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ABSTRACT

Among the various routes of drug delivery oral route is most preferred route. But conventional dosage form offers few limitations which could be resolved by modifying the existing dosage form. Sustained and controlled drug delivery system helps in maintenance of constant plasma drug concentration and retards the release rate of drug thereby extending the duration of action. There are various formulation strategies for sustained release tablets among which matrix tablet serves as an important tool. Hence the problem like poor patient compliance, multiple dosing, see-saw fluctuations can be easily minimized. Matrix tablets can be formulated by either direct compression or wet granulation method by using a variety of hydrophilic or hydrophobic polymers. The rate of drug release from the matrix is primarily governed by rate and extent of water penetration, swelling of polymer, dissolution and diffusion of drug. Thus, sustained release matrix tablet can offer better patient compliance and could be quite helpful in treatment of chronic diseases. The present article concentrates on oral sustained release tablets with a special emphasis on matrix tablet.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral Drug Delivery Systems

The term “Drug Delivery” covers a very extensive range of techniques used to deliver therapeutic agents into the human body. Drugs are administered with a main aim of curing patient ailments. Drugs are never administered in their pure form but are converted in a suitable formulation so that its onset and intensity of action as well as total duration of action can be checked. Among the various routes of drug delivery oral route is most widely used route of drug delivery. But conventional dosage form offers few limitations which could be resolved by modifying the existing dosage form1,2.

Limitations of conventional oral dosage form:

- Poor patient compliance; chances of dose missing.
- See-saw fluctuations.

Multiple drug therapy enhances the risk of toxicity as well as overall cost of treatment3.

Approaches to overcome these limitations:

- Development of new, better and safer drugs with long half-life and large therapeutic indices.
- Effective and safer use of existing drugs through concepts and techniques of controlled and targeted drug delivery systems4,5.

The first approach has many disadvantages, however second approach can be used widely.

An ideal controlled drug delivery system is that which delivers the drug at a specific rate locally or systemically for a specified period of time with minimum fluctuation in plasma drug concentration, reduced toxicity and maximum efficiency6.

For successful development of drug delivery system basic understanding of several aspects is necessary:
• Anatomy and physiology of GIT.
• Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic profile of drug.
• Physicochemical characteristics of drug and delivery mode under study.

The oral controlled release formulations have been developed for those therapeutic agents that are easily absorbed from the G.I.T, having a shorter half life, eliminated quickly from the blood circulation, narrow absorption window as these will release the drug slowly into the G.I.T.

Controlled release dosage forms cover a wide range of prolonged action formulations which provide continuous release of drug at the specific site for a predetermined time at a predetermined rate.

There are several terms used interchangeably viz. controlled release, programmed release, sustained release, prolonged release, timed release, extended release etc. The most important objective for the development of these systems is to furnish an extended duration of action and thus assure greater patient compliance.

Sustained Release Dosage Form

Sustained release dosage form is defined as well characterized and reproducible dosage form, which is designed to control drug release profile at a specified rate to achieve desired drug concentration either in blood plasma or at target site.

This system will provide actual therapeutic control that would be temporal (time related), spatial (site related) or both.

Advantages of sustained drug delivery system:
• Reduced see-saw fluctuations.
• Total amount of dose decreases.
• Improved patient compliance.
• Increased safety of drugs.

Disadvantages of sustained drug delivery system:
• Chances of dose dumping.
• Dose retrieval is difficult.

• High cost of formulation.
• Need for additional patient education.
• Reduced potential for accurate dose adjustment (Figure 1).

The performance of a drug presented as a controlled/sustained release system depends upon its:
• Release from the formulation.
• Movement within the body during its passage to the site of action.

The desired biopharmaceutical properties of a drug to be used in sustained drug delivery system are discussed below:

Molecular weight: Lower the molecular weight faster and more complete will be the absorption. For the drugs absorbed by pore transport mechanism, the molecular size threshold is 150 Daltons for spherical compounds and 400 Daltons for linear compounds. The upper limit for the molecular size is 600 Daltons for passive diffusion.

