommended by WHO especially at this time when malaria is reported to decline in most of the endemic areas [12,25-28]. The use of light microscopy or RDTs offers an inexpensive and practical means of improving malaria diagnosis and treatment in areas of low transmission [10,29]. An important aspect on the selection of the type of malaria RDTs brands to be used in malaria endemic areas depends on its sensitivity and specificity. In this cross-sectional study, the sensitivity and specificity of the ClearView Malaria Dual rapid test was evaluated against expert microscopy as gold standard among febrile children under five. The overall sensitivity of the tests was 97% for the detection of only *P. falciparum* malaria. In Tanzania, over 95% of the malaria cases are due to *P. falciparum* [24]. The sensitivity of the test was observed to increase considerably with parasite density, from 46.43% at Table 1 Overall sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV, NPV) of ClearView malaria dual rapid test and stratified results according to parasite density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasitaemia (Parasites/μL)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95%CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95%CI)</th>
<th>PPV (95%CI)</th>
<th>NPV (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.50 (98.54-100.00)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.12 (89.12-95.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 200</td>
<td>93.75 (90.50-97.01)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>96.55 (94.10-98.86)</td>
<td>92.12 (88.62-95.60)</td>
<td>63.64 (49.43-77.85)</td>
<td>99.47 (98.44-1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parasite density of <200 parasites/µL to 94% at parasite density of >200 parasites/µL. Meaning that, in areas with low malaria transmission with majority of the population having >200 parasites/µL, the tests will diagnose majority of the patients as false-negative. Thus the use of this test kit will have limited use in areas with low malaria transmission and the test will achieve better performance in areas with high malaria transmission.

The findings observed in the present study were also reported by similar other studies in malaria non-endemic areas [9,21]. The overall sensitivity of diagnosing *P. falciparum* for ClearView Malaria dual rapid tests were reported to range between 98-99% reaching 100% for samples having more than 100 parasites/µL [9]. For the level of parasites to be detected by RDTs, the WHO recommends a sensitivity of 95% at parasitaemia of 100 parasites/µL as a target for RDT performance [10]. However, in the present study, the sensitivity of ClearView Malaria Dual rapid tests was observed to be below the WHO recommendation (46.43% at parasite density of <200 parasites/µL). This was also inconsistent with the findings of another similar study which reported a sensitivity of 100% at parasite density of >100 parasites/µL of the same malaria RDTs [9]. Similarly, when comparing the sensitivity of other malaria RDTs at parasites density <100 parasites/µL, for example ParaCheck-Pf (PfHRP-2) and Optimal-IT test, their sensitivity were <50% [11,12,30]. In fact, parasite density of <200 asexual parasites/µL was reported to be associated with high risk of false negative in RDTs [12]. In addition, the observed declining of malaria transmission means that the largest proportional of the population will be living in areas with low malaria transmission, characterized by low malaria parasites density (<200 parasites/µL), thus malaria RDTs which have low sensitivity at parasite density of <200 parasites/µL will have limited use [18,20,24]. Conversely, the WHO have confirmed that at parasites <200 parasites/µL, detection rates of most of RDTs decreases substantially. Thus for selection of RDTs to be used in malaria endemic areas, the detection score should be at least 50% for *P. falciparum* at parasites counts of 200 parasites/µL [31].

The overall specificity of the tests for diagnosing *P. falciparum* malaria was >90% and based on the level of parasitaemia, the specificity was excellent, with no significant difference at parasite density of <200 or ≥ 200 parasites/µL. Only one false-negative result was observed with parasite density of 1640 parasites/µL. Similar observation has been reported by previous study but this study did not observe any false-negative result [9].

In general, evaluation of the present test in hospital setting in malaria endemic setting have shown that, its sensitivity rates and specificity are within the WHO recommendation at parasites density ≥ 200 parasites/µL. Similar to another report, the test was easier to perform and the bands lines (both control and test) were easily observed and interpreted by the laboratory technician [9].

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study has shown that ClearView Malaria Dual rapid test is highly specific and its sensitivity tends to increase with increase in level of parasitaemia. Thus, the tests can be used for diagnosis of *P. falciparum* malaria in children living in holoendemic for malaria transmission. However, its use in low malaria transmission areas needs further evaluation.
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