Cure of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus by an Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell TransplantationPerformed to ControlMultiple Myeloma in a Patient with ChronicRenal Failure on Regular Hemodialysis

Abstract

Management of patients with multiple myeloma having several coexisting comorbid medical conditions is a real challenge as complications related to the primary disease, its treatment and other comorbidities are prone to occur. In such complicated cases, an individualized therapy has to be adopted.

A 45 year old male, with pre-existing end-stage renal disease, diabetes mellitus and hypertension, was diagnosed to have multiple myeloma in October 2012 at King Fahad Specialist Hospital in Dammam, Saudi Arabia. The diagnosis of diabetes was made one year prior to the diagnosis of his myeloma and he was receiving 40 to 50 units of insulin per day to control his diabetes. After receiving 4 cycles of bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone, his myeloma was controlled then in March 2013, an autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation was performed. One month following his autograft, his insulin requirements started to decrease gradually and 4 months post-transplantation his diabetes became insulin independent. For more than 4 years after his stem cell transplantation, both his diabetes and multiple myeloma are still under control. To our knowledge, this is the first world report of diabetes mellitus cured by stem cell therapy performed to control a hematologic malignancy.
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a clonal plasma cell malignancy that accounts for 1% of all cancers and 10% of hematologic malignancies [1-3]. The diagnosis of MM requires: (1) ≥10% clonal bone marrow (BM) plasma cells or biopsy-proven plasmacytoma, and (2) evidence of ≥1 MM defining events: evidence of end-organ damage such as hypercalcemia, anemia, lytic bone lesions and renal insufficiency (RI); BM clonal plasma cells ≥60%; serum involved: uninvolved free light chain ratio ≥100 and > one focal lesion on magnetic resonance imaging [1,3,4].

Patients with MM having RI can be treated with triplet combinations using either bortezomib- or thalidomide-based regimens [2,5-9]. Historically, patients with pre-existing chronic kidney disease have been excluded from hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) which is a potentially life-saving therapeutic modality [5,10,11]. However, high-dose chemotherapy (HDC) followed by HSCT is becoming more widely used in patients with comorbid medical conditions including those with RI [10]. Additionally, there have been several reports in the literature describing successful not only autologous HSCT (auto-HSCT) but also allogeneic HSCT for patients with chronic kidney disorders including those with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) receiving hemodialysis [10].
Case presentation
A 45 year old Saudi male, with preexisting diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension and ESRD, was diagnosed to have MM, IgG lambda, stage III in October 2012 at King Fahad Specialist Hospital (KFSH) in Dammam. He presented with > 60% clonal BM plasma cells, anemia, ESRD, an elevated B2 microglobulin and bone lytic lesions but without any cytogenetic abnormality. His ESRD was diagnosed in June 2012 and he was commenced on regular hemodialysis. The diagnosis of DM was made in October 2011. For the first 6 months, he was receiving oral hypoglycemic agents without control of his blood glucose levels. After having one episode of diabetic ketoacidosis, he was shifted to insulin therapy. His daily insulin requirements were ranging between 40 and 50 units of insulin. His parents, one of his children and 4 of his siblings are known diabetics.

For his MM, the patient received 4 cycles of bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone (VRD) regimen, the last cycle was started in late January 2013. Restaging evaluation of his myeloma showed excellent biochemical responses and decrease in BM monoclonal plasma cells to <10%. Thereafter, the patient was prepared for auto-HSCT so he underwent stem cell mobilization with cyclophosphamide and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF). Peripheral blood stem cell collection was performed by apheresis on the 10th and 11th of March 2013 and the total number of CD34+ stem cells collected was 8.62 × 106/kilogram (kg) body weight. On 12/03/2013, the patient had no new complaints and his physical examination revealed no new abnormality. His laboratory evaluation showed normal blood counts and biochemical profile apart from elevated serum creatinine due to his ESRD. His fasting blood glucose was 5.1 mmol/L and his hemoglobin A1C was 4.8%. No new medication was prescribed and a new appointment was scheduled. As the patient is on the waiting list for cadaveric kidney transplantation, the following will be taken into consideration in case of having a renal allograft: close follow up for his MM, bortezomib maintenance therapy and infection prophylaxis including isoniazid.