Aqueous solubility of the drug: Drugs with good aqueous solubility are good candidate for sustained release dosage form rather than the candidates having poor aqueous solubility.

Apparent partition coefficient of drug: Greater the apparent partition coefficient of the drug, greater is the rate and extent of absorption.

Drug pk a and ionization at physiological pH: The pk a range for acidic drugs is 3.0-7.5 and that for basic drugs is 7.0-11.0. Aqueous solubility of weak acids and bases is governed by pk a of compound and pH of the medium. According to pH theory, the absorption of acidic drugs is favoured in acidic environment and that of basic drugs in basic environment. Hence, the release of the ionizable drugs must be programmed in accordance with pH variations across the GIT. So, the drugs that exist largely in ionized form serves as poor candidates for sustained release dosage form.

Drug stability: Drugs unstable in GI environment are poor candidates for oral sustained release system.

Biopharmaceutical aspects of route of
administration: Oral and parental (i.m.) routes followed by transdermal are most popular. Routes of minor importance in sustained drug delivery are buccal/sublingual, nasal, rectal, ocular and pulmonary7, 24.

A detailed knowledge of ADME characteristics of drug is essential in the design of sustained release product. An optimum range of given pharmacokinetic parameter of a drug is necessary beyond which controlled/sustained delivery is difficult25-30 (Tables 1 and 2).

Formulation Strategies for Oral Sustained Release System

Diffusion Sustained Release
Dissolution Sustained Release
pH Dependent System
Altered Density System
Osmotic Pump System
Ion Exchange System31

Types of diffusion sustained system:
• Swellable matrix.
• Reservoir/Laminate matrix.

Types of dissolution sustained system:
• Matrix/Monolith Dissolution System.
• Encapsulation/Coating/Reservoir System.

Types of altered density system:
• High Density System.
• Low Density System.
• Muco Adhesive System8,32.

Diffusion sustained system: These systems are those where the rate controlling step is not the dissolution rate of the drug but diffusion of the dissolved drug molecule. Depending upon the mechanism such system can be classified as:

Porous membrane controlled system: In these type of system the rate controlling element is a water insoluble non swellable polymer like ethyl cellulose, polymethaacyrate etc. which controls the drug release through the micro pores present in their membrane or matrix structure33-35 (Figure 2).

Advantages
• Can provide zero order drug release.

Disadvantages
• High cost per dosage unit.
• In case of dose dumping toxicity can take place.

Porous matrix controlled system: In these type of system the rate controlling element is a water swellable material ( hydrophilic polymers and gums) like alginates, xanthan gum, locust bean gum, HPMC etc. or a non swellable water insoluble polymer like ethyl cellulose36 (Figure 3).

Advantages
• Cost effective.
• Easy to fabricate.
• Drug could be protected from hydrolysis or other changes in GIT, so enhanced stability.
• Compounds with high molecular weight could be formulated.

Disadvantages
• Release rate is affected by presence of food.
• Matrix must be removed after the release of drug.

Dissolution sustained system

The drugs with slow solubility are suitable candidates for this system and for the drugs having high solubility the dissolution is decreased by conversion into a suitable salt or derivative37,38.

Drug present in this system may be of two types:
• Drugs with inherently slow dissolution rate. e.g. griseofulvin, digoxin, nifedipine etc.
• Drugs that transforms into a slow dissolving form on coming in contact with GI fluids. e.g. Ferrous sulphate.
• Drugs having high aqueous solubility and dissolution rate8,39.

These systems can further be categorized as:
Coating dissolution system: In this type of system the drug particles are coated with polymers like cellulose, polymethacrylates, PEGs etc. The resulting pellets are compressed as tablets. The dissolution rate of the coat depends upon thickness and solubility of coat⁴⁰ (Figure 4).

Soluble matrix system: These systems are also known as monoliths as the drug is homogeneously dispersed in a rate controlling medium. Waxes like bee wax, carnauba wax etc. are used for controlling the dissolution rate.

The rate of dissolution is controlled by either of following mechanisms:

- Altering the rate of fluid penetration into tablet by altering the porosity of tablet.
- Decreasing the wettability of tablet.
- Slow dissolution rate of polymer⁶,⁷ (Figure 5).

