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Abstract

The TiO$_2$ production by the sulphate route uses ilmenite as raw material, which is initially milled and dissolved by adding concentrated sulphuric acid (98%). A significant fraction of the original raw material (about 10-15%) cannot be dissolved in the digestion step. In order to recover the titanium (rutile form) and other economic minerals during the digestion stage, was carried out a deep characterization of the used raw material in relation to several parameters, such as the elemental composition (major and trace elements), mineralogy, microscopic morphology and physical composition. Therefore, the main goal of this work has been to separate and to analyse the minerals contained in the raw material in order to isolate the potentially dangerous and economics minerals prior to the industrial process. The main conclusion the study was that the raw material is mainly composed of ilmenite and its alteration products (ilmenite unchanged, leached ilmenite, pseudorutile, leached pseudorutile and rutile), produced by weathering of the original ilmenite, and containing small amounts of other minerals (monazite, spinel, quartz and zircon). According to this, a near total isolation of each mineral is very complex, but a high percent of them can be recovery by optimizing the industrial process. In addition, the economical impurities isolated can be commercial. Likewise, this fact could be reduced the potential environmental impact of the TiO$_2$ industries via sulphate by reducing the waste production.
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Introduction

In opposition of the popular belief, the most widely used titanium product is not the titanium metal and alloys, but rather is the titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) pigment. This pigment provides whiteness and opacity to a vast range of everyday products from coatings and plastics, to inks and even as flux in glass manufacture, filler in paper, rubber industries [1], cosmetics and food. Annually more than 4.5 million tons of TiO$_2$ are produced worldwide [2] and only about 4-5% is used to produce metallic titanium [3]. Titanium is basically found in nature as ilmenite ore (FeTiO$_3$) either as a rock or as sand, rutile, anatase and brookite, which although they all have the same formula (TiO$_2$), but differing in their crystalline structure. In addition, leucoxene (Fe$_{2}$O$_3$·nTiO$_2$) [4], is an oxidation product of ilmenite which it is composed of finely crystalline rutile. Other less common titanium oxide-bearing minerals are pseudobrookite (Fe$_3$TiO$_5$), perovskite (CaTiO$_3$), gelkiiite ((Mg,Fe)TiO$_3$), pyrophanite (MnTiO$_3$) [5] and the only silicate mineral with titanium as a major component is titanite (known as sphene (TiSiO$_5$)). Ilmenite is the most important economic mineral from which TiO$_2$ is extracted; due to the content of TiO$_2$ is about 50% and it is widely found. Despite that rutile, which is the richest form of TiO$_2$ (93-96% TiO$_2$) occurring naturally but is not so often found in deposits valid for commercial use. Finally can be found as leucoxene (Fe$_{2}$O$_3$·nTiO$_2$), a natural alteration product of ilmenite, containing often more than 65% TiO$_2$ [6].
than half of the world’s titanium production is obtained from ilmenite and rutile in shoreline placer deposits in Australia, South Africa, USA, India and Sri Lanka. Magnetitic ilmenite deposits in Canada, Norway, Finland and USA supply most of the remainder. The magmatic deposits yield ilmenite with a TiO$_2$ content of 35-40%, whereas the shoreline placer deposits provide ilmenite of higher TiO$_2$ content, including altered ilmenite (60-75% TiO$_2$), leucoxene (76-90% TiO$_2$) and rutile (95% TiO$_2$) [7]. On the other hand, in alluvial sediments, ilmenite alters and Fe is partially leached in a continuous process going to pseudorutile (Fe,Ti,O$_2$) and leucoxene [8]. For that reason, titanium and iron content in the ilmenite may vary significantly, as it can be present in its primary stoichiometric form (FeTiO$_3$) or as weathered ilmenites and mixtures of both types. The weathered ilmenite is the result from the oxidation and partial dissolution by ground water of the iron. In this sense, the progressive removal of this iron by leaching; and the relative increase in the concentration of impurity elements alters the original ilmenite [9]. The TiO$_2$ content can even exceed the 60% when the ore is altered to leucoxene, which is a mixture of rutile or anatase amorphous TiO$_2$ and iron oxides. In addition, ilmenite usually contains some impurities like monazites, spinel, quartz and zircon, as minority mineral [10]. These impurities have a concentration process, remaining associated to the co-products or wastes of the industrial process. Therefore, recovery these minerals prior the industrial process is economic and environmental interesting. In this sense, we have carried out an exhaustive characterisation of the ilmenite used in a titanium-dioxide industry, located in the province of Huelva (Spain), which produces pigments by applying the sulphate process. In this factory, the first residue obtained, known as sludge (or undissolved mud), are enriched in titanium dioxide (around 50% of TiO$_2$), which represents 5% of the total Ti present in the raw material [11,12]. Also, this sludge is formed by several refractory mineral phases as unattached ilmenite, rutile, quartz and zircon coming from the commercial ilmenite used in the industrial process [13,14]. Currently, there are no economic commercial applications for the sludge. Therefore, to recover these mineral phases for subsequent marketing in different fields would be a possibility environmentally and economically appropriate. In addition, this waste is stored in a controlled landfill repository, which implies a cost of about three million euros for its final elimination (including transportation costs). This waste could be reduced by the optimization of the process and a significant improving in the competitiveness of this industry will be produced. In this context, the process optimization is a correct environmental solution to the disposal and economical improving by reducing waste and marketing the minerals. In view of the above, the main objective [15] of this paper is to specify the physico-chemical characterization of the different mineralogical phases presents in the raw material used in a TiO$_2$ pigment industry, and to apply this information in the optimization of the industrial process. Consequently, the isolation and recovery the undissolved mineral phases and other impurities before to entry in the industrial process.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The samples of raw material (“commercial ilmenite named "ILUKA") were provided for use in this study by the titanium dioxide production plant 12 km from the city of Huelva. Five sampling campaigns were organised during a period of 1 month, taking place every 6 days. The objective was to analyse the possible temporal variability in the characteristics of the materials, and to obtain representative samples to be used in the new manufactured materials. After collection, the raw materials were dried at 105°C.

