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Materials and Methods

The research was conducted in the clinic of pediatric surgery (Novokuznetsk, Russia) in 1976-1985. During this period in the hospital were treated 994 children aged from 4 months to 14 years with various forms of OP.199 patients from this number arrived at the clinic at the beginning of the disease, when the inflammatory process in the lung did not have signs of complications. At that time children with the most aggressive forms of AP were selectively admitted to our department. The reason for the hospitalization was the fact that the surgical clinic was the only place in our area for intensive care. This group of patients differed high mortality and fast development of pleural complications. Unsatisfactory results of conventional treatment (massive doses of antibiotics, oxygen supply, intravenous infusion) forced us to find ways to solve the problem. To assess the role of intravenous infusions in the dynamics of AP following studies were performed.

Experimental research

4 series of experiments were carried out on 44 rabbits. Model of AP was created. In the last AP series of experiments (15 animals) at the time of the development of inflammation in the lung is further carried out intravenous infusion (in 6 animals into solutions for the infusions added dye-methylene blue). In this series of experiments, all animals had pneumonia with pleurisy and were later obtained a patent for the invention [5,6].

Rentgeno-anatomical studies of lung specimens

For this investigation 56 whole lung anatomical preparations were taken during an autopsy of children who died from pneumonia. Separate contrast lung vessels (arteries or veins) with subsequent x-ray were made on 47 specimens (in 9 cases contrasted bronchi).

Results of treatment of patients

Treatment results of children, who admitted to the clinic early in the disease without pleural complications, are of most interest to this theme. Such patients accounted for 2 groups. Patients in the first group (1976-1980; 98 cases) were treated according to generally accepted standards (massive doses of antibiotics, oxygen supply, intravenous infusion). Patients in
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Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is one of the 10 leading causes of death worldwide. Approximately 20% of CAP patients require hospitalisation, 25% of whom are admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) and have a mortality rate of 30% to 50% [1]. “Pneumonia is a leading cause of hospitalization among children in the USA, with medical costs estimated at almost $1 billion in 2009. "Despite this large burden of disease, critical gaps remain in our knowledge about pneumonia in children” [2]. “The rates of parapneumonic effusion have been increasing in the USA and Europe over recent years, and it is now encountered in approximately 40% of all patients with bacterial pneumonias” [3]. “Pediatric pleural empyema has increased substantially over the past 20 years and reasons for this rise remain not fully explained” [4]. The above quotes indicate low efficiency of the modern treatment of AP and the absence of an explanation for this fact.
the second group (1981-1985; 101 cases) received treatment on the basis of new principles.

Results and Discussion

Specific treatment of each disease should focus on its unique characteristics of etiology and pathogenesis. So, the initial treatment of AP is recommended with antibiotics alone and this treatment proves as yet successful in most patients. But in this connection, it is necessary to recall the following facts.

This treatment is etiotropic only, but it is not aimed at correcting specific abnormalities in the body of the patient. The same antibiotic can be used to treat such different diseases (especially on their pathogenesis) as AP, acute pyelonephritis, tonsillitis, skin inflammation, etc. Nevertheless, the suppression of pathogens in the focus of inflammation turns in most patients sufficient to cope with the disease.

However, antibiotics alone does not solve the problem of cure all patients with AP. Part of the patients with aggressive onset or lack of effect of treatment begun is sent to the hospital. More intensive development of inflammation and progressive deterioration of these patients are forced to apply additional funds assistance. But, the results of such aid reveal her lack of efficiency: pleural effusion appears more than half of patients, and even pleural empyema develops contrary treatment [1-4]. Note that the above results characterize the work of the best hospitals and health systems. Such treatment failure is usually explained by the presence of very aggressive microflora. Then how can we explain such a fact that the bacteriological tests of pus do not reveal causative agents in many patients with empyema? [7,8] These data, from my point of view, bear witness of high reliability of modern antibiotics and indicate the need to search the causes of complications in the other direction.

Every specialist with medical education should be aware of the classical signs of acute inflammation, which centuries ago were described by Celsus (redness, swelling, heat, pain) and by Galen (loss of function). Acute pneumonia, as inflammation, has a whole set of these cardinal signs. The unique role of the lungs in the body is well known, including non-respiratory functions. Also it is well known that the reaction of everyone to certain stimulus has individual character. Therefore, the speeds of development of inflammation, the intensity of occurring disorders and the body’s ability to adapt have a huge range of options. The defeat of a multifunctional organ as the lungs requires a correct view on the pathogenesis of the disease. This information helps determine the appropriate methods of therapeutic effects.

