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#### Abstract

The present paper concerns with a model of two mutually interacting species with limited resources for first species and unlimited resources for second species with mortality rate. The model is characterized by a coupled system of first order non-linear ordinary differential equations. In all two equilibrium points are identified. If the death rate of the second species is greater than its birth rate, it is found that there are three equilibrium points. The criteria for asymptotic stability have been established for all the equilibrium points. The co-existent state is always stable. The solutions of the linearised basic equations are obtained and their trends are illustrated.
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## INTRODUCTION

Mathematical modeling is an important interdisciplinary activity which involves the study of some aspects of diverse disciplines. Biology, Epidemiodology, Physiology, Ecology, Immunology, Bio-economics, Genetics, Pharmocokinetics are some of those disciplines. This mathematical modeling has raised to the zenith in recent years and spread to all branches of life and drew the attention of every one. Mathematical modeling of ecosystems was initiated by Lotka [8] and by Volterra [14]. The general concept of modeling has been presented in the treatises of Meyer [9], Cushing [2], Paul Colinvaux [10], Freedman [3], Kapur [5, 6]. The ecological interactions can be broadly classified as Prey-Predation, Competition, Mutualism and so on. N.C. Srinivas [13] studied the competitive eco-systems of two species and three species with regard to limited and unlimited resources. Lakshmi Narayan [7] investigated the two species prey-predator models and stability analysis of competitive species was investigated by Archana Reddy [1]. Local stability analysis for a two-species ecological mutualism model has been presented by the present author et al. [11, 12]. Recently, stability analysis of three species was carried out by Siva Reddy [18]. Further Srilatha et al. [15, 16] and Hari Prasad et.al [17] studied stability analysis of four species. The present investigation is devoted to the analytical study of a model of two mutually interacting species with mortality rate for the second species.

Before describing a model, first we make the following assumptions:
$N_{1}$ is the population of the first species, $N_{2}$, the population of the second species, $a_{1}$ is the rate of natural growth of the first species, $a_{2}$ is the rate of natural growth of the second species, $\alpha_{11}$ is the rate of decrease of the first species due to insufficient food, $\alpha_{12}$ is the rate of increase of the first species due to interaction with the second species, $\alpha_{21}$ is the rate of increase of the second species due to interaction with the first species. Further note that the variables $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and the model parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}$ are non-negative and that the rate of difference between the death and birth rates is identified as the natural growth rate with appropriate sign. The model equations for a two species mutualising are governed by a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations.

## 2. Basic Equations:

The basic equations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d N_{1}}{d t}=a_{1} N_{1}-\alpha_{11} N_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{12} N_{1} N_{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \frac{d N_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} N_{2}+\alpha_{21} N_{1} N_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we come across three equilibrium states:
I. $\bar{N}_{1}=0 ; \bar{N}_{2}=0$,
the state in which both the species are washed out
II. $\bar{N}_{1}=\frac{a_{1}}{\alpha_{11}} ; \bar{N}_{2}=0$.

Here the first species $\left(N_{1}\right)$ survives while the second species $\left(N_{2}\right)$ is washed out.
III. $\bar{N}_{1}=\frac{a_{2}}{\alpha_{21}} ; \bar{N}_{2}=\frac{a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}}$.

In this state both the species co-exist and this can exist only when $a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}>0$.

## Equilibrium state I (fully washed out state):

To discuss the stability of equilibrium state $\bar{N}_{1}=0 ; \bar{N}_{2}=0$, we consider small perturbations $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ from the steady state, i.e. we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=\bar{N}_{1}+u_{1}(t)  \tag{2.3}\\
& N_{2}=\bar{N}_{2}+u_{2}(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.4) in (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=a_{1} u_{1}-\alpha_{11} u_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{12} u_{1} u_{2} \\
\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} u_{2}+\alpha_{21} u_{1} u_{2}
\end{array}
$$

After linearization, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=a_{1} u_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} u_{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic equation is

$$
\left(\lambda-a_{1}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{2}\right)=0
$$

One root of this equation is $\lambda_{1}=a_{1}$ which is positive and the other root is $\lambda_{2}=-a_{2}$ which is negative. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.