Methods

The identification of the mineral phases was performed by the XRD technique (X-ray diffraction) in a Bruker diffractometer (model DB Advance), using Cu Kα radiation operating at a current of 30 mA, and a voltage of 40 kV. Data were recorded in the 5–70° 2θ range (step size 0.019736° and 0.5 s duration for each step).

According the mineralogical composition of the raw material was carried out the most efficient tuning parameters using a Frantz magnetic barrier separator type LB-1 for concentrating and refining each mineral phase present in our commercial ilmenite. This technique is based on the magnetic susceptibility in the presence of a magnetic field, adapting the intensity, and the incline chute angles, side tilt and forward slope [16].

Then, the fractions obtained were characterized by determining the mineralogical composition (XRD). Moreover, major elements were determined by an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) by using a Jobin Yvon ULTIMA 2 system. Trace elements were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) by using an HP4500® system, at the Research Central Services, in the University of Huelva, after the total dissolution of the samples and its posterior dilution and adaptation for their introduction in the system in 2% nitric acid, following the method of fusion studied by other authors [17]. Finally, the morphology, microscopic structure and microscopic composition of the mineral fractions were carried out by SEM analyses using a JEOL JSM-5410 system, working at 20 kV. It has a backscattered electron detector (BSE), by Tetra Link of Oxford, and a dispersive X-ray spectrometer for EDS.

Results and Discussion

The mineralogical composition of the ore (commercial ilmenite), obtained by XRD, is characterised by a high concentration of ilmenite (FeTiO$_3$), 70 ± 6%, compensated by the presence of pseudorutile (Fe,Ti,O$_2$), 20 ± 3%. Also, is presents, rutile, (7 ± 1%) in accordance with previous results.

Tuning method for mineral separation

After an exhaustive literature review, the authors don not find a specific technique and methodology to isolate each mineral phases in the ilmenite ore. In general the papers present a wide range of adjustment parameters among the methods, but the most efficient technique is the magnetic separation [18,19]. For
Magnetic susceptibility ($\chi$) can be mainly classified according to the type of magnetism and magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_m$) (ilmenite: ferromagnetism and $\chi_m > 1$; pseudorutile and rutile: paramagnetism and $\chi_m = 10^{-6}$; zircon and quartz: diamagnetism and $\chi_m = 10^{-6}$) [20].

