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ABSTRACT

A model has been developed to simulate the fluid dynamics that occur when a nanodroplet falls onto a flat substrate. A numerical solution of the Navier–Stokes equation using a volume-of-fluid (VOF) technique was used to model deformation. Dynamic contact angle during droplet impact was obtained by molecular kinetic theory. This dynamic contact angle was then implemented in the numerical model used to simulate the process. The spreading behavior was analyzed for wettable, partially wettable and nonwettable surfaces.
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INTRODUCTION

Spreading of nanodroplets on solid surfaces is important in a wide variety of applications, including ink jet printing, DNA synthesis and etc [1-6]. Development of theoretically computational models, which can predict nanodroplet deposition, can potentially reduce the cost of the development of new process considerably. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD), based on the continuum Euler and Navier–Stokes equations for inviscid and viscous flow modeling, respectively, is the most common tool to simulate microdroplet impact on solid surface [7, 8].

Luckily, above 10 molecular diameters, systems can very often be described with continuum theory, which statistically averages the single interactions [9]. Deviations from the predictions of classical continuum theory have been observed for a liquid confined in a space smaller than 10 molecular diameters, especially in the microscopic layer in the vicinity of the moving contact line [9].

In many applications where the phenomena of interest range from macro to nanoscale, the continuum-based equations (Euler/Navier–Stokes) will still be valid in large parts of the computational domain but continuum approaches fail to describe nanofluidic flows where the continuum equations have essential singularities, as in the moving contact-line problem [10,11].

For characterizing the spreading behavior of a nanodroplet, it is important to report the contact angles around the contact line. The development of new methods will enable the CFD simulation of larger domains which are subject to an interplay between the macroscopic dynamics of the bulk flow and microscopic and molecular kinetic (MK) at molecular scale around the fluid–solid contact line.

A literature survey carried out by the author indicated lack of published data on the nanodroplet impact simulation by computational fluid dynamics and molecular kinetic theory (CFD-MK).

There exists a considerable literature describing CFD models of microdroplet impact on a solid surface [7, 8, 12,13].
In this research, a novel simulation by computational fluid dynamics and molecular kinetic theory (CFD-MK) is presented. The molecular contact angle couples with the continuum model by performing molecular dynamics contact angle around at the contact line of the macroscopic CFD solver, which is engaged to advance the simulation.

**NUMERICAL METHOD**

1.1. Governing Equations

A schematic of a droplet impact on a surface is shown in Fig. 1. The mathematical description of the problem is formulated subject to these assumptions:

(i) the droplet is spherical prior to impact, (ii) the system (above 10 molecular diameters) can be described with continuum theory, which statistically averages the single interactions [9], (iii) the liquid is incompressible and Newtonian, (iv) the liquid density, viscosity and surface tension are constant, (v) the flow during the impact is laminar [7, 8, 15], (vi) a single velocity characterizes fluid motion prior to the impact (which precludes considering an internal circulation of the fluid within the droplet prior to the impact), and (vii) the influence of the surrounding gas on the liquid during the impact is negligible (which implies that viscous stresses at the free surface are assumed to be zero).

The equations of conservation of mass and momentum in the liquid may then be written as [7]:

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{V} \vec{V}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \vec{\tau} + \vec{g} + \frac{1}{\rho} \vec{F}_b \]  

where \( \vec{V} \) represents the velocity vector, \( P \) the pressure, \( \rho \) the liquid density, \( \vec{\tau} \) the shear stress tensor, \( \vec{g} \) the gravitational acceleration, and \( \vec{F}_b \) any body forces (per unit volume) acting on the fluid.

The flow equations have been written in an Eulerian frame of reference, and thus a solution of these equations must be coupled with some methodology for following the deforming liquid-gas interface. The VOF technique is applied to track the time evolution of the liquid free surface. A color function, \( f \), is introduced to represent the volume fraction of liquid in a computational cell. If the control volume is filled with liquid alone, the color function is unity. When only air exists in the control volume, \( f \) takes on a value of zero. When both liquid and gas are present, the color function value lies between zero and one. The advection of function \( f \) is governed by [7]:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (\vec{V} \cdot \nabla) f = 0 \]  