Discussion
The therapeutic strategies of MM have changed dramatically over the past decade mainly due to the incorporation of novel therapies; namely bortezomib, thalidomide and lenalidomide; into the frontline treatment of MM as these agents have improved the survival of patients treated with chemotherapy alone [12-15]. Several studies have shown that VRD regimen is well tolerated and highly effective in the treatment of newly diagnosed MM [16-21]. The new novel drugs that include: (1) new immunomodulatory agents such as pomalidomide, (2) next generation proteasome inhibitors such as carfilzomib and ixazomib, (3) histone acetylase inhibitors such as panobinostat, and (4) monoclonal antibodies such as daratumumab and elotuzumab have already shown promising results in the treatment of MM patients [5,22]. Compared to conventional chemotherapy alone, HDC followed by auto-HSCT had been shown to improve the depth of response and prolong progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) [12,13,23,24]. Hence, HDC followed by auto-HSCT, performed early in the course of the disease or at the time of relapse, has become the standard of care for patients with MM younger than 65 years of age [16-18,24]. Eligibility for HSCT is determined by age, performance status and presence as well as severity of certain comorbid medical conditions [12]. However; age, performance status and renal dysfunction are not exclusion criteria to safe transplantation, but frailty of the patient is a major determinant of eligibility for HSCT [24]. For candidates of auto-HSCT with normal renal function the standard conditioning therapy is high-dose melphalan 200 mg/m², whereas for patients having serum creatinine >2 mg/dL, the dose of melphalan should be reduced to 140 mg/m² [5,12]. Studies have shown that in adult patients with MM, VRD regimen followed by auto-HSCT has been associated with significantly longer PFS but not OS compared to VRD alone and that auto-HSCT with VRD induction and consolidation followed by lenalidomide maintenance has produced high-quality responses and favorable tolerability in patients with newly diagnosed MM [16,18,22]. However, the only potentially curative therapeutic intervention for MM is allogeneic HSCT, but at the expense of high therapy-related mortality (TRM) [12].

In patients with MM, the causes of RI include: myeloma cast nephropathy which is the commonest cause, excess of monoclonal free light chains causing proximal renal tubular damage, dehydration, infectious complications, hypercalcemia, hyperuricemia, use of nephrotoxic drugs and contrast media, hyperviscosity, myeloma cell infiltration and amyloid deposition...
RI is one of the most common complications of MM [5-8,11,25]. Between 20% and 50% of patients with newly diagnosed MM present with RI while 40-50% of patients develop RI during the course of the disease [5,7,8]. Also, up to 20% of newly diagnosed patients with MM have renal failure (RF) with serum creatinine >2 mg/dL and about 5% of myeloma patients have dialysis-dependent RF at presentation [5,11].

Currently, bortezomib and dexamethasone triplet combinations are the standard therapy for patients with MM having RI as they have been associated with high response rates and recovery of renal function [5-8,25]. Thalidomide and lenalidomide can also be considered for patients who cannot receive bortezomib and their use has been shown to cause significant improvement in renal function [25]. In early chemotherapy trials: RF was considered a predictor of poor prognosis, patients with hemodialysis were reported to have a poorer prognosis, and RF was considered a marker of high tumor burden and inadequate therapy [11]. Also, patients with MM having RI were considered ineligible for auto-HSCT because of the concerns about higher rates of treatment-related toxicity and non-relapse mortality (NRM), mainly due to mucositis, infectious complications and encephalopathy [5,11]. Recent studies have shown that auto-HSCT in patients with MM and RF has been associated with partial or complete recovery of renal function even in dialysis-dependent patients [11]. Therefore, auto-HSCT can be offered to patients with MM and RF with acceptable toxicity and NRM and a significant improvement in renal function in one third of these patients [5,11]. In patients with MM and RF, a melphalan dose of 200 mg/m² can be administered in the conditioning therapy of auto-HSCT without an increase in toxicity and NRM [11].

There are 2 types of stem cells in the BM: hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [26]. MSCs are multipotent, non-hematopoietic, stromal or progenitor cells that are capable of differentiating into multiple lineages or various cell types belonging to the mesoderm germ layer including adipocytes, osteocytes and chondrocytes [27-29]. Sources of MSCs include: BM which is the richest source, peripheral blood, umbilical cord blood (UCB) and placenta, endometrium and menstrual blood, adipose tissue, skeletal muscle and dental pulp [26,29-39]. Studies have shown that MSCs express the following surface markers: CD73, CD90, CD105, CD166, CD106, CD10, CD13, CD26, CD28, CD33, CD44, CD49a, CD49b, CD71, CD164, CD166, CD271, human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-class 1, Stro-1, SSEA-4 and ITGA11 and they lack the expression of: CD34, CD45, CD14 or CD11b, CD79a or CD19, CD31 and HLA-DR [27,28,35,40,41]. The international society of cellular therapy has established the following minimal criteria to define human MSCs: (1) MSCs must be plastic-adherent when maintained in standard culture conditions, (2) expression of the following surface markers: CD73, CD90 and CD105 and lack of expression of: CD34, CD45, CD14 or CD11b, CD79a or CD19 and HLA-DR, and (3) ability to differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes and chondrocytes [29,32,33,42]. However, several studies have shown that MSCs; obtained from BM, adipose tissue and other sources; do express CD34 surface marker [37,43-45]. Also, several studies have revealed that growth factors such as G-CSF can mobilize not only HSCs but also MSCs from the BM into the peripheral blood in order for these stem cells to be harvested by apheresis [36,38].