To carry out the magnetic separation prior the industrial process, samples were firstly sieved obtaining the thick material (particle size $> 250 \mu m$), which was again grounded and completely sieved smaller than $250 \mu m$. This milling involves no added economic cost, since the raw material is grounded with a particle size $< 70 \mu m$ before entering the industrial process. The magnetic separation method was applied on the fraction between $125$ to $250 \mu m$, as recommended the Frantz’s manual. The fine material (FF) with size smaller than $125 \mu m$ and approximately a $7\%$ of the total RM, was declined for Frantz separation. In addition, it is necessary to remove the high magnetic fraction prior Frantz method (magnetic separation) was applied (Figure 1). According to the manual, the most magnetic fraction was rejected to avoid interferences, because its high magnetic susceptibility could influence in the behaviour of another particles [18].

The highest magnetic material was obtained by a hand magnet from the HMF (Hand Magnet Fraction), around a $5\%$ of the total RM. Besides, the magnetic material obtained in first separation (approximately a $16\%$ of the total RM) was saved and later mixed with the ilmenite fraction (IF), due to it is composed mostly of ilmenite. Furthermore, the magnetic material obtained in second separation is the fraction called “ilmenite fraction” (IF). The rest of the sample was processed according the third separation (Figure 1) giving the last two fractions, the magnetic material is called “rutile fraction” (RF) and the non-magnetic as “zircon fraction” (ZF).

Previously, an optimisation in the use of Frantz was performed according several tests used setting as in the bibliography (test 1-4) and test 5 was proposed by us (Table 1), where the intensity, side tilt and forward slope were changed in order to achieve the optimum group of parameters. Agreeing to the mineral composition, the most efficient parameters correspond with test 5 (Table 2), where the ilmenite concentration is around $89\%$. In addition, test 5 also shows the most efficient in the separation of rutile and zircon, with $58$ and $62\%$, respectively. The other fractions obtained present a wide variety and concentrations of minerals, due to the presence of minerals with similar values of magnetic susceptibility. As explained below, the deposits that may have some mineral species in nature can alter the results, i.e., minerals that are usually diamagnetic may appear as paramagnetic under certain conditions of alteration.

### Mineral characterization

Fine fraction, (FF), <125 microns, is formed by several mineral phases as rutile, pseudorutile, ilmenite, zircon, quartz and monazite (<5%). On the other hand, (Figure 2) the hand magnet fraction (HMF), shown a mineralogical compositions of ilmenite and magnetite ($Fe_3O_4$) with $30$ and $70\%$ respectively, which are the minerals with the highest magnetic susceptibility. Ilmenite fraction (IF) present the characteristic peaks of ilmenite and pseudorutile (Figure 2A) [21], with $89$ and $11\%$ respectively (Table 2; test 5). The rutile fraction (RF) corresponds to a mix of minerals such as rutile (58%), zircon (36%) and a minimal presence of pseudorutile. Also, in this fraction a minimum amount of spinel (<5%) is detected (Figure 2B).

#### Table 1: Posed setup parameters. I: Intensity Applied (A), S: Side Tilt (°), F: Forward Slope (°).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>1st Separation</th>
<th>2nd Separation</th>
<th>3rd Separation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I=0.05; S=30; F=10</td>
<td>I=0.4; S=30; F=10</td>
<td>I=1.4; S=30; F=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I=0.1; S=25; F=15</td>
<td>I=0.4; S=25; F=15</td>
<td>I=1.4; S=25; F=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I=0.3; S=25; F=10</td>
<td>I=0.3; S=25; F=10</td>
<td>I=1.2; S=25; F=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I=0.05; S=15; F=10</td>
<td>I=0.2; S=15; F=10</td>
<td>I=1.2; S=15; F=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I=0.05; S=12; F=15</td>
<td>I=0.2; S=12; F=15</td>
<td>I=1; S=12; F=15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2: Mineralogical composition (%) crystalline phase by XRD for each fraction obtained by the Frantz after each separation in the different test. I (Ilmenite); Ps (Pseudorutile); S (Spinel); R (Rutile); Z (Zircon); Q (Quartz).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ilmenite Fraction</th>
<th>Rutile Fraction</th>
<th>Zircon Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.D. Not Detected.
study of the non-magnetic fraction, called “zircon fraction” (ZF), shows the characteristic peaks of the three main mineral phases, zircon (62%), but a low content of quartz (22%) and rutile (16%) (Figure 2C). Finally, it is important to note that these mineral phases remain in the un-dissolved mud, obtained in the industrial process, where several species are observed: ilmenite and rutile, with a percentage of 22% and 34%, respectively, and additionally zircon (12%), quartz (13%), and Fe and Ti oxides (18%) (Fe$_3$Ti$_2$O$_{10}$) [12,13]. The high percentage of rutile and the detection and quantification in the mud of the aforementioned mineral phases (zircon and quartz) cannot be considered as surprising, since all of these species are insoluble in sulphuric acid. Therefore, with this previous magnetic separation, these insoluble mineral phases can be removed of the final mud.