Ion exchange resins: Based upon the principle that GIT has a relatively constant level of ions, this type of system has developed for controlling the rate of delivery of ionisable or ionic drugs. Such a system can be prepared by incubating the drug resin solution or by passing the drug solution through a column containing exchange resin. A cationic drug is complexed with a resin containing SO₃⁻ group and for anionic drug resin containing N(CH₃)₃ group is used. In the GIT hydronium and chloride ions diffuse into the sustained release tablet and interact with drug-resin complex to trigger the release of drug.

+Types of ion exchange resins:

- Cationic exchange resin: Contains acidic functional group.
- Anion exchange resin: Contains basic functional group.

These systems prevent dose dumping as they have better drug retaining properties. So, chances of toxicity are reduced. Moreover, the polymeric and ionic property of ion exchange resin makes the drug release more uniform than that of simple matrices⁴¹.

Method using osmotic pump: Osmotic systems are based on the principle of osmosis. Such systems release the drugs at a constant zero order rate. These systems are popularly known as OROS.

The system consist of a drug core and an osmotically active substance (osmogen) like mannitol surrounded by a semipermeable membrane coating with an orifice of 0.4 mm made by laser beam to facilitate drug exit. When exposed to GI Fluids, water flows through semipermeable membrane, under the influence of osmotic force of osmogen the drug release is facilitated via orifice.

These systems could be of two types:

- In type-A system drug solution along with osmogen is surrounded by a semi permeable membrane.
- In type-B system, the drug solution is present in a semi permeable membrane surrounded by the electrolytes (Figure 6).

pH independent formulations: These systems are designed for acid-labile drugs or drugs irritating GIT mucosa and targeting their delivery to the intestinal tract. It is fabricated by coating the core of tablet with a combination of intestinal fluid insoluble polymer (ethyl cellulose) and intestinal fluid soluble polymer (HPMCP). The coating membrane resists the dissolution of drug in stomach at acidic pH. After gastric emptying the system travels to small intestine. At a pH above 5 the intestine soluble component dissolves; thereby producing a porous membrane that controls the release of drug from the core of the tablet.

Altered density formulations: The transit time of GI contents is usually less than 24 hours. This is major limiting factor in design of sustained release formulation. If the residence time of drug in stomach or intestine is prolonged the frequency of dosing can further be reduced. This could be achieved by altering the density of drug particles, using mucoadhesive polymers or by altering the size of dosage form.

Altered density formulation can further be classified as:

i) High density approach

The density of GI fluids is about 1.4 g/cc so the drug particles having density greater
than this value usually 1.6 g/cc can be used for this purpose. These systems have prolonged residence time and not affected by presence of food. Iron oxide and barium sulfate can be used for this purpose.

**ii) Low density approach**

These pellets have density lower than that of GI fluids. So, such tablets tend to float on gastric juice for an extended time period thereby slowing down the drug release. Such system can be formulated by granulating a drug with 20-80% of hydrogel like HPMC, HPC and HEC. On contact with GI fluids, tablets swells and form a diffusible gel barrier that lowers the density of the system lower than 1; thereby allowing it to float.

**Matrix Tablet**

Matrix tablet is defined as “Oral solid dosage form in which active pharmaceutical ingredient is uniformly dispersed throughout polymeric matrices (hydrophilic or hydrophobic) which retards the drug release rate.

This approach is widely used for formulating the sustained release tablets. The mechanism involved in the drug release is either dissolution controlled or diffusion controlled.

**Advantages of matrix system:**

- Easy to manufacture.
- Cost effective.
- Improved patient compliance.
- Sustained release formulations avoid the high blood concentration.
- Reduce drug toxicity by slowing down drug absorption.
- Enhanced drug stability in GI milieu.
- Minimize the local and systemic side effects.
- No see-saw fluctuations in plasma drug concentration profile.
- Less amount of drug is required.
- Temporal effects can be provided. e.g. morning relief of arthritis through bed time dosing.