The volume force, \( \vec{F}_b \), appearing in Eq. (2), consists of the gravitational force and the surface tension force which is given as [14]

\[ \vec{F}_{ST}(x) = \gamma \int_{S} k(\vec{y})\hat{n}(\vec{y}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) dS \]  

by means of the CSF model. In this equation, \( \hat{n} \) represents a unit vector normal to the interface directed into the liquid, \( \gamma \) represents the liquid-gas surface tension and \( k \) the total curvature of the interface, \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function, and \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) are position vectors. The integration is performed over some area of free surface \( S \). \( k \) and \( \hat{n} \) are geometric characteristics of the surface, and may be written in terms of \( f \):

\[ k = -\nabla \cdot \hat{n}, \quad \hat{n} = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} \]
Expressed as a body force, surface tension is then incorporated into Eq. (2) via the term \( \mathbf{F}_b \). To reduce the size of the computational domain, symmetric boundaries are applied when possible. Along a symmetric boundary, fluid velocity obeys slip and no penetration conditions. Boundary conditions are also imposed at the liquid free surface, denoted by subscript \( s \). The boundary condition on velocity is the zero shear stress condition:

\[
\tau_s = 0
\]

and since the surface tension force has been included in Eq. (2), the boundary condition on pressure reduces to:

\[
p_s = 0
\]

A boundary condition for \( f \) is unnecessary since \( f \) is a Lagrangian invariant. Initial condition for \( f \) is defined by specifying a droplet diameter \( D_0 \). Fluid velocity within the droplet is characterized by a single impact velocity and the initial pressure within the droplet is defined by the Laplace equation:

\[
0 = \frac{\gamma}{D_0}
\]

1.2. Molecular-kinetic (M-K) theory

The molecular-kinetic theory of wetting, as developed by Blake & Haynes [15], uses the theory of absolute reaction rates and asserts that the essential contact line motion takes place by jumping of molecules along the solid surface from the liquid to the vapour side of the contact line. According to this theory, the macroscopic behaviour of the wetting line depends on the overall statistics of the individual molecular displacements, which occur within the three-phase zone where the fluid–fluid interface meets the solid surface. The molecular-kinetic theory postulates that the entire energy dissipation occurs at the moving contact line. The wetting line moves with velocity \( V_{CL} \), and the liquid exhibits a dynamic advancing contact angle \( \theta \) such that \( \theta > \theta_E \), where \( \theta_E \) is the equilibrium contact angle.

According to this theory, the velocity of the contact line is determined by the frequency \( \kappa \) and length \( \lambda \) of the individual molecular displacements that occur along its length. In the simplest model, these displacements take place at the adsorption sites on the solid surface. The length of the molecular displacement \( \lambda \) is influenced by the size of the liquid molecules and depends strongly on the spacing of the successive adsorption sites on the target surface. For the liquid molecules moving forward, the frequency of molecular displacement is \( \kappa^+ \), and for those moving backwards, the frequency is \( \kappa^- \). The contact line velocity is then given by 

\[
V_{CL} = \kappa^+ \lambda = \kappa^+ \lambda = \kappa \lambda
\]

The contact line velocity is then given by 

\[
V_{CL} = \kappa^+ \lambda = \kappa^+ \lambda = \kappa \lambda
\]

where \( k, T \) denote, respectively, Boltzmann’s constant and the absolute temperature. The quantity \( n \) is the number of adsorption sites per unit area on the surface and is related to \( \lambda \) by \( \lambda \sim n^{-1/2} \). The solid/liquid interaction frequency \( \kappa_s \) is:

\[
\kappa_s = \frac{kT n^{-1}}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_s}{N_A kT}\right)
\]

Where \( -\Delta G_s \) is the contribution arising from the retarding influence of the solid surface, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number and \( h \) stands for Planck’s constant [16].

2. Numerical Procedure

Figure 2 illustrates a typical mesh where velocities are specified at the centre of cell faces and pressure at each cell centre. Equations (1) and (2) are solved with a two-step projection method, in which a forward Euler time discretization of the momentum equation is divided into two steps:
In the first step, using Eq. (11), an interim velocity \( \tilde{V}' \) is computed explicitly from convective, viscous, gravitational and body force accelerations of the known field \( \tilde{V}^n \) for a timestep \( \Delta t \). In the second step, using Eq. (12), \( \tilde{V}^n \) is projected onto a divergence-free velocity field. Combining Eq. (12) with Eq. (1) at the new time level \( (n+1) \) yields a Poisson equation for pressure:

\[
\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho^n} \nabla P^{n+1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \nabla \cdot \tilde{V}'
\]

(13)

The RHS of Eq. (11) is discretized according to the conventions typical of the finite volume method. Integrating Eq. (11) over a control volume \( \Omega_{i,j} \) yields:

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Omega_{i,j}} (\nabla \cdot (\tilde{V}^n )^+ - \tilde{V}' ) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_{i,j}} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{V}^n )^+ d\Omega + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega_{i,j}} \nabla \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon}^n d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_{i,j}} \tilde{g}^n d\Omega + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega_{i,j}} \tilde{F}_{sb} d\Omega
\]

(14)

Applying Gauss’ theorem to convert the first two volume integrals on the RHS to integrals over the control volume surface \( S_{i,j} \) and assuming that the other integrands are constant throughout \( \Omega_{i,j} \), Eq. (14) becomes:

\[
\frac{\tilde{V}' - \tilde{V}^n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\rho \Omega_{i,j}} \int_{S_{i,j}} (\tilde{V}^n \cdot \hat{n}_S ) dS + \frac{1}{\rho \Omega_{i,j}} \int_{S_{i,j}} ( \tilde{\varepsilon}^n \cdot \hat{n}_S ) dS + \tilde{g}^n + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{F}_{sb}
\]

(15)

where \( \hat{n}_S \) is the unit outward normal to \( S_{i,j} \).