**Major and trace elements**

The chemical analysis by ICP–OES of major and trace elements is shown in Table 3. RM contain mainly two major elements, Ti and Fe (37% and 36%), as expected, because of typical ilmenite deposit contains about 45-65% of TiO$_2$ and around 50% of iron, given as FeO [22]. In addition, lower concentrations of Si, Mn, and Zr with a concentration around 1.6, 1.4 and 0.07% respectively are obtained. Also, it presents trace elements like La, Co and Ce (217, 51 and 396 mg kg$^{-1}$, respectively) as impurities associated to pseudorutile phase. This fact is not unexpected; because it is documented, that pseudorutile presents higher levels of associated trace elements. For example, elements such as Mn, Ce, and Co may substitute Fe or Ti in the original ilmenite lattice, while elements like Al, Si, Th, P and Cr are commonly incorporated into the ilmenite grains during the chemical weathering [23]. For example, the Australian ilmenite concentrates are invariably contaminated with chrome-bearing spinel grains, which are difficult to remove using standard physical separation methods [24].

The FF values are similar to the RM (raw material), except the high concentration of P and Ce, approximately a factor 3 and 2 respectively. These data have been supported further by SEM studies, because appear small particles of monazite (Ce (Ce, La, Pr, Nd, Th, and Y) PO$_4$). HMF and IF show similar values to RM in most of the major elements, but in iron, HFM presents the highest concentrations with 52% of Fe. According to the mineralogical analysis, because it presents the most magnetic mineral (ilmenite and magnetite), composed mainly by Fe. On the hand, RF presents a lower concentration of Fe (2%) due to the presence of pseudorutile, observed by XRD (Table 2). Taking into account the B, RF presents a new mineralogical phase, called spinel (MgAl$_2$O$_4$), and logically presents the highest concentration of Al, and Mg with 7.6 and 3.5%, respectively, a factor 10 higher than in the RM. In addition, due to contain certain quantity of zircon mineral, it is

**Table 3:** Chemical composition of the analysed samples by ICP-OES for the major elements (wt.%) and by ICP-MS for the trace elements (mg/kg). RM (Raw Material); FF (Fine Fraction); HMF (High Magnetic Fraction); IF (Ilmenite Fraction); RF (Rutile Fraction); ZF (Zircon Fraction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RM</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>HMF</th>
<th>IF</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>ZF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>1089</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5 shows a representative SEM and EDX spectrum corresponding to the ZF (zircon fraction). The morphology is quite complex according SEM image. In relation with EDX, particles contain mainly silicon and titanium with smaller proportions of zirconium and aluminium. For example, particle “A” presents as major elements zirconium and silicon, compatible with the presence of zircon mineral, (ZrSiO₄), while particle B composed solely of silicon, corresponds to quartz (SiO₂). Rutile particles are also detected (particle C).

Due to the complexity of the different phases, a polished probe of 33 mm (Figure 6) was prepared with RM (raw material) sieved between 125 and 250 microns. These analyses were made to (a) confirm the complete range of alteration products and to establish the chemical composition of each phase, (b) determine the major element constituents, and (c) study the particles in the surface and inside.

