Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold in a Patient with Metal Allergies and the Autoimmune/Autoinflammatory Syndrome Induced by Adjuvants
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Abstract

Introduction: We present a case of a patient with a history of severe allergies to components of metal-based stent platforms and refractory angina due to a critically obstructive lesion in the mid right coronary artery.

Background: Patients with metal allergies to components of metallic stent alloys have limited options in regards to percutaneous coronary intervention. Bioresorbable vascular scaffolds (BVS) may have a role in revascularization in this subset of patients.

Methods/Results: Single case report from a tertiary care center of a 76-year-old female with multiple metal allergies and autoimmune inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA syndrome) undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for unstable angina via the femoral approach. The calcified RCA lesion was successfully debulked with rotational atherectomy followed by intravascular ultrasound/optical coherence tomography guided BVS implantation with excellent stent expansion and apposition. The patient had an uneventful post procedure course and her symptoms improved dramatically 60 days post procedure.

Conclusions: To our knowledge, this is the first report of BVS implantation in a patient with multiple metal allergies and ASIA syndrome. BVS provides a more optimal, safer percutaneous revascularization strategy in patients with these rare conditions.
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Introduction

The patient is a 76-year-old female physician who presented with three months history of worsening exertional dyspnea to walking one block with occasional dyspnea at rest. In addition, she complained of intermittent effort related “shocking discomfort” over the neck and shoulders which radiated to the left side of her chest. Her past medical history includes hyperlipidemia, hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, breast cancer in-situ treated with lumpectomy and raloxifine, Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, and the autoimmune inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA, or Schoenfeld’s syndrome). Patient has history of multiple allergies included latex and colchicine which caused a rash as well as diffusional which caused anaphylaxis. In addition, prior skin tests confirmed allergies to a litany of metals including silver, titanium, bismuth, cobalt, and nickel.

Initial work up by her primary cardiologist for her progressive effort related dyspnea and chest discomfort included an unremarkable ECG and an echocardiogram revealed mild diastolic dysfunction, mild mitral regurgitation with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. A calcium score of 1,414 was noted on cardiac computed tomography. As a result, she was referred to an outside institution for right and left heart catheterization, which revealed mild pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure=29 mmHg) with a pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of 17 mmHg and an obstructive, heavily calcified 90% lesion in the mid right coronary artery (Figure 1A). Medications at that time included methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, nebivolol, losartan, atorvastatin, esomeprazole, meloxicam, levethyroxine, prednisone, furosemide, and ospemifene. She took topical diphenhydramine and alprazolam as needed. She was intolerant of additional anti-anginals: calcium channel blockers caused symptomatic hypotension, and long-acting nitrates caused headaches.

She was initially evaluated by a cardiothoracic surgeon who in turn referred her to an interventional cardiologist (SCW). Following her office visit, bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS)
implantation seemed to be the most reasonable treatment strategy for the patient. Since the radiopaque markers in commercially available BVS are made of platinum, she was referred to an allergist who performed platinum allergy testing, which was negative.

Methods/Results

The patient underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) via the right femoral approach using a 7F Amplatzer Left 0.75 guiding catheter (Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA). The heavily calcified lesion was modified with rotational atherectomy (RA) using a 1.5 mm burr (Boston Scientific, Natick, MA). Once the lesion was debulked, optimal predilation was achieved using multiple inflations with non-compliant balloons: 3.0 × 15 mm balloon at 20 atm for 20 s and a 3.25 × 15 mm balloon at 18 atm for 20 s (Quantum NC, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA) (Figure 1B). Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) (Volcano, San Diego, CA) was used to confirm optimal lesion predilation and for lesion length/BVS sizing. Two overlapping 3.0 × 18 mm Absorb GT1 BVS (Abbott Vascular, Temacula, CA) were implanted, both deployed at 8 atm of pressure for 60 s. The scaffolds were post dilated with two 3.5 × 15 mm non-compliant balloons (NC Trek, Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA and Empira NC, Cordis, Johnson & Johnson, Miami, FL) at 19 atm for 60 s. A second post-dilatation with Empira NC (Cordis, Johnson & Johnson, Miami, FL) at 18 atm for 20 s was necessary due to scaffold under-expansion (Figure 1C).