So, what is the root of the problem? In medical practice the essence of any disease is determined by specific therapeutic actions. But in the case of diagnosis of acute pneumonia you won’t find specific features in medical prescriptions. At the pre-hospital stage doctors decide only two tasks: an empirical (without establishing the etiology) recommendation of antibiotic and decision regarding the place of treatment (at home or in hospital). In the case of admission to hospital the patient is starting to get a standard (for many other diseases) intensive therapy in the form of syndromic and symptomatic relief. This approach to treatment does not take into account the specifics of the disease. The treatment in 1 group our patients was based on those principles. A typical example of the results of such treatment is one of our observations (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 1 X-ray photograph of the 2-year-old girl, 12 hours after the first signs of AP with abdominal pain syndrome were discovered. There is homogeneous shading in a middle-right pulmonary field.

Figure 2 X-ray photograph of the same patient (see Figure 1), 36 hours after starting the inpatient care (antibiotic therapy, intravenous infusion therapy with detoxification). There is an intensive homogenous shading of almost the whole right hemithorax with mediastinum, dislocation to the left, and a cavity with a fluid level in upper pulmonary field. (Bacteriological examination of pus from the pleural cavity revealed no microflora).

Today is still customary to appoint infusion therapy in patients with acute pneumonia for “detoxication and replenish the fluid loss from perspiration”. If inflammatory processes is localized in the system of large circulation with massive fluid loss (for example, diarrhoea or peritonitis) input solutions pass through intact vessels of lesser circulation. Other conditions
arise in the development of acute inflammation into the pulmonary circulation system. On the one hand, in the vessels of the pulmonary circulation unfolds vascular inflammatory response with increasing swelling and tissue infiltration, and, on the other hand, the area of inflammation turns out to be the first barrier to intravenously imposed solutions.

Our assumptions about the negative impact of intravenous infusions on the dynamics of the AP received additional confirmation in the experiment. We used the technique V. Menkin who opened the permeability factor [8]. A dyestuff used in a solution for infusions coloured the lung tissue on circumference of inflammatory zone identifying the areas where the inflammatory infiltration had spread (Figure 3). All animals with acute lung inflammation after intravenous infusions had parapneumonic pleuritis.

**Study of lung angioarchitectonics with AP showed additional reasons that reinforce the negative effect of intravenous infusions. Increased inflammatory infiltration first led to compression of more pliant venous vessels, impeding blood return from affected zone, with preserved (in initial stages of process) arterial afflux. Pathological vessel transformation in the center of AP created (in initial stages of the disease) “vessel trap” conditions, in which increased blood afflux toward inflammatory zone as a result of intravenous infusion contributed to rapid increase of alterations in pneumonic focus. Progress of these circulatory disruptions stopped the blood afflux toward inflammatory zone being the basic reason for the irreversible destructive alterations. An additional evidence of critical redistribution of the blood flow in lungs in AP was the discovery of shunting vessels on periphery of the non-affected parts received on 2 venograms of lung’s specimens (Figure 4).**

**Conclusion**

The false impression of the need for intravenous infusion in patients with AP create the following factors. The clinical picture of any inflammatory diseases is determined by its localization and, consequently, the value for the body of the affected organ. A direct anatomical-functional correlation between two blood circulation circles (great and lesser) are well known. Reflex influence of inflammation in the lung on the small circle of blood circulation causes the inevitable restructuring of the systemic circulation. Violation of metabolic processes is the next link after the changes in the systemic circulation. The above-described changes in the peripheral circulation correspond to the picture "shock". Therefore, homeostasis violations at AP, we were treated as "pulmonary shock". Treatment methods to eliminate those violations were named "anti-shock procedures" [5,9-12]. The characteristics and course of action of therapeutic activities allow both inhibition of the inflammatory process and its stimulation. With aggressive beginning AP, it is necessary to remove reflex spasm of pulmonary vessels and reduce blood flow to them. Intravenous infusion on the contrary increase blood flow to the lungs. That is why we have excluded from the treatment of patients with early forms of AP intravenous infusion.

The change of medical approach during the initial stage of so-called “toxic” forms of AP (use of vagosympathetic blockades, cups therapy and cold patients body wraps, escalation of bronchial drainage processes, complete abandonment of intravenous infusions and, of course, intravenous antibiotics with minimal volume of solution) highlighted the fact that once compared with an equivalent group, which had the first-aid approach based on intravenous
detoxication therapy, the number of pleuro-pulmonary complications had reliably decreased (t=8.65; P 0.001), the hospital stay declined three-fold, and there were no lethal cases [13]. To illustrate, we included roentgenograms from one of our patients (Figures 5 and 6).

Figure 5 X-ray photograph of a 22 month old patient, 24 hours after starting ABP clinic. Intensive homogenous shading in basal sections of both lungs with moderate thickening of pleura in the left lung.

Figure 6 X-ray photograph of the same patient (see Figure 5) five days following the clinical treatment (vagosympathetic blockades, antibiotic therapy, and bronchus-draining therapy, including lavages through micro-tracheostoma.) Full recovery of airiness in both lungs.

These results are just one of the sections of the previous large studies. Currently, modern medical equipment offers a wide range of possibilities for a more detailed study of this problem.
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