The sample is predominantly composed by ilmenite and its alteration products, with a continuous transition from pure ilmenite, through intermediate alteration phases, such as leached ilmenite, pseudorutile and leached pseudorutile to secondary rutile [31]. Also, there are many types and shapes of particles, where some of them reveal its internal shape, (Figure 6A-C). A separate study of each different areas, dark (zone 1) and shiny (zone 2) was conducting. In addition, a third zone (grey area) appears in some cases, demonstrating that the grains contain different concentrations of iron, titanium, aluminium and silicon.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

A representative SEM image for the IF can be observed in Figure 3. EDX spectrum reveals the grains contain major elements as iron and titanium, agree XRD data, showing the typical composition of ilmenite particle (FeTiO₃), 47% of FeO and 53% of TiO₂ [26]. A detailed SEM analysis allows us to know the morphology, formed mainly by ilmenite particles with pseudotabular morphologies. Other ilmenite grains present rhombohedra and tabular morphology, and very uniform composition (Figure 3A), being Ti and Fe the main elements with traces of Mn. On the other hand, the particle “B” is formed by three-quarters of titanium and a quarter of iron (Figure 3B), in agreement with a mineral known as pseudorutile (Fe₆Ti₄O₁₉) [27]. Finally, extremely shiny microscopic particles were detected in a low proportion (Figure 3C). These particles give a typical heavy metal mineral composition (Nb, Ce, and Th), corresponding to the rare earth metals: cerium, lanthanum and thorium, associated with a mineral phosphate group, called monazite [28].

RF shows a high heterogeneity (Figure 4) in accordance with the morphology. In the EDX spectrum, grains mainly contain Zr, Si, Ti and Al, corresponding to zircon (ZrSiO₄), rutile (Figure 4A) (TiO₂) and spinel, respectively. In addition, low iron content is present, consistent with the presence of pseudorutile. A specific analysis by SEM-EDX, shown that Figure 4A is majority composed by titanium, with a remainder of iron, according to the typical composition of rutile (>90 wt.% TiO₂) [29]. Smaller particles (around 150 μm) are also observed, with a high heterogeneous morphology and composition (Figure 4B), containing mainly aluminium and small proportions of silicon, titanium and iron content. This figure shows a typical spectrum of aluminium silicate, corresponding with the minerals group known as spinel.

In this fraction appears, as in the ilmenite fraction (IF), the presence of pseudorutile (particle C). Moreover, another mineral is observed, particle D, containing major elements as zirconium and silicon, with similar values to the typical particle of zirconium silicate (ZrSiO₄), 67% to 33% of SiO₂ and ZrO₂ [30].

no surprising the high concentration of Zr (2.1%), 30 times upper than in RM sample. ZF fraction shows the lowest concentration in Fe (0.46%), because not present mineral phases containing Fe, as ilmenite or pseudorutile. Nevertheless, it presents the highest concentration of Zr (4.4%), 60 times upper than RM. Also shown 11% of Ti, being congruent with its mineralogical composition. Moreover, ZF has the smallest concentration in Mn, a factor 100 than in the RM, due this element is associated to the presence of ilmenite [25]. Also, uranium and thorium are accumulated in the ZF fraction, as in the ilmenite fraction (IF), the presence of pseudorutile (particle C). Moreover, another mineral is observed, particle D, containing major elements as zirconium and silicon, with similar values to the typical particle of zirconium silicate (ZrSiO₄), 67% to 33% of SiO₂ and ZrO₂ [30].
in each area. The difference in iron concentration occurs due to the weathering of the ore, which leaches out the iron from the ilmenite lattice leaving a Ti rich region [32].

Furthermore, zircon can also vary its magnetic susceptibility depending on the composition, according to its presence in both RF (rutile fraction) as ZF (zircon fraction). Pedogenic index presented by zircon, leads us to assume it is weather-proof. However, this assumption has not tested, features appear to be evidence of wear in zircon produced by the mobility of Zr. This evidence is similar to other researchers besides zircon can present impurities by the intrusion of another mineral, Figure 7B. Zircon is formed mainly by zirconium and silicon, zone 1 (light grey) and presents an impurity inside the particle, zone 2 (dark grey). This impurity is formed by titanium and iron, but the iron content is higher than a typical ilmenite particle [33,34]. As explained before, diamagnetic mineral may appear as paramagnetic under certain conditions of alteration, hindering their total isolation (Figure 7).