In comparison to the utilization of BM, the use of mobilized peripheral blood stem cells is the method of choice for the following reasons: (1) increased production of HSCs and progenitor cells, (2) shorter time period required for a satisfactory repopulation of the BM after stem cell infusion, (3) faster engraftment with more rapid recovery of neutrophils and platelets, (4) few platelet and packed red blood cell transfusions, (5) faster lymphocyte reconstitution, (6) fewer febrile reactions, (7) fewer regimen-related morbidity and mortality with less pain and lower risk to patients, and (8) fewer technical difficulties [36,46]. The agents that are used in the peripheral blood progenitor cell mobilization include: growth factors such as G-CSF, cyclophosphamide in combination with G-CSF, and plerixafor (CXC4R, chemokine receptor type-4 inhibitor) which is used to increase the yield of stem cell collections in patients experiencing poor mobilization [47-50]. However, G-CSF is the predominant stem cell mobilizer used clinically [36]. Poor mobilization occurs in up to 25% of patients and it has the following risk factors: lymphoma or MM as the primary illness, heavily pre-treated cancer patients with chemotherapy ± radiotherapy, genetic diseases such as Fanconi anemia, poor BM reserve due to low cellularity, old age, low baseline CD34+ cell count prior to apheresis and low platelet count before mobilization [36,46]. As mobilized peripheral blood progenitor cells are the main sources for auto-HSCT, collection of adequate number these cells is a critical step in the auto-HSCT setting [47]. The goal of CD34+ cell mobilization is to collect enough cells to achieve a rapid and sustained hematopoietic recovery after HDC, since delayed hematopoietic recovery is associated with increased toxicity and TRM [48]. Stem cell doses ≥2 x 10⁶/kg body weight of the recipient is associated with slower hematopoietic recovery and worse outcome [48].

The presence of circulating MSCs in animal models is well established [51]. Also, studies have shown their presence in fetal and neonatal circulation [52]. Initially, there was controversy regarding the presence of MSCs in the circulation of human adults and there was an impression that BM-derived MSCs or stromal progenitor cells cannot be recovered from peripheral blood progenitor cell collections obtained from healthy donors or patients who underwent peripheral stem cell collections after mobilization [51,53-55]. Later on studies have shown the following: (1) presence of small numbers of MSCs in the peripheral blood of adults in the steady state, (2) MSCs can be isolated from peripheral blood during stem cell collections or in the presence of trauma or inflammation, and (3) not only presence of MSCs among mobilized peripheral blood stem cells in the circulation but also their migration to the BM in order for them engraft at a later stage [53,56-59]. Other studies have also shown that HSCs and progenitor cells are mobilized from the BM into the peripheral blood under the following circumstances: stem cell mobilization using chemotherapeutic agents or growth factors, tissue injuries or trauma, hypoxia, stroke and inflammatory conditions [46,52,57-60]. Successful transplantation of HSCs and progenitor cells is based on their ability to home to the BM and on their engraftment capacity [46]. Homing of HSCs and progenitor cells
is a rapid process that takes place during the hours following stem cell infusion and is an essential requirement for repopulation and engraftment [46].

In patients with DM, mobilization of HSCs is impaired when G-CSF is used in the mobilization protocols [61-64]. The use of plerixafor in the mobilization protocols overcomes the impaired HSC mobilization caused by hyperglycemia in patients with DM [61,64]. Also, studies have shown that: (1) BM-derived MSCs are better tolerated and more effective than BM-mononuclear cells for increasing limb perfusion and promoting healing of foot ulcers in patients with DM and critical limb ischemia, and (2) the success of cellular therapy is independent of the number of MSCs present in the BM aspirate used in autologous stem cell therapy [65,66].