![Figure 1: Angiography revealed a heavily calcified lesion (white arrow) in the mid RCA (A); After rotational atherectomy and balloon dilatation (B); After BVS implantation and post dilation (C).](image)

However, we were unable to confirm full embedment of the struts into the vessel wall due to inadequate scaffold/endoluminal surface interface imaging (Figure 2A). We proceeded to use optical coherence tomography (St. Jude St Paul, MN) which revealed excellent scaffold expansion, and full embedment of the scaffold struts without evidence of edge dissection (Figure 2B). Her post procedural course was uncomplicated and the patient was discharged the following day without incident. Two months post procedure, her dyspnea and atypical chest pain had improved remarkably. Her exercise tolerance also increased from a baseline of one block to several blocks two months and she is actively participating in cardiac rehabilitation.

![Figure 2: IVUS (A) and OCT (B) images following high pressure dilatation post stent implant.](image)

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first case report of BVS implantation in a patient with multiple metal allergies and ASIA. Although the polymers on current metal-based DES platforms provide a barrier between metal and patient’s blood/arterial wall, the potential for polymer disruption with subsequent metal exposure on stent deployment has been demonstrated in a number of DES platforms [1]. While the risk of a polymer coating defect is small, for patients with severe allergies to the metal components of coronary stents, the specter of allergen exposure following polymer covered metal-stent placement and its potential serious adverse clinical consequence should certainly be avoided if at all possible. The use of BVS, provided there is no platinum allergy, with optimal implantation technique would certainly provide a safer approach in the treatment of symptomatic coronary artery disease amenable to PCI in this cohort of patients.

Compounding this patient’s presentation was the presence of the autoimmune inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA syndrome or Shoefield’s syndrome). This is a complex immunologic disorder which manifests with a multitude of symptoms, including but not limited to myalgias/myositis, arthralgias, chronic fatigue/sleep disturbances, and cognitive impairment, usually associated with prior exposure to various adjuvant agents, including heavy metals (silicon and aluminum) and certain vaccines [2]. The patient initially presented to her rheumatologist with a constellation of unexplained symptoms including focal left hip pain, generalized arthralgias/myalgias, pruritus and fatigue. Extended work up was negative, until the offending agent was determined to be a metal hip implant. After revising the prosthesis and initiating medical therapy (including methotrexate and pregabalin), her symptoms improved dramatically. For patients diagnosed with ASIA, they may have unpredictable reactions to adjuvants. Although not in the original description of ASIA syndrome, there is a case report of a patient who met ASIA criteria after surgical implantation of a nickel-titanium chin prosthesis; the patient’s symptoms abated with resection of the prosthesis and medical therapy [3]. In our patient’s case, she had a very similar presentation with her hip prosthesis. In addition, she had both a documented allergic reaction to a number of elements present in the current metal-based stent. Thus, avoidance of traditional metal stent platforms...
in favor of the bioresorbable scaffolds is a logical, prudent treatment approach in this patient.

In addition, this patient had a highly calcified lesion, which were largely excluded from the ABSORB trials, due to the presumption of inadequate expansion with balloon predilation, although cutting balloons and rotational atherectomy could be used at the operators’ discretion for mild to moderately calcified lesions [4]. Data from ABSORB Japan demonstrate that moderately or heavily calcified lesions not requiring atherectomy portend the same angiographic outcomes post procedure and at 13 months compared to non-calcified lesions [5]. RA followed by successful BVS implantation has been reported previously for both native coronary lesions [6] and intact re-stenosis [7] and was also successful here.

Conclusion

In summary, we present a case of a patient with multiple metal allergies and the ASIA syndrome who underwent successful revascularization with RA and BVS implantation with substantial improvement in her dyspnea and atypical chest pain two months post procedure. This strategy may be a viable revascularization option in this patient population.
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