**Environmental and economical implications**

According the different fractions obtained during this procedure, some minerals with economical interest are isolated and can be removed prior the industrial process. So, taking into account, the 142000 tons of raw material (RM) used per year in the factory of Huelva. Fine fraction, 7% of total RM, presents mineral like monazite (<5%), making up 9940 tons of FF and around of 497 tons of monazite. Furthermore, the hand magnet fraction (HMF), about 5% of the total RM, is formed mostly by magnetite (70%), making up 7100 tons of HMF and 4970 tons of magnetite. Finally, from RF and ZF, a 2% of the total RM, making up 2840 tons of both fractions. Therefore, around of 60 tons of spinel and 923 tons zircon can be obtained from both fraction, respectively. On the other hand, the Zr and Rutile fraction can be mixed and subsequently treated with HCl to extract the remaining Ti or directly used as raw material in the process via chloride. The zircon and spinel are not affected by the acid attack achieving the separation of these elements from the removed Ti.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4** Representative SEM (BSE) image of the **“rutile fraction sample (backscattered secondary electron mode) and EDX spectrum. (A) SEM BSE-EDX analysis of a specific rutile particle. (B) SEM BSE-EDX analysis of a specific spinel particle.**

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**Figure 5** Representative SEM (BSE) image of the **“zircon fraction sample (backscattered secondary electron mode) and EDX spectrum. (A) SEM BSE-EDX analysis of a specific zircon particle. (B) SEM BSE-EDX analysis of a specific quartz particle.**
Also, in this procedure about of 86% of the RM forms the Ilmenite fraction, which around 89% is formed by ilmenite mineral. It makes up 122120 tons of IF and approximately 108687 tons of pure ilmenite.

In the experimental protocol proposed in this study, with some adjustments in this separation process, as well as with the use of other ore separation technologies, most of the mineral can be isolate prior to the industrial process. Avoiding these fractions minerals, which cannot be dissolved in the digestion step, come in the industrial process and therefore in the final residue (undissolved mud). The magnitude of this generated waste is around 30,000 tons per year, which until now have not had any use, and therefore it is disposed of in an authorized waste repository. Therefore, this procedure would not only help to optimize the industrial process but could also reduce the potential risk of pollution from the undissolved mud stack to the environment.

**Summary and Conclusions**

The general results obtained in this work were firstly to develop a magnetic method to isolate the mineral phases in the titanium dioxide industry ore (commercial ilmenite), being test number 5 the set parameters more efficiency according separation, see Table 2. The results obtained with our ”setting” are better than these obtained following the Frantz methodology (test 1-4).

Secondly, physico-chemical characterization of each mineral from the ore was carried out. It is composed of ilmenite and its alteration products (ilmenite unchanged, leached ilmenite, pseudorutile, leached pseudorutile and rutile). Only three different diffraction patterns can be detected among all the different phases of transformation ilmenite, pseudorutile and rutile, by XRD. Leached ilmenite and leached pseudorutile are transitional metastable phases and show the same structure as the respective parent minerals, ilmenite and pseudorutile, until the structure breaks down completely. According SEM result, these particles were originally pure particles of ilmenite, due to the weathering fracture lines have formed inside the grains. Subsequently, located in these fractures, occurs an alteration and transformation of the original mineral, appearing different transformation stages. With the progressive loss of iron, it is transformed from ilmenite (shiny areas), to pseudorutile (intermediate areas) and lastly with the total loss, to rutile (dark areas).
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**Figure 6** Representative SEM (BSE) image of the polished probe “raw material sample (secondary electron mode). (A) SEM-EDX analysis of specific particle A. (B) SEM-EDX analysis of a specific pseudorutile particle B. (C) SEM-EDX analysis of a specific pseudorutile particle C.

![Figure 7](image2)

**Figure 7** SEM-EDX analysis of: (A) zircon particle 1; (B) zircon particle 2.
In more detail, our results indicate that the main raw material used (ilmenite) has a variable mineralogical and elemental composition. The concentrate contains small amounts (1.5-2%) of others minerals potentially economics (monazite is associated with the fine fraction, spinel with the rutil fraction, quartz with the zircon fraction, etc.). The minerals contained in the ilmenite are not pure (physical mixes of them), implies the fact that it is impossible to isolate 100% the different mineral fractions initially present in the raw material.

According the different fractions obtained during this procedure, some minerals with potential economic interest can be removed from the ore prior the industrial process, like monazite in FF, magnetite in HMF, spinel in RF, and zircon in ZF. Obtaining an ilmenite ore mostly formed by ilmenite mineral. Furthermore, this procedure optimizes the industrial process and could also reduce the waste generation (undissolved mud) and the potential environment risk.
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