Hyperglycemia, DM and metabolic syndrome are well-known complications of HSCT particularly the allogeneic forms [67-69]. In recipients of HSCT, hyperglycemia and uncontrolled DM have been reported to be associated with an adverse outcome as they contribute to early and higher morbidity and mortality mainly due to infectious complications [69,70].

There have been several reports on transient resolution or even complete remission of psoriasis and other autoimmune disorders (AIDs) such as rheumatoid arthritis following either auto-HSCT or allogeneic transplantation performed for patients with various malignant hematological diseases [71-74]. Recently, considerable advances have been made in our understanding of the immunology of AIDs and their treatment with HSCT [75]. Several animal studies and human clinical trials have provided convincing evidence that following HSCT, the immune system is normalized or reset [75]. More than 3000 HSCT procedures for severe AIDs have been performed worldwide and the published clinical trials that included sufficient follow-up have demonstrated safety and efficacy of HSCT for AIDs [75,76]. However, the most beneficial effects of stem cell therapies in AIDs are those performed for severe refractory AIDs, particularly systemic sclerosis where a randomized clinical trial has shown significant long-term PFS benefit of HSCT [75-77].

DM affects millions of people worldwide and is associated with serious complications that affect all body organs [78-80]. The available therapeutic modalities for DM include: diet and lifestyle modifications, oral hypoglycemic agents, insulin injections and islet cell transplantation [79,81,82]. The efficacy of auto-HSCT for type 1 DM was first reported in the year 2007 [83]. Since then, several studies have confirmed not only the safety but also the efficacy of this procedure in both types of DM [83-86]. The combination of high-dose immunosuppressive therapy and HSC infusions function synergistically to: downregulate the autoreactive T-cells, renew the immune system and improve the immune regulatory networks. Also, this combination has been shown to induce insulin independence in patients with type 1 DM [84-87].

Animal studies have suggested that embryonic stem cells (ESCs), induced pluripotent stem cells and MSCs can differentiate into insulin-producing cells and their use can ultimately lead to regulation of insulin secretion [78,85]. The increased C-peptide levels and the decreased HbA1C levels encountered in both animal and human trials have shown that stem cell therapies offer an effective and a promising treatment for type 1 and possibly type 2 DM [78,85]. Recent clinical trials in humans have utilized various stem cell therapies including: HSCs, UCB stem cells, ESCs and MSCs [78,80,85]. An open-label, phase I/phase II study using a technique called stem cell educator therapy has definitely proven the efficacy of human UCB-derived stem cells in simultaneously reversing autoimmunity via systemic and local immunomodulation and promoting islet β-cell regeneration [88]. Also, a meta-analysis on the use of stem cell therapies in DM, published in 2016, came to the following conclusions: (1) stem cell therapies could represent a safe and an effective therapeutic modality in selected patients, particularly if applied early in the course of the disease, (2) the best outcome was achieved with CD34+/HSC therapies for type 1DM, and (3) UCB-derived MSCs provided significantly higher beneficial outcome than BM-derived MSCs [80]. Therefore, the era of cellular replacement therapy for DM has already begun as such therapies have shown that the application of these therapies to cure DM appears to be extremely promising [79,81]. However, despite being considered highly innovative therapeutic modalities, cellular therapies are still experimental and they should be performed only by highly experienced centers worldwide [79]. Additionally, the combination of islet cell transplantation and stem cell therapeutics is another promising approach that may ultimately become curative for type 1 DM [81,89,90].

The patient presented had an advanced MM with several comorbid medical conditions that had significant impact on his management. Due to his severely impaired immunity, several infectious complications including bacterial sepsis, cytomegalovirus infection and pulmonary TB were encountered. Prompt treatment of these infections was translated into successful outcome. Cure of his DM can be explained by: (1) the effectiveness of auto-HSCT, regardless the indication, in controlling DM, and (2) the presence of large numbers of MSCs in the circulation on the day of transplantation due to the recent infections and due to the possible mobilization of these cells, together with HSCs, from the BM after cyclophosphamide and G-CSF. Not only the infused HSCs, but also these MSCs homed to the BM niche, then both cell populations engrafted and this ultimately lead to the cure of both DM and MM.

In conclusion: the management of patients with MM having DM and ESRD should be tailored according to these comorbid medical conditions. Life-threatening complications that may be encountered should be treated appropriately. Considering a curative approach not only for MM, but also for DM and ESRD is considered highly innovative therapeutic modalities, cellular therapies are still experimental and they should be performed only by highly experienced centers worldwide [79]. Additionally, the combination of islet cell transplantation and stem cell therapeutics is another promising approach that may ultimately become curative for type 1 DM [81,89,90].
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