**Disadvantages of matrix tablets:**

- Matrix needs to be removed after drug release.
- Costly in comparison to conventional dosage form.
- Presence of food and gut transition time can affect the release rate\(^6\).

**Classification of Matrix Tablets**

A) On the basis of retardant material used matrix can be divided into 5 types:

**Hydrophobic matrices (plastic matrices):**

In this technique hydrophobic inert polymer are used as release retarding matrix material. The drug is mixed with the hydrophobic inert polymer (e.g. polyethylene, poly vinyl chloride, ethyl cellulose) and then compressed into tablet. The drug is entrapped between the network channels of polymer particles thereby sustaining the release of drug.

**Lipid matrices:**

Lipid material is used as release retardant (e.g. carnauba wax in combination with stearyl alcohol). Mechanism involved in drug release includes both pore diffusion and matrix erosion.

**Hydrophilic matrices:**

In this type of system a variety of hydrophilic polymers can be used, such systems are also known as swellable matrices. These polymers are more preferred than former ones as they are cost effective and a desirable drug profile can be easily obtained.

Classification of hydrophilic polymer matrices:

- **Cellulose derivatives:** Methyl cellulose 400 and 4000cPs; Hydroxy ethyl cellulose, Hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) 25, 100, 4000 and 15000cPs and Sodium carboxy methyl cellulose.
- **Non cellulose natural and semi-synthetic polymers:** Agar-Agar; alginates; carob gum; molasses; polysaccharides of galactose and mannose; chitosan and modified starches.
- **Polymer of acrylic acid:** carbopol-934.
Biodegradable polymers:
These consist of biodegradable polymers that are degraded either by enzymatic or non enzymatic process into by products which are excreted out from the body e.g. Polyanhydrides, proteins, polysaccharides.

Mineral matrices: Species of sea weeds like alginic acid are used as release retardants7,11 (Tables 3 and 4).

Mechanism of drug release: The mechanism involved in drug release includes either diffusion or dissolution. On exposure with aqueous solution hydration of matrix takes place as a result it swells to block up existing pores, dissolution of the contents takes place. Due to gel formation a viscous solution is formed which give rise to a positive pressure which opposes the liquid entry and causes the disintegration of matrix.

The swelling of the matrix and consequent drug release by diffusion from the matrix and erosion of the matrix is as shown in (Figures 7 and 8) 11,12.

Evaluation of Oral Sustained Release Tablets

Thickness of tablet: Thickness of tablet is evaluated by using micrometer screw gauge. Test is carried out randomly on twenty tablets and average values are calculated.

Hardness of tablet: Hardness of tablet of each batch is evaluated by monsanto hardness tester and average values are calculated.

Uniformity of weight: 20 tablets are selected randomly and weighed individually and collectively; average weight is calculated (Table 5).

% of weight variation=(Individual Weight-Average weight/Average Weight) × 100

Uniformity of content: This test is done to make sure that every tablet should contain the same amount of active ingredient with little or no variation within a batch. For content uniformity test 30 tablets are selected and 10 are assayed individually. At least 9 must assay between ±15% of the declared potency and should not exceed ±25%

Friability: 20 tablets are weighed and placed in fibrilator. The chamber is rotated for 4 minutes at a speed of 25 r.p.m. the tablets are removed from the chamber and weighed again. Loss in weight indicates friability. The tablets to be considere of good quality if loss in weight is less than 0.8%

In vitro dissolution studies: The test is carried out to measure the amount of time required for certain percentage of drug to go into the solution under the specific test conditions. Rotating paddle type and rotating basket type apparatus can be used as per pharmacopoeial standards or as mentioned in monograph of particular drug 42.

The test is passed if for each of the five tablets, the amount of active ingredient in solution is not less than 70% of the stated amount or as specified in the monograph of the API in pharmacopoeia8,13.

DISCUSSION
The present article is focused on sustained release matrix tablet. The goal of sustained release could be easily and effectively ascertained via approach of matrix tablets. As compared to conventional counterparts matrix tablets offer better patient compliance, maintains constant plasma drug concentration level, reduces chances of toxicity and once a day drug therapy reduces overall cost of treatment. Maintenance of drug concentration within therapeutic range is helpful in minimizing irrational use of drugs (esp. antibiotics) as well as helpful in the treatment of chronic diseases. Furthermore it is a cost effective approach.