Following algorithm advances the solution by one timestep. Given velocity, pressure, and volume fraction fields at the time level \( n \):

1. evaluate \( \tilde{V}' \) using Eq. (11)
2. solve Eq. (13) implicitly for \( P^{n+1} \), incorporating boundary conditions on \( P \)
3. evaluate \( \tilde{V}^{n+1} \) using Eq. (12)
4. apply boundary conditions on \( \tilde{V}^{n+1} \)
5. evaluate \( \theta \) from molecular-kinetic theory by using Eq. (9)
6. evaluate \( \tilde{n}_{i,j} \) and \( \hat{n} \) from \( \theta \)
7. evaluate a new fluid volume distribution \( f^{n+1} \) using Eq. (3) and obtain the new shape of liquid-gas free surface using Youngs’ algorithm [17]
8. reapply boundary conditions on \( \tilde{V}^{n+1} \)

Repetition of these steps allowed advancing the solution through an arbitrary time interval. The computational domain encompassed the initial droplet and sufficient volume for the droplet spreading during the impingement. The mesh size was determined on the basis of a mesh refinement study in which the grid spacing was progressively decreased until further reductions made no significant changes in the predicted shape during the impact. The droplet was discretized using a computational mesh, with a uniform grid spacing equal to 1/30 of the droplet radius. Numerical computations were performed on a Pentium 4 computer. Typical CPU times ranged from four to five hours.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now present the results of simulations dealing with the spontaneous spreading of a nanodroplet on flat surfaces with different wetting characteristics.

![Fig. 1. A schematic of a droplet impact on a surface.](image)

![Fig. 2. A 2D control volume, with velocities specified at cell faces, pressure at the cell center.](image)

![Fig. 3. CFD-MK images of a 6 nm nanodroplet impacting with a velocity of 1.25 m/s on flat wettable surface.](image)

![Fig. 4. CFD-MK images of a 6 nm nanodroplet impacting with a velocity of 1.25 m/s on flat partially wettable surface.](image)

![Fig. 5. CFD-MK images of a 6 nm nanodroplet impacting with a velocity of 1.25 m/s on flat non-wettable surface.](image)
Figure 3 shows images from our simulation at various times for the dynamic spreading of a 6 nm droplet on wettable surface. In addition figure 4 and 5 show images for partially wettable and non-wettable surfaces where the diameter ($D_0$) of the argon nanodroplet was 6 nm and the initial velocity ($V_0$) 1.25ms$^{-1}$.

Based on the images presented in figures 3 and 4, and the temporal evolution of spreading diameter in figure 5, the overall spreading process can be divided into two stages: an advancing stage during which the droplet base expands and the spreading diameter increases rapidly to nearly its maximum value, followed by a receding stage [5, 6, 11] during which the droplet base shrinks, i.e. the contact diameter decreases. The spreading behavior during these two stages is well captured by simulations. For the non-wettable surface, the receding stage is followed by the droplet bouncing off from the surface. The bounce-off is also well captured by simulations, as indicated by a comparison of
the images in figures 3 and 4, and of the temporal variation of spreading diameters for the non-wettable case in figure 5.

Dividing maximum lateral spread diameter by the initial nanodroplet diameter results in the nondimensional maximum lateral spread ratio denoted by $D_m/D_o$. The $D_m/D_o$ evolution was investigated in simulations by analyzing the images taken from the side. We measured the nondimensional maximum lateral spread ratio versus time for all of their simulation conditions. The results of simulations are shown in Figs. 6-8 where the measured variation of $D_m/D_o$ versus $t$ is plotted. The droplet diameter and impact velocity was held constant. The surface wetting characteristics, however, was changed. the value of $\theta_0$ was 20°, 74° and 135°, respectively.

CONCLUSION

We have developed a CFD-MK (computational fluid dynamics and molecular kinetic theory) model of free surface fluid flow and applied it to simulating the impact of a nanodroplet onto a flat surface. The volume-of-fluid (VOF) technique is used to track the free-surface of the liquid. Dynamic contact angles are applied as a boundary condition at the liquid – solid contact lines and evaluated by molecular kinetic theory equation. We simulated impact of argon nanodroplets onto wettable, partial wettable and nonwettable surfaces.
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