The solutions of equations (2.5) and (2.6) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=u_{10} e^{a_{1} t}  \tag{2.7}\\
& u_{2}=u_{20} e^{-a_{2} t} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{10}, u_{20}$ are the initial values of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. The solution curves are illustrated in figures 1 and 2 .

Case 1: $u_{10}>u_{20}$ i.e. initially the first species dominates the second species.
We notice that the first species is going away from the equilibrium point while the second species approaches asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is unstable.

Case 2: $u_{10}<u_{20}$ i.e. initially the second species dominates the first species.
In this case the second species out numbers the first species till the time,

$$
t=t^{*}=\frac{\ln \left\{u_{20} / u_{10}\right\}}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}
$$

after that the first species out numbers the second species and grows indefinitely while the second species approaches asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is unstable. Further the trajectories in the $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ plane are given by

$$
\left[\frac{u_{1}}{u_{10}}\right]^{a_{2}}=\left[\frac{u_{2}}{u_{20}}\right]^{-a_{1}}
$$

## Equilibrium state II ( $N_{1}$ exists while $N_{2}$ is washed out):

We have

$$
\bar{N}_{1}=\frac{a_{1}}{\alpha_{11}} ; \bar{N}_{2}=0
$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.4) in (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-a_{1} u_{1}-\alpha_{11} u_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{12} u_{1} u_{2}+\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{12} u_{2}}{\alpha_{11}} \\
& \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} u_{2}+\alpha_{21} u_{1} u_{2}+\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{21} u_{2}}{\alpha_{11}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After linearization, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-a_{1} u_{1}+\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{12} u_{2}}{\alpha_{11}}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=\left[\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}-a_{2}\right] u_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda+a_{1}\right)\left\{\lambda-\left[\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}-a_{2}\right]\right\}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

One root of this equation (2.11) is $\lambda_{1}=-a_{1}$ which is negative.

Case A: When $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}>\frac{\alpha_{11}}{\alpha_{21}}$,
the other root of equation (2.11) is $\lambda_{2}=\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}-a_{2}$ which is positive. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.

The trajectories are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}\left[u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12} e^{\lambda_{2} t}+\left\{u_{10} \gamma_{1}-u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12}\right\} e^{-a_{1} t}\right]  \tag{2.12}\\
& u_{2}=u_{20} e^{\lambda_{2} t} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{1}=a_{1}\left[\alpha_{11}+\alpha_{21}\right]-a_{2} \alpha_{11}
$$

The solution curves are illustrated in figures 3\&4.

CASE 1: For $u_{10}<u_{20}$, we have
In this case the second species is noted to be going away from the equilibrium point while the first species would become extinct at the instant

$$
t_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)} \ln \left[\frac{u_{20} \alpha_{12} a_{1}-u_{10} \gamma_{1}}{u_{20} \alpha_{12} a_{1}}\right]
$$

As such the state is unstable.

CASE 2: If $u_{10}>u_{20}$, we have
Here the first species out numbers the second species till the time,

$$
t=t^{*}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}+a_{1}} \ln \left\{\frac{u_{10} \alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)-u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12}}{u_{20}\left[\alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)-a_{1} \alpha_{12}\right]}\right\}
$$

there after the second species out numbers the first species. And also the second species is noted to be going away from the equilibrium point while the first species would become extinct at the instant

$$
t_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)} \ln \left[\frac{u_{20} \alpha_{12} a_{1}-u_{10} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}}{u_{20} \alpha_{12} a_{1}}\right]
$$

As such the state is unstable.
Case B: When $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}<\frac{\alpha_{11}}{\alpha_{21}}$
One root of the equation (2.11) is $\lambda_{1}=-a_{1}$ which is negative and the other root is
$\lambda_{2}=\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}-a_{2}$ which is negative.
As the roots of the equation (2.11) are both negative, the equilibrium state is stable.
The trajectories in this case are the same as in (2.12) and (2.13).

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}\left[u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12} e^{\lambda_{2} t}+\left\{u_{10} \gamma_{1}-u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12}\right\} e^{-a_{1} t}\right] \\
& u_{2}=u_{20} e^{\lambda_{2} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{1}=a_{1}\left[\alpha_{11}+\alpha_{21}\right]-a_{2} \alpha_{11}
$$

The solution curves are illustrated in figures 5\&6.

CASE 1: $u_{10}<u_{20}$ i.e. initially the second species dominates the first species.
In this case the second species always out numbers the first species. It is evident that both the species converging asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is stable.

CASE 2: $u_{10}>u_{20}$ i.e. initially the first species dominates the second species.
Here the first species out numbers the second species till the time,

$$
t=t^{*}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}+a_{1}} \ln \left\{\frac{u_{10} \alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)-u_{20} a_{1} \alpha_{12}}{u_{20}\left[\alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{2}+a_{1}\right)-a_{1} \alpha_{12}\right]}\right\}
$$

there after the second species out numbers the first species. As $t \rightarrow \infty$ both $u_{1} \& u_{2}$
approach to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is stable. Further the trajectories in the $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ plane are given by

$$
\left(q_{1}-1\right) u_{1}=c u_{2}^{q_{1}}+p_{1} u_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p_{1}=\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{12}}{a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}} ; \\
q_{1}=\frac{a_{1} \alpha_{11}}{a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}}
\end{array}
$$

and $c$ is an arbitrary constant.
The solution curves are illustrated in figure 7.

## Equilibrium state III (coexistence state):

We have

$$
\bar{N}_{1}=\frac{a_{2}}{\alpha_{21}} ; \bar{N}_{2}=\frac{a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}} \text { wherein } a_{2} \alpha_{11}-a_{1} \alpha_{21}>0
$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.4) in (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-\alpha_{11} u_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{12} u_{1} u_{2}-\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1} u_{1}+\alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1} u_{2} \\
\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=\alpha_{21} u_{1} \bar{N}_{2}+\alpha_{21} u_{1} u_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

After linearization, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1} u_{1}+\alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1} u_{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=\alpha_{21} u_{1} \bar{N}_{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic equation is

$$
\lambda^{2}+\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1} \lambda-\alpha_{12} \alpha_{21} \bar{N}_{1} \bar{N}_{2}=0
$$

One root of this equation is positive and the other root is negative. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The trajectories are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}=\left[\frac{u_{10} \lambda_{1}+u_{20} \alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right] e^{\lambda_{1} t}+\left[\frac{u_{10} \lambda_{2}+u_{20} \alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] e^{\lambda_{2} t} \\
u_{2}=\left[\frac{u_{20}\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1}\right)+u_{10} \alpha_{21} \bar{N}_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right] e^{\lambda_{1} t}+\left[\frac{u_{20}\left(\lambda_{2}+\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1}\right)+u_{10} \alpha_{21} \bar{N}_{2}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] e^{\lambda_{2} t}
\end{gathered}
$$

The curves are illustrated in figures $\mathbf{8 \&} \mathbf{9}$.
Case 1: $u_{10}>u_{20}$ i.e. initially the first species dominates the second species.
In this case, the first species is noted to be going away from the equilibrium point while the second species approaches asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is unstable.

Case 2: $u_{10}<u_{20}$ i.e. initially the second species dominates the first species.
In this case the second species out numbers the first species till the time,

$$
t=t^{*}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} \ln \left[\frac{\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) u_{10}+\left(a_{3}-b_{1}\right) u_{20}}{\left(b_{2}-\lambda_{2}\right) u_{10}+\left(a_{4}-b_{1}\right) u_{20}}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}=\alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1} ; \quad b_{2}=\alpha_{21} \bar{N}_{2} \\
& a_{3}=\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1} ; a_{4}=\lambda_{2}+\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

after that the first species out numbers the second species and grows indefinitely while the second species approaches asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the state is unstable. Further the trajectories in the $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ plane are given by
$\left[u_{2}^{(a-1)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)}\right] d=\frac{\left(u_{1}-u_{2} v_{1}\right)^{a v_{1}}}{\left(u_{1}-v_{2} u_{2}\right)^{a v_{2}}}$
where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are roots of the quadratic equation $a v^{2}+\mathrm{bv}+c=0$ with $a=\alpha_{21} \bar{N}_{2} ; b=\alpha_{11} \bar{N}_{1}$;
$c=-\alpha_{12} \bar{N}_{1}$ and $d$ is an arbitrary constant.

## Trajectories:
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