CONCLUSION
It is concluded that, Oral Sustained Release tablets provide the drug release in a modified form than their counterparts. It is an effective to ascertain the therapeutic goals with maximum patient compliance. However, accurate adjustment of various physicochemical parameters is necessary. Matrix tablet is helpful in overcoming the problems associated with conventional dosage form. Apart from various advantages associated with it cost effectiveness and once daily dose are the key benefits associated with it. Due to its key benefits and better patient compliance it can easily lead the market by replacing its counterparts.
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### Table 1. Physicochemical parameters for drug selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular size</td>
<td>Less than 600 Daltons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous solubility</td>
<td>More than 0.1 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition coefficient $K_{o/w}$</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation constant $pK_a$</td>
<td>Acidic drugs, $pK_a &gt; 2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic drugs, $pK_a &lt; 11.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption mechanism</td>
<td>Passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability in GI milieu</td>
<td>Stable at both gastric and intestinal pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization at physiological pH</td>
<td>Not more than 95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters for drug selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elimination half life</td>
<td>Between 2-6 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute bioavailability</td>
<td>&gt; 75% or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption rate constant ($K_a$)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism Rate</td>
<td>Not too high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total clearance</td>
<td>Should not depend on dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic concentration ($C_{ss}$)</td>
<td>Lower $C_{ss}$ and small $V_d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Classification of matrix tablets on the basis of porosity of matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pore size</th>
<th>Mechanism involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Macro Porous System</td>
<td>0.1-1 µm</td>
<td>Diffusion through pore of matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Micro Porous System</td>
<td>50-200Å</td>
<td>Diffusion through pore of matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non Porous System</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>Diffusion through network meshes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Polymers used in matrix tablet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No.</th>
<th>Polymer Class</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hydrogels</td>
<td>Cross-linked polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), Cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), Polyethylene oxide (PEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soluble polymers</td>
<td>Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), Hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Biodegradable polymers</td>
<td>Polylactic acid (PLA), Polyglycolic acid (PGA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mucoadhesive polymers</td>
<td>Polyacrylic acid, Tragacanth, Methyl cellulose, Pectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Non-Biodegradable Polymers</td>
<td>Cellulose acetate (CA), Ethyl cellulose (EC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Natural gums</td>
<td>Guar gum, Karaya gum, Locust bean gum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Average weight of tablet showing permissible percentage deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No.</th>
<th>Average weight of tablet deviation</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80 mg or less</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>More than 80 mg or less than 250 mg</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250 mg or more</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Plasma drug concentration vs. time profile for oral conventional dosing and single oral dose of sustained and controlled release formulation

Figure 2. Diagrammatic representation of diffusion type porous membrane system
Figure 3. Diagrammatic representation of diffusion type porous membrane system

Figure 4. Diagrammatic representation of coating dissolution sustained system

Figure 5. Diagrammatic representation of matrix dissolution sustained system
Figure 6. Schematic representation of osmotic system Type-A and Type-B

Figure 7. Schematic representation of drug release from different type of matrix tablet
**Initial wetting**
The tablet surface wets as it becomes immersed in aqueous media. The polymer then begins to hydrate forming a gel layer. Drug near the surface of the tablet is released.

**Expansion of gel layer**
As water permeates into the tablet, the polymer swells causing an increase in size. The soluble drug diffuses through the gel layer. The dry core also contributes to the swelling.

**Tablet Erosion**
Outer polymer layer becomes fully hydrated which eventually dissolves into the gastric fluids. Water continues to permeate towards the tablet core.

**SOLUBLE DRUG**
Released primarily by DIFFUSION through the gel layer.

**INSOLUBLE DRUG**
Released primarily by tablet EROSION.

**Figure 8.** Mechanism of drug release from hydrophillic